

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>He appeals to the Audience, that the Court did not do him Justice; for which he is reprimanded</i> IV. 51</p> <p><i>Insists that a Witness for the King cannot be indicted for Perjury</i> 52</p> <p><i>Says, 'tis the Protestant Cause, and not him, they strike at</i> 53</p> <p><i>He appeals to God, as to the Truth of his Evidence of the Plot</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Moves he might not be kept in Irons</i> 53</p> <p><i>He has Leave to withdraw before the Evidence sum'd up</i> 54</p> <p><i>Mr. Finch, Solicitor General, sums up the Evidence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He shews how Mr. Oates's Witnesses contradict himself and one another</i> 56</p> <p><i>And how it came about, that Oates had once such Credit with the Parliament and the Nation</i> 58</p> <p><i>The Chief Justice's Directions to the Jury</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Takes Notice that Oates was styl'd the Saviour of the Nation</i> 60</p> <p><i>Shews the Improbability of Oates's Testimony</i> 63</p> <p><i>The Court offer the Jury some Refreshment before they go from the Bar</i> 65</p> <p><i>Oates is convicted</i> ib.</p> <p>OATES, Titus, his Trial at the King's-Bench Bar, Easter Term the 9th of May, 1685.</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>1 Jac. II. upon another Indictment for Perjury</i> IV. 66</p> <p><i>The Indictment</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Attorney General (Sir Robert Sawyer) opens the Evidence</i> 71</p> <p><i>Evidence, that the Defendant swore Mr. Ireland was in London between the 8th and 12th of August, and on the 1st or 2d of September, 1678.</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Many Witnesses called to prove that Mr. Ireland was out of London from the 3d of August to the 14th of September 1678. from 72 to 89</i></p> <p><i>Oates's bold Behaviour towards the Court</i> 79</p> <p><i>Several of the King's Witnesses Protestants</i> 80,</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;">81</p> <p><i>Ireland's Execution respited</i> 82</p> <p><i>Evidence of Ireland's Witnesses being insulted and threaten'd</i> 87</p> <p><i>Oates's Defence</i> 89</p> <p><i>He urges that Witnesses ought to be allowed a Latitude in Swearing, and not be tied up to a Point of Time</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Oates's Witnesses do not appear</i> 92</p> <p><i>He insists, the King's Witnesses ought not to be indicted for Perjury</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Says, if he had been a Witness in any other Cause than the Discovery of the Popish Plot, he had met with better Usage</i> 93</p> <p><i>He is allowed a Week's Time to move in Arrest of Judgment</i> 94</p> <p><i>Withdraws before the Evidence is sum'd up</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor's Reply to Oates's Defence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He shews that Oates's Evidence against Ireland could not be true, even allowing him the Latitude he contends for in Point of Time</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Reason why the Prosecution was so long delay'd</i> 96</p> <p><i>The factious Disposition of the City of London</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Chief Justice's Directions to the Jury</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He intimates that the Popish Plot was a Sham, and the Rye-house and Lord Ruffel's Plot the only true Plot</i> 98</p>	<p><i>The Reasons that prevail'd upon King Charles II. to permit Ireland to be executed</i> IV. 99</p> <p><i>Of which the King repented</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Oates is convicted</i> 101</p> <p><i>Moves for longer Time to move in Arrest of Judgment</i> 102</p> <p><i>Delivers four Exceptions to the Indictment</i> ib.</p> <p><i>An Evidence for the King may be indicted at Common Law; but not upon the Statute of Perjury</i> ib.</p> <p><i>If any Part of the Defendant's Oath appear to be false, it will maintain the Indictment for Perjury</i> 103</p> <p><i>Perjury anciently punish'd with Death</i> ib.</p> <p><i>At this Day, it is in the Discretion of the Court to inflict what Punishment they see fit, saving Life and Member</i> 104</p> <p><i>Oates's severe and illegal Sentence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The House of Commons, after the Revolution, censure this Judgment</i> 105</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. T. H.</p> <p><i>Whether any other Authority but the Parliament can impose an Oath</i> I. 746</p> <p><i>Oaths enjoin'd by the Canons, without Authority of Parliament</i> 870</p> <p><i>The Oath of Allegiance permitted to be taken to King Charles I. till his Death</i> II. 9</p> <p><i>A Debate, whether the Privy Counsellors, &c. take an Oath at the Nomination of Sheriffs in the Court of Exchequer</i> VI. 502</p> <p><i>The Oath of a Baron of the Exchequer</i> 193</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>of a Master in Chancery</i> 504</p> <p>OLDCASTLE, Sir John, accus'd of Heresy,</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;"><i>1 Hen. V. Anno 1413.</i> I. 36</p> <p><i>Application made to the King for his Concurrence, before Process was issu'd against him</i> 37</p> <p><i>The King examines him himself, and then leaves him to be proceeded against by the Archbishop</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Who cites him, and afterwards excommunicates him for Contumacy</i> ib.</p> <p><i>His Confession of Faith</i> 38</p> <p><i>He challenges any Man to fight with him, in Defence of his Faith</i> 39</p> <p><i>He is arrested in the King's Presence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>His Examination before the Archbishop in the Chapter-House of St. Paul's</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He is examin'd to four Points: First, concerning Transubstantiation; 2dly, Penance; 3dly, the Authority of the Church; 4thly, Pilgrimages</i> 41</p> <p><i>His Answers</i> 44, & seqq.</p> <p><i>His Sentence to be hang'd and burnt</i> 46</p> <p><i>His Abjuration counterfeited</i> 47</p> <p><i>By an Act of Parliament it is made Capital to read the Bible in English; and the Offenders to be condemn'd as Hereticks and Traitors, and suffer and forfeit accordingly</i> 49</p> <p><i>Such Hereticks excluded the Benefit of Sanctuary, by another Act</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Whereupon those of Sir John Oldcastle's Persuasion are rigorously prosecuted, and Multitudes fled into foreign Countries</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir John Oldcastle escapes out of the Tower, and flies into Wales</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Before he got there, narrowly escap'd being taken at an Husbandman's near St. Albans</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Divers of his Men taken; and some of his Books, in which the Images and Paintings were disfigured</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir Roger Acton, Master Browne, Sir John Beverly, and Thirty-six more, condemn'd on the above-</i></p>
---	---

An Alphabetical TABLE.

abovesaid Acts; and hang'd as Traitors, and afterwards burnt as Hereticks I. 49
Sir John Oldcastle deliver'd up by the Lord Powis, and again imprison'd, condemn'd, and cruelly executed, 6 Hen. V. 1418. ib.
The Proceedings against Sir John Oldcastle, taken from the Records at Lambeth, in Latin and English 50
His Answer to the four Articles exhibited against him 53
The Sense of the Church as to those Articles 55
ORDERS. See Plot, Popish II. 801, 993.
ORDINARY I. 849
ORFORD, Edward Earl of. V. 339. See *Portland, Earl of, & al.*
**OVERBURY, Sir Thomas; Weston, Turner, Sir Jervis Elvis, Franklin, Sir Thomas Monson, and the Earl and Countess of Somerset try'd for poisoning him. See their Trials.
OVERT-ACT.
Any Words or Actions which discover a Design against the Person of the Prince are Overt-Acts of High Treason. I. 101. III. 87, 208, 817, 1055. IV. 593, 658
Levyng War an Overt-Act of compassing the King's Death II. 163
If any one Overt-Act laid in the Indictment be prov'd, it maintains the Indictment II. 314, 339
One Witness proving an Overt-Act in the County where the Indictment is found, and another Witness proving another Overt-Act in another County, are two Witnesses such as the Law requires in Treason II. 873. III. 204, 366. IV. 640, 657
A Consult with Traitors, an Overt-Act of Treason IV. 689
A Conspiracy to levy War against the King directly, an Overt-Act of Compassing the King's Death III. 722. IV. 625
But a Conspiracy to levy War, which by Construction only is against the King, is not an Overt-Act of compassing the King's Death III. 713 IV. 625
The same Overt-Act laid to prove the Treason of adhering to the King's Enemies, as to prove the compassing the King's Death IV. 413
Whatever manifests a treasonable Intention is an Overt-Act of Treason IV. 593, 657
Meeting and consulting to levy War, or to procure the Kingdom to be invaded, Overt-Acts of Compassing the King's Death IV. 772. V. 88
OUTLAWRY. See Pardon, and Holloway. III. 943, and Sir Thomas Armstrong III. 983
OWENS, Abel, V. 287, 297. See Kidd, & al.
OXFORD and MORTIMER, Robert Earl of, Proceedings against him, on an Impeachment for High Treason, and other High Crimes and Misdemeanours, 24 June, 1717. 3 George I. VI. 102
Lord High Steward's Commission ib.
The Articles against him read, being sixteen in Number 103
The Articles of High Treason 111, 112
Six Additional Articles 116
The Earl's Answer 123
His Answer to the Articles of High Treason 132, 133
The Close of the Earl's Answer 143
The Commons Replication 147**

Mr. Hampden opens the Articles VI. 148
The Lords insist, that Judgment be given on the Articles of High Treason, before they proceed upon the Articles of High Crimes and Misdemeanours 150
The Commons Reasons for proceeding in their own Method 151
The Lords Reasons for their Resolution 152
After some Messages, the Lords adhere to their Resolutions, and the Commons to theirs 154
The Commons not appearing, the Lords dismiss the Impeachment, and discharge the Earl 156

P.

PALMER, Roger Esq; See *Castlemaine, Earl of.*
PANNEL. See Jury.
PAPILLON, Thomas Esq; III. 1072. See *Prichard, Sir William.*
PAPIST. See Plot, Popish II. 801
PARDON.
A Pardon must have express Words, and cannot be by Implication or Inference I. 227. II. 351
A Pardon of Life only I. 367
Articles enter'd into by Military Officers cannot amount to a Pardon, or bind the Civil Power otherwise than in Honour II. 14
Where one pleads a Pardon that has Exceptions in it, he must shew that he is not excepted II. 562
Where one ought in Honour to have his Pardon, though the Officer or Magistrate engaging for it, had not the Power of Pardoning II. 630, 636
A Copy of a Free Pardon II. 738
The Commons held that a Pardon could not be pleaded in Bar to an Impeachment II. 739. III. 245
Persons accused discharged on the King's Writ declaring their Innocence II. 750
A Pardon will not restore one Convict of Perjury to his Credit; but he shall have an Action against any one who upbraids him with his Crime afterwards II. 44, 47
A general Pardon does not restore one outlaw'd for Felony to Blood or Credit; but a Felon admitted to his Clergy is purg'd and restored to his Credit III. 36, 46. IV. 139, 149
One convicted of Felony is, by the King's Pardon, or a Statute-Pardon, restored to his Credit; but one who is attainted of Felony, &c. neither the King's Pardon nor a Statute-Pardon will restore either to Blood or Credit, without special Words IV. 682
The King might pardon the burning in the Hand in an Appeal V. 168
What a Convict is restored to, on his Purgation or Pardon V. 171
One pardon'd in Scotland has no Benefit of it in England V. 510, &c.
Whether, if a Man pleads a defective Pardon, he may plead over; or whether such a Plea is fatal? II. 754
PARKYNS, Sir William, his Trial for High Treason, in promoting an Invasion and Rebellion, and endeavouring the Assassination of the King's Person, 24 March, 1695. 8 W. III. IV. 627
The Court of Opinion the Prisoner ought to have his Plate and Effects to subsist him ib.

The

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>The Court deny to put off the Trial on Allegations of the Absence of a material Witness, without an Oath made of it</i> IV. 631</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas Trevor, Attorney-General, opens the Evidence</i> 633</p> <p><i>Porter's Evidence that he agreed to invite King James over</i> 636</p> <p><i>And engaged in the Assassination</i> 637</p> <p><i>Sir George Barclay concerned in the Assassination</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence of a Quantity of Arms found in Sir William's House in the Country</i> 642</p> <p><i>Sir John Hawles, Solicitor-General, his Reply</i> 652</p> <p><i>Mr. Cowper's Speech</i> 653</p> <p><i>Lord Chief Justice's Directions</i> 655</p> <p><i>The Prisoner is convicted</i> 658</p> <p><i>Sentence pass'd on Sir John Freind, and Sir William Parkyns</i> 659</p> <p><i>Their Dying Speeches</i> ib. 660</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PARLIAMENT</p> <p><i>Grant a Commission for ordering and disposing publick Affairs, to certain great Men, with extraordinary Powers</i> I. 3</p> <p><i>Some of the King's Ministers advise him against it; which is made an Article of Treason against them</i> 7</p> <p><i>The Lords adjudge an Accusation to be good, after it had been declared by the Judges to be not according to Civil and Common Law</i> 11</p> <p><i>Orders of Parliament determine with the Sessions</i> II. 210, 749</p> <p><i>For Treason, Felony, or breach of the Peace, there is no Privilege of Parliament</i> 336</p> <p><i>The Long Parliament held to be dissolv'd by the Death of King Charles the First, notwithstanding the Act that it should not be dissolv'd but by their own Consent</i> II. 444</p> <p><i>Whether the Power of the Parliament, as to Declaratory Treasons, be not taken away by 1 Mar. Sec?</i> I. 760. II. 565</p> <p><i>Inferior Courts may judge of Privilege of Parliament, where it is incident to a Suit the Court is possess'd of; but not of Matters arising originally in Parliament</i> 620</p> <p><i>Appeals, Writs of Error, and Impeachments, are not to be begun de novo on calling a new Parliament; but they remain in the same State they were at the Dissolution of the old</i> 747</p> <p><i>But Inferior Courts may grant Execution in the Vacancy of Parliaments, notwithstanding Appeals or Writs of Error lodg'd in the House of Peers</i> 748</p> <p><i>Counsel may not argue concerning the Law and Course of Parliaments</i> III. 208</p> <p><i>The Courts of Westminster are to take notice of the Adjournment, Prorogation, and Dissolution of Parliaments</i> 254</p> <p><i>The Parliament in their judicial Capacity to be govern'd by the Statute and Common Laws as well as the Courts at Westminster</i> 255</p> <p><i>The Power of declaring new Treasons was lodg'd in the House of Lords by 25 Ed. III. and could only be used when Matters of Difficulty were brought before them from inferior Courts</i> V. 110</p> <p><i>Whether it be just in the Parliament to take away a Man's Life by Bill of Attainder, on less Evidence than the Law of the Land requires in inferior Courts?</i> 114</p> <p><i>Whether the Convention acted consonant to the Laws of this Kingdom, when they declared the Throne</i></p>	<p><i>vacant on King James's Abdication? Or whether they went upon that Principle, that the People, on any great Emergency, might constitute a new Government for their Preservation?</i> V. 116</p> <p><i>That may be legal Evidence in Parliament which is not so in the Courts of Westminster</i> 132</p> <p>PARRIS, alias Parry, Charles II. 993. See Anderson, & al.</p> <p>PARROT, Hugh V. 287, 297. See Kid, & al.</p> <p>PARRY, William, Doctor of Laws, his Trial for High Treason I. 121</p> <p><i>Names of the Commissioners</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Indicted for conspiring the Death of the Queen</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Pleads Guilty</i> 122</p> <p><i>His Confession afterwards read in Court, wherein he owns that he look'd on it as meritorious to kill the Queen</i> 122</p> <p><i>His Letter to the Queen</i> 124</p> <p><i>A Letter to him from Cardinal de Gomo</i> 125</p> <p><i>His Letter to the Lord Treasurer, and the Earl of Leicester</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He talks distractedly</i> 127</p> <p><i>Lord Chief Justice Wray's Speech before Judgment</i> ib.</p> <p><i>His Execution</i> 128</p> <p>PARTICEPS Criminis. See Accomplice.</p> <p>PAYNE, William III. 505. See Thompson, & al.</p> <p>PEACHELL, John, D. D. Vice-Chancellor. See Cambridge.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PEERS.</p> <p><i>Several Questions concerning the Privilege of the Peers resolved</i> I. 388. See Trials, and Impeachments, and Clergy</p> <p>PEMBROKE and Montgomery, Philip Earl of, his Trial for Murder, 4 April, 1678. 30 Car. II. II. 641</p> <p><i>The Earl brought to the Bar</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Lord High Steward makes a Speech to him</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Indictment</i> 642</p> <p><i>Sir William Jones the Attorney-General's Speech</i> 643</p> <p><i>Malice express, and Malice implied, defined</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence of the Earl of Pembroke's being in the Company of the Deceased, at a Tavern in the Hay-Market</i> 644</p> <p><i>That my Lord threw the Deceased down</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That my Lord kick'd the Deceased, when he was down</i> 645</p> <p><i>The Deceased troubled with Fits</i> 647</p> <p><i>The Physician's Evidence of the Pain the Deceased was in, after these Blows</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Deceased imputed his Illness to the Usage he received in my Lord Pembroke's Company</i> 649</p> <p><i>The Evidence of some of the Coroner's Inquest, who depose that they observed several Bruises, and a great deal of clotted Blood in the bottom of his Belly</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Earl of Pembroke's Witnesses examined</i> 651</p> <p><i>The Doctors of Opinion, that hard Drinking occasioned his Death, and not any ill Usage</i> ib.</p> <p><i>A Surgeon deposes, that the Body had no Bruises to be seen upon it</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir Francis Winnington, Solicitor-General, sums up the Evidence</i> 653</p> <p><i>My Lord Pembroke is found Guilty of Manslaughter</i> 655</p>
---	---

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- Where a Commoner should have read, and been burnt in the Hand, a Peer was to be discharged without either* II. 655
- PENNINGTON, Isaac** II. 391. See Regicides.
- PENN, William, and William Mead, their Trial for a Riot and Conspiracy,** 22 Car. II. 1670. II. 610
- The Indictment* ib.
- The Prisoners fin'd Forty Marks each, for standing before the Court with their Hats on* 611
- Cook's Evidence of Penn's preaching to the Mob in Grace-church-street, and Mead's abetting him* ib.
- Penn justifies their Meeting* 612
- Demands upon what Law the Indictment is grounded* ib.
- Penn is violent in his Defence, and is order'd to be carry'd away* 613
- Mead's Defence* ib.
- Mead is carry'd into the Bail-Dock* ib.
- The Recorder directs the Jury in the Absence of the Prisoners; which they object to as unlawful* ib.
- The Jury give a special Verdict* 614
- And are sent out again* ib.
- They put their Verdict into Writing* ib.
- The Court refuse it again, and adjourn till next Morning, when they give the same Verdict again* 615
- They are threaten'd by the Court, and lock'd up till the next Morning; and then they acquit both the Prisoners* 616
- The Jurors are fin'd for going contrary to Evidence, and sent to Newgate with the Prisoners* ib.
- Their Commitment afterwards adjudged illegal* ib.
- PENRUDDOCK, Colonel John, his Trial for High Treason before Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer at Exon, 19 April, 1655.** II. 259
- Several other Cavaliers arraigned with him* ib.
- He insists that there could be no Treason but against the King* 260
- Says he surrender'd to Capt. Crook on Articles* 261
- That the Law knows no such Person as Protector* 260, 262
- He is found Guilty* 262
- Sentence passed on him and Twenty-six more* ib.
- Declares in his Dying Speech, that, by his Articles, his Life, Liberty, and Estate were stipulated for* 263
- His Behaviour at Execution; and Letters from and to his Lady* 264
- P E R J U R Y.**
- One convicted of Perjury a Witness in Law, till the Record of his Conviction be produc'd* II. 1006
- One convicted of Perjury, a Pardon will not restore him to his Credit* III. 47
- Whether a Witness for the King may be indicted for Perjury on the Statute* IV. 52, 92, 102
- Perjury anciently punish'd with Death; but at this Day the Punishment is in the Discretion of the Court, saving Life and Member* 104
- PERROT, Sir John, Lord Deputy of Ireland, try'd at Westminster for High Treason, 1592.** I. 188
- Commissioners Names* ib.
- Indicted, 1. for treasonable Correspondence, 2. for Disobedience to the Queen's Orders, 3. for Harbouring Traitors* 189
- Twenty-four only return'd on the Pannel, and Twelve sworn* I. 189
- Several indecent Expressions spoken by him in Ireland of the Queen given in Evidence; most of which he denies* 190
- Seager swears he exhorted him to murder a Man* 191
- Archbishop of Cashil, that he conniv'd at the Escape of a Traitor* ib. 192
- Prov'd that he discharg'd several who had made Ballads on the Government* 192
- A treasonable Correspondence sworn against him by Sir Dennis Oroughan* ib.
- Sir John objects against him as a Person of infamous Character* 193
- He is convicted; but his Judgment respited* ib. 194
- Being call'd to Judgment, he denies Seager's Evidence; and affirms the other Witnesses to be perjur'd, and himself to be innocent* ib. 195
- Receives Judgment of Death* 196
- He dies in the Tower* 197
- PETERS, Hugh** II. 357. See Regicides.
- PETITION.** See Libel.
- PHILLIPS, George** II. 478. See Tonge, & al.
- PICKERING, Thomas** II. 696. See Ireland, & al.
- PILKINGTON, Thomas, Esq;** Samuel Shute, Esq; Sheriffs; Henry Cornish, Alderman, Ford Lord Grey of Werk, Sir Thomas Player, Knight, Chamberlain of London, Slingsby Bethel Esq; Francis Jenks, John Deagle, Richard Freeman, Richard Goodenough, Robert Key, John Wickham, Samuel Swinock, John Jekyll senior, *their Trial, May 8, 1683,* 35 Car. II. III. 630
- An Information brought against them for a Riot in continuing the Poll for Election of Sheriffs, after the Common Hall was adjourn'd by the Lord Mayor, and for assaulting the Lord Mayor, &c.* ib.
- The Counsel for the Defendants challeng'd the Array, because the Pannel was return'd by the Sheriffs, the Legality of whose Election they disputed; and urg'd that, if their Election was admitted to be valid, their Clients Cause was prejudg'd, for that was the Point in Dispute* 631
- They are over-rul'd by the Court, and the Jury sworn* 634
- Sir Robert Sawyer and Mr. Finch open the Evidence* 635
- Sir George Jefferies gives a particular Account of the Manner of electing Sheriffs, and other Officers, on Midsummer-Day* ib.
- Evidence to this Purpose for the King* 636
- Evidence against the several Defendants* 645
- The Rioters Defence* 647
- Several Witnesses examin'd for the Defendants, as to the Power of adjournment* 650
- Whoever can call or dissolve an Assembly, may adjourn it* 658
- Whether a Common Hall are bound to elect the Person my Lord Mayor drinks to, for Sheriff?* 651, 652.
- Whether a Number of Persons insisting upon, and asserting their Right where they have a probable Cause, can be said to be guilty of a Riot in so doing* 659
- Ignorance of the Law no Excuse* ib.
- The Rioters convicted* 660
- And*

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>And fin'd</i> III. 660 <i>The Judgment revers'd in Parliament after the Revolution</i> 661</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PLANTATIONS.</p> <p><i>Whether private Subjects in the Plantations have a Right to petition the King or Parliament of England?</i> V. 431 <i>Whether an Act of Assembly in the Plantations can create a new Treason?</i> 432, 433</p> <p>PLAYER, Sir Thomas, Kt. III. 630. See Pilkington, & al.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PLEAS, and PLEADING.</p> <p><i>Where one pleads a defective Pardon, whether he shall be admitted to plead over; or whether such a Plea will be fatal?</i> II. 15 <i>Exceptions to an Indictment ought to be made before Plea pleaded</i> 89 <i>If the Prisoner demurs to the Indictment, he confesses the Fact</i> II. 92. III. 796 <i>Counsel assign'd a Prisoner to put his Plea in Form</i> 228 <i>Time given a Prisoner to maintain his Plea to the Jurisdiction</i> 238 <i>A Record cannot be pleaded in general Terms; but the special Matter must be set forth</i> 233 <i>A private Act of Parliament must be pleaded specially</i> ib. <i>Averments necessary in pleading, and what Averments shall be good, and what not</i> 248, 251 <i>One cannot plead Autre foitz arraign'd</i> 252 <i>Where an Averment shall reduce a general Allegation to a Certainty</i> 253 <i>No Fact is admitted by a Demurrer that is not well pleaded</i> 253 <i>An Action brought cannot be pleaded in Bar to another Action for the same Cause, unless a Declaration be deliver'd in the first Action</i> 256 <i>Matter of Fact aver'd shall not enlarge the Record</i> 257 <i>A Plea in Paper, and without a Counsel's Hand, is not to be receiv'd</i> IV. 213 <i>Where one pleads to the Jurisdiction, he ought to be ready to maintain his Plea presently</i> 214 <i>In Capital Cases the Criminal is allow'd no Time to plead</i> 323 <i>Where one appears upon a Summons he shall have an Impar lance; but if he comes in Custody, or on a Recognizance, he shall plead presently</i> 324 <i>Where one pleads to an Indictment, he admits the Copy deliver'd him according to the late Act, to be a true Copy</i> 668 <i>Time refus'd to consider of a Joinder in Demurrer</i> VI. 242</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PLOT.</p> <p><i>Plotters against Queen Elizabeth</i> I. 128, 134 <i>Powder-Plot</i> 232, 248 <i>Papish Plot</i> II. 656, &c. <i>Ryehouse Assassination Plot</i> III. 684 <i>Assassination Plot against King William</i> IV. 562</p> <p>PLUMTRE, Henry Esq; III. 949. See Sacheverell, William.</p> <p>PLUNKET, John VI. 335. See Atterbury.</p> <p>PLUNKET, Oliver, D. D. Titular Primate of Ireland, his Trial the 8th of June, Trinity Term, 33 Car. II. 1681. at the King's Bench-Bar Westminster, for High Treason committed in Ireland III. 293 <i>Arraign'd before, in Ireland, for the same Fact</i> ib.</p>	<p><i>Five Weeks time allow'd the Doctor to send for his Witnesses</i> III. 293 <i>His Trial comes on</i> 294 <i>The Indictment, for Conspiring the Death of his Majesty, and the Subversion of the Establish'd Religion and Government in Ireland</i> ib. <i>The King's Counsel open the Indictment</i> 295 <i>Sir Robert Sawyer, Attorney-General, his Speech before Evidence</i> ib. <i>The King's Witnesses call'd</i> 296 <i>Evidence of the French King's Design to invade Ireland, and the Prisoner's collecting Money of the Popish Clergy to incite a Rebellion in Ireland, to favour the Invasion</i> ib. <i>The Prisoner enters upon his Defence</i> 309 <i>Complains that the Time allow'd him was not sufficient to bring over his Records and Witnesses</i> ib. <i>Mr. Solicitor sums up the Evidence</i> ib. <i>He insists much on a Letter the Doctor sent to invite the French King to invade Ireland</i> 310 <i>Mr. Serjeant Jefferies's Observations on the Evidence</i> ib. <i>An Evidence examin'd for the Prisoner, after the King's Counsel had made their Observations</i> 311 <i>The Chief Justice directs the Jury</i> ib. <i>The Prisoner is convicted</i> 312 <i>He is call'd to his Sentence, and makes a Speech, wherein he complains of being try'd in a Foreign Kingdom by a Jury of Strangers, who neither knew the Character of him or the Witnesses; and endeavours to shew the Improbability of what had been sworn against him</i> ib. <i>The Chief Justice tells him he is try'd in England by virtue of an ancient Statute; and that he was not the first Instance, for O'Rurke and several others had been try'd in England for Crimes committed in Ireland</i> 313 <i>The Chief Justice pronounces Sentence on Dr. Plunket as a Traitor</i> 314 <i>He is executed</i> ib. <i>His Dying Speech</i> ib.</p> <p>POCKLINGTON, John, Esq; VI. 188. See Gilbert, Sir Jeffery.</p> <p>POLE, Michael de la, Earl of Suffolk, Lord Chancellor, impeach'd I. 1 <i>Disputes the Sense of the Chancellor's Oath</i> 2 <i>Censur'd on the First, Fourth, and Fifth Articles</i> ib. <i>His Judgment</i> 3 <i>Accus'd of Treason. See Brambr.</i></p> <p>PORDAGE, Dr. John, Rector of Bradfield, his Trial before the Commissioners of Berks, for ejecting scandalous and insufficient Ministers, 1654. II. 217 <i>The first Nine Articles exhibited against him</i> 218 <i>Charging him with maintaining several heterodox Opinions in Religion</i> ib. <i>The Doctor objects, that he had already been try'd and acquitted of this Charge; which does not avail him</i> ib. <i>His Answer to the first Articles</i> 219 <i>A second Charge, of speaking against the State of Matrimony; and of dealing with Conjurers</i> 221, 222 <i>His Answer</i> 222 <i>A third Charge, of erroneous Doctrines; and of saying he convers'd with Angels, and saw Dragons, and the Devil</i> 224 <i>Evidence to prove it</i> 225</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A</p>
---	---

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- A fourth Charge, of criminal Conversation with a Woman, and having a Child by her* II. 226
Evidence to the second Charge ib.
Evidence to the third Charge 227
The Doctor's Defence ib.
As to Doctrinal Points 228
As to conversing with Angels, &c. 230
To the fourth Charge 232
As for what he is accus'd of before 1651, he pleads the General Pardon 234
The Depositions as to the first Charge for and against the Doctor 235
Evidence pro and con of the second Charge 244
Evidence pro and con of the third Charge 245
Hear-say admitted as Evidence against the Doctor 251
The Sentence pass'd upon him, to turn him out of his Living 259
- PORTEOUS, Captain John, Proceedings in the Trial of him for Murder, before the Court of Justiciary, at Edinburgh, in July 1736, 10 Geo. I.** VI. 763
The Indictment, subscribed by the King's Solicitor ib. 764
The Information, by the King's Advocate 765
Captain Porteous's Defence, by his Counsel 770
Interlocutor upon the Relevancy against him 781
The Libel found relevant ib.
The Jury sworn ib.
The Prisoner confesses, the Persons mentioned in the Indictment were killed and wounded ib.
Depositions of the Witnesses against him ib. & seqq.
of the Witnesses for him 788, & seqq.
The Verdict against him 791
Sentence of Death passed ib.
His Petition to Queen Caroline, then Guardian of the three Realms ib.
A Letter from the Duke of Newcastle, Secretary of State, by Order of her Majesty, to the Lords of the Justiciary, for stay of Execution for six Weeks 793
The Captain is thereupon reprieu'd ib.
But taken out of Prison, three Days after, and executed by the Populace 794
They order two of their Number, for want of Clergymen, to officiate, at the Place of Execution ib.
- PORTLAND, William Earl of, John Lord Somers, Edward Earl of Orford, Charles Lord Halifax, their Impeachment, together with the Charge against the Lord Haverham for Breach of Privilege, exhibited by the House of Commons, 13 W. III. 1701** V. 339
The Lords address the King not to censure or punish the Four Lords till the Impeachments are try'd ib.
The Lords send a Message to the Commons, to put them in mind of exhibiting Articles against the Lords 340
The Commons bring up Articles against the Earl of Orford, and demand he should give Security to abide the Judgment of the House of Lords ib.
The Lords search their Journals, and find it not usual to take Security ib.
The Articles against the Earl of Orford; and his Answer ib.
The Earl of Orford desires a Copy of the Articles against him; which is granted 344
A Copy of the Lord Orford's Answer order'd to be sent down to the Commons ib.
- Two Counsel assign'd him for his Trial* V. 344
Another Message from the Lords, to put the Commons in mind of exhibiting Articles against the other Impeach'd Lords 345
Articles exhibited against John Lord Somers; and his Answer ib.
A Message sent by the Lords to the Commons, that the House having been desir'd by the Earl of Orford, that a Day may be appointed for his speedy Trial, their Lordships finding no Issue join'd by Replication of the House of Commons, think fit to give them Notice thereof 356
Another Message to put the Commons in mind of exhibiting Articles against the Lords Portland and Halifax 357
A Message from the Lords, that they had appointed a Day for the Trial of the Earl of Orford; and that the Commons might reply, if they thought fit ib.
The Commons insist upon it as their Right to exhibit Articles, when they see fit ib.
That where several are impeach'd, it is their undoubted Right to bring to Trial such of them first as they see fit ib.
That their Lordships ought not to appoint a Day of Trial, before the Commons have signified their being ready to proceed thereon 358
Precedents in Cases of Impeachment ib.
The Lords in a Message to the Commons set forth, that they can find no Precedent where Articles have been so long deferr'd after a general Impeachment 359
The Lords insist on their Right of appointing the Time for the Trial ib.
The Commons propose a Conference on the Methods of Proceedings in Impeachments ib.
The Lords agree to it ib.
Precedents search'd by the Lords 360
The Lords again assert their Right, to appoint a Day for the Trial of an Impeachment, without any previous Signification from the Commons, of their being ready to proceed 361
In a Message by Mr. Harcourt, the Commons insist on their Proposition for a Committee of both Houses, to adjust the Preliminaries for the Trial: particularly, whether the Impeach'd Lords shall appear at their Trials, at their Lordships Bar as Criminals; and whether they shall sit as Judges on each others Trials for these Crimes, or give their Votes in these Cases ib.
Precedents concerning the Regulation of Trials before the Lords 362
The Lords refuse to appoint a Committee, to meet a Committee of the House of Commons, to settle the Preliminaries in relation to the Trial of the Impeach'd Lords 363
A Message to the Commons, that they have appointed the 13th of June for the Trial of the Lord Somers ib.
In a Message from the Commons, they take Notice that the Lords have omitted naming a Place for the Trial ib.
They still insist on a Committee of both Houses, before they proceed upon the Trial ib.
The Lords Reasons given at a Conference for not appointing a Committee ib.
The Lords resolve, that no Lord of Parliament, Impeach'd of High Crimes and Misdemeanours, shall at his Trial be without the Bar 364
and

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- And that no Lord of Parliament, Impeach'd as
afore said, can be precluded from Voting on any
Occasion, except in his own Trial* V. 364
- The Lords appoint the 17th of June for the Lord
Somers's Trial* 365
- Exceptions taken by the Commons to some Expres-
sions of my Lord Haversham's at a free Con-
ference* 366
- The Commons desire the Lords to proceed against
the Lord Haversham for the Words spoken by
him, and inflict such Punishment upon the said
Lord, as so high an Offence against the House
of Commons deserves* ib.
- Articles brought up against Charles Lord Halifax,
and demanded he should give Security to abide
the Judgment of the House of Lords* 367
- His Answer to the Articles* ib.
- The Commons refuse a Conference, till Satisfaction
be given them as to the Words spoken by the
Lord Haversham* 372
- Order'd, that the Lord Haversham have a Copy
of the Charge deliver'd in against him by the
Commons; and that he have Counsel allow'd
him* 373
- The Lords acquaint the Commons with their in-
tended Method of Proceeding at the Trial of the
Lord Somers* ib.
- An Order of the House of Lords for the Lord
Somers's Witnesses to attend the Trial* 374
- Reasons given by the Commons why they refuse to
proceed to the Trial of the Lord Somers; and
an Answer thereto by the Lords* ib.
- A Message from the Lords, that they intend pre-
sently to proceed to the Trial of the Lord So-
mers; the Messengers acquaint the Lords that
the House of Commons is adjourn'd* 376
- The Lords proceed to the Trial, notwithstanding*
ib.
- Proclamation made in the King's Name* ib.
- No Prosecutor appearing, he is acquitted by the
Majority of Voices* 377
- The Lords appoint the 23d of June for the Trial
of the Lord Orford* 378
- The Commons answer, they will proceed first to
the Trial of the Lord Somers, when they have
receiv'd Satisfaction in relation to my Lord
Haversham, &c.* ib.
- The Lord Haversham's Answer to the Charge of
the House of Commons* ib.
- The Lords declare that, unless the Commons pro-
secute their Charge against the Lord Haversham
before the End of this Session, they will adjudge
him Innocent* 381
- An Order of the Lords for my Lord Orford's Wit-
nesses to attend the Trial* ib.
- A Complaint that the Commons in their printed
Votes had reflected on the House of Peers* ib.
- Resolv'd, that those Reflections of the Commons
are contriv'd to cover their affected and unrea-
sonable Delays in prosecuting the Impeach'd
Lords* ib.
- That they tend to the subverting the Constitution,
&c.* ib.
- They proceed to the Trial of the Earl of Orford*
382
- They acquit him Nemine contradicente, no Prose-
cutor appearing* ib.
- Order'd, that the Charge against the Lord Ha-
versham be dismissed* ib.
- That the Impeachment against the Lord Portland
be dismiss'd, no Articles being exhibited against
him* V. 383
- That the Impeachment against the Lord Halifax
and the Articles against him, be dismiss'd* ib.
- That the Impeachment against the Duke of Leeds
for High Crimes and Misdemeanours, and the
Articles against him, be dismiss'd* ib.
- POTTER, Vincent II. 398. See Regicides.
- POYSON. See Murder.
- PRECEPT. See Writs.
- PREROGATIVE I. 547, and II. 305.
- PRESSICKS, Mary III. 79. See Thwing.
- PRESTON, Lord Viscount (alias Sir Richard
Grahme, Baronet) John Ashton, and Ed-
mund Elliot, their arraignment for High
Treason, 16 January, 1690, 2 W. & M.
IV. 410
- The Indictment for Compassing the Death of the
King and Queen, and adhering to their Enemies*
ib.
- The same Overt-Acts laid to prove the Adhesion,
as to prove the Compassing the King and Queen's
Death* IV. 413
- The Lord Preston insists upon his Peerage* ib.
- The Court tell him he must produce his Patent*
414
- That the House of Lords had over-rul'd his Claim
of Peerage* ib.
- And that his Lordship had disclaim'd his Right to
Peerage there* ib.
- The Indictment read in Latin at the Prisoner's
Request* 415
- Produces a Statute of 46 Edw. III. upon which
he prays a Copy of his Indictment* 417
- Which is refus'd him* 418
- It is not regular to move to put off a Trial before
Plea pleaded* ib.
- The Prisoners plead Not Guilty, and then move
for a longer Time to prepare for their Trial,
urging that the hasty Proceedings in the late
Reign in Capital Cases were look'd upon as great
Hardships, and given by King William as one
of the Reason for his coming over, in one of his
Declarations* 419
- A Trial never put off on a Suggestion that Wit-
nesses are out of the way, without Oath made of
it* 421
- The Prisoners refusing to join in their Challenges,
are order'd to be try'd separately* 423
- The Lord Preston comes upon his Trial, and
Ashton and Elliot remanded to Newgate, and
not permitted to be present at his Trial* ib.
- Jurors discharg'd for want of Freehold* ib.
- The King need not shew his Cause of Challenge till
the Pannel is gone through* ib.
- Mr. Solicitor General (Sir John Somers) his
Speech before Evidence* 425
- Captain Billop's Evidence of taking the Prisoners
on board a Smack at Gravesend, as they were
going to France with treasonable Papers* 433
- Lord Nottingham Secretary of State, Marquis
of Carmarthen Lord President, and Lord Sid-
ney, depose that the Papers produc'd in Court
were the same that were brought to them by
Captain Billop* 436, 437
- The Papers read, giving an Account of the State
of the English Fleet and Garrisons, &c.*
440

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- Witnesses produc'd to prove some of the Papers to be my Lord's Hand* IV. 446
- Lord Preston's Defence. He objects that no Treason was prov'd against him in the County of Middlesex* 447
- That Similitude of Hands was not Evidence of a Man's Hand-writing in Capital Cases* ib.
- His Objections answer'd* ib.
- The Lord Chief Justice Holt directs the Jury* 448
- Lord Chief Justice Pollexfen adds his Observations* ... 453
- The Lord Preston suffer'd to speak in his Defence after the Evidence sum'd up* 454
- He is convicted* 455
- PRESUMPTION.** See Evidence.
- PRICE, Anne** II. 1017. See *Tasborough*.
- PRICE, John Esq;** and 100 other Protestants, their Arraignment for High Treason at Wicklow in the Kingdom of Ireland, March 6, 1688-9, IV. 401
- An Introduction, giving an Account how they came to be charg'd with High Treason* ib.
- Ten of the Grand Jury Papists* 402
- The Lord Chief Justice Keating's Charge to them* ib.
- The Irish Papists arm themselves upon the Revolution with Half-Pikes and Skeans* ib.
- Encourag'd by the regular Troops in their Depredations on the English* ib.
- No Petty Treason in Ireland* 403
- Murder made High Treason in Ireland* ib.
- People may assemble for their common Defence, without staying for Permission from Authority* 404
- The Indictment against Mr. Price and the rest* ib.
- Prisoners to the Civil Power ought not to be guarded by Soldiers, or with Fire-Arms* 405
- The Grand Jury ought to be all Freeholders* ib.
- A Capital Crime must be try'd in the County where it is committed* 406
- For want of Jurors qualified, the Trial put off to another Assizes; and most of the Prisoners bail'd by order of the Government, though committed for High Treason* 407
- The Protestants complain to the Court of their being stripp'd and plunder'd by a Rabble of Irish Papists* ib.
- Two convicted for stealing Cattle; and the Court directing the Ordinary not to assist them in reading, they receive Sentence of Death* 408
- The Lord Deputy Tyrconnel's Letter read at the Assizes, requiring a Loan of particular Persons towards subsisting King James's Army* 409
- The Grand Jury excuse themselves through the Poverty of their County, and are reprimanded by the Judge* 410
- PRIEST** II. 801. See *Plot, Popish*.
- PRINTING.**
- A Printer on a Trial for High Treason is allow'd a Jury, half of which are Booksellers or Printers* II. 530. See *Libel*.
- PRISONERS, and IMPRISONMENT.**
- Sir Thomas More Imprisoned 15 Months, and his Estate confiscated before he is brought to Trial* I. 61
- One kept in Prison after his being try'd and acquitted of High Treason* 77
- A Prisoner deny'd the Liberty of taking Notes at his Trial* 135
- False Imprisonment to detain one committed by Order of Parliament, after the Dissolution of the Parliament* II. 209
- The Goods of a Prisoner accus'd of Treason or Felony, may be secur'd; but the Prisoner shall have a Subsistence out of them, till he is convicted* II. 504. IV. 627. V. 290
- A Prisoner Indicted for Felony, denied Process by the Court to bring in his Witnesses* II. 505
- The Jury directed in the Absence of the Prisoner* 613
- Earl of Danby Imprisoned 40 Months without any Prosecution* 744
- Resolv'd by all the Judges, that the King could not give him Leave to go to his House* 753
- Where any Question of Law arises, it ought to be put in the Prisoner's Presence* 729
- Counsel assigned a Prisoner shall not be discharged without his Assent* III. 233
- A Prisoner in Capital Cases may not have any Instruction in Writing, or otherwise, from Counsel or others, without they are assign'd by the Court to assist him* 344
- A Peer imprison'd on a Homine Replegiand' till the Party essoign'd was produced* 544
- The Court of King's-Bench deny the Prisoner a Habeas Corpus to bring up his Witnesses, who were Prisoners for Debt* IV. 2
- A Prisoner for High Treason, not usual to let his Friends be in private with him* 209, 662, 737
- A Prisoner to the Civil Power ought to be put not under a Guard of Soldiers* 404, 405
- A Prisoner brought by Habeas Corpus to the King's-Bench, may be remanded to the County Goal from whence he came, or to any Prison in the County where the King's-Bench sits* III. 1000
- Where a Witness is a Prisoner, he cannot be brought out of the Rules but by Habeas Corpus* IV. 599
- The Prisoner's Irons ought to be taken off during the Trial* IV. 698. V. 18
- But not upon his Arraignment* VI. 232
- A Statute made to imprison several Persons for Life* V. 81
- Whether a Peer may be committed for a Misdemeanour?* IV. 312
- Whether a Commitment to the Custody of a Messenger be a lawful Imprisonment?* 556
- PRITCHARD, Sir William,** late Lord Mayor of London, and **Thomas Papillon, Esq;** the Trial between them, Nov. 6, 1684. 36 Car. II. III. 1072
- The Plaintiff declares that the Defendant caused him to be arrested, being then Lord Mayor, having no probable Cause of Action against the Plaintiff* ib.
- Sir Robert Sawyer, Attorney-General, suggests that this was part of the Plot of the discontented Party to throw the City into Confusion* ib.
- The Manner of the Arrest is prov'd* 1073
- The Lieutenant raise the Militia, and prevent any Disturbance; and my Lord Mayor is released* 1075
- Mr. Serjeant Maynard's Speech for the Defendant* ib.
- The Lord Mayor not exempt from an Arrest, where there is a probable Cause* 1076
- The Defendant's Counsel urge that they had a probable Cause, the Plaintiff making such a Return*

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- turn to the Mandamus for admitting him Sheriff, as he had Reason to apprehend was false* III. 1076
- Mr. Williams's Speech* 1077
- Mr. Ward's Speech* ib.
- The Chief Justice reprimands him* 1078
- Evidence that the Plaintiff refus'd to give an Appearance* 1079
- Evidence that the Defendant had a Majority of Votes on the Poll for Sheriff* 1084
- The Attorney-General's Reply* 1085
- The Plaintiff's Counsel shew that the Poll where the Defendant pretends he was elected Sheriff, was taken in a riotous manner, and by Persons who had no Authority to take it* ib.
- Mr. Serjeant Maynard's Answer* 1087
- The Chief Justice's Observations upon the Evidence* 1088
- The pursuing malicious Methods to obtain a Right, makes the Party doing it liable to an Action* 1090
- Instances of Malice in the Defendant* 1091
- The true Design of this Arrest, to procure an Insurrection* 1093
- Damages directed to be given answerable to the Mischief intended, and not according to what the Plaintiff sustained* 1094
- Damages given 10,000 l.* ib.
- The Chief Justice commends the Verdict* ib.
- PROOF. See Evidence, and Witnesses.
- PROVOCATION. See Murder.
- PRYNNE, William, Esq; *his Trial in the Star-Chamber in Hilary Term, 1693. 9 Car. I. for writing and publishing a Libel, intitled, Histrio-mastix; or, a Scourge for Stage-Players, &c. as also the Trial of Michael Sparkes for Printing, and William Buckner for Licensing the said Libel; and of four others concerned in printing part of it* I. 418
- The Libel censures all manner of Plays and Recreations as unlawful, and casts Reflections on the King, Queen, and Court, &c. for allowing them, and being present at them* ib.
- The keeping of Festivals does not please this Author* ib.
- Prynne's Answer to the Information* 419
- Buckner's Answer* ib.
- Sparkes's Answer* ib.
- Mr. Attorney-General opens and enforces the Charge* 420
- Musick, Dancing, Church-Ceremonies, and Men and Women's Hair offends Mr. Prynne. He insinuates that we are returning to Paganism, and persuades People to fly their Country* ib.
- Prynne affirms that he would rather die than put on his Maid's Clothes* 421
- King Charles's Reign compared with Nero's* 422
- Mr. Atkyns and Mr. Holbourn, of Counsel for Prynne; their Argument in his Defence* ib.
- Mr. Herne's Argument* 423
- Commissioners Speeches before Sentence* ib.
- Prynne censur'd* 428
- Buckner and Sparkes censur'd* ib.
- PRYNNE, William, Esq; I. 481. See Bastwick.
- PUBLICATION. See Libel.
- P I R A C Y.
- Those on board a Pirate, presumed to concur with him, unless they can make the contrary appear* V. 14
- Those that are Servants on board a Pirate, directed to be acquitted* V. 314
- English Pirates try'd in Scotland* 572
- R.
- R**ALEGH, Sir Walter, *his Trial for High Treason, in conspiring to depose the King, and set up the Lady Arabella Stuart, and corresponding with Spain for that Purpose, and for publishing a Book against the King's Title; before Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer specially appointed at Winton, the 17th of November, 1603. 1 Jac. I.* I. 212
- Sir Edward Coke, Attorney-General, his Speech* 214 & seqq.
- Interrupted by Sir Walter* ib.
- The King is King to all Intents on the Demise of his Predecessor, before he is crowned; and Treason may be committed against him before his Coronation* 214
- Lord Cobham's Examination, who was a Prisoner, and charged with the same Fact, read as Evidence against Sir Walter* 216
- The Prisoner's Answer* ib.
- Lord Cobham's second Examination read; and the Prisoner's Answer* 217
- The Judges rule, that two Witnesses were not necessary to convict one of Treason; and that the 25 of Edw. III. was not then in Force* 218
- An Examination not sign'd by the Party, adjudged Evidence against a third Person* ib.
- Sir Edward Coke affirms, that Circumstantial Evidence alone is sufficient to convict a Man of High Treason* 219
- The Court rule that the Witnesses need not be produced viva voce* 220
- Some hear-say Examinations read* ib. 222
- Evidence concerning the Book* 221
- The Lady Arabella cleared of being concerned in the Plot* 222
- Mr. Attorney gives scurrilous Language to Sir Walter* 216, 220
- Is reprov'd by the Court* 223
- A Letter of Lord Cobham's read in Justification of the Prisoner* 224
- Sir Walter is convicted* ib.
- Sentence passed* 225
- After fourteen Years Imprisonment in the Tower, he is made Admiral of a Squadron bound to Guiana* 226
- Having ill Success, is executed at his Return, upon the former Sentence* 226, & seqq.
- Whether his Commission did not amount to a Pardon, in Honour, if not in Law?* 227
- His Behaviour before and at Execution* 229, & seqq.
- RAPE. See Audley, and Buggery.
- RAWLINS, Pleasant V. 449. See Swendsen, & al.
- READING, Nathanael, Esq; *his Trial for a Misdemeanour, April 24, 1679. 31 Car. II.* II. 806
- Sir James Butler's Charge to the Grand Jury* ib.
- Probable Evidence sufficient for them to find the Bill* ib.
- Eleven Judges assist at the Trial* ib.
- The Indictment, for endeavouring to stifle the King's Evidence against the Popish Lords, by suborning the King's Witnesses, and bribing them to retract their Evidence* 807
- No

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- No peremptory Challenge, but in Capital Cases* II. 808
- The Prisoner complains of too strict and illegal a Confinement* ib.
- One cannot be convicted of Treason in an Indictment, where the Treason is not formally laid, though the Facts charged do amount to Treason* ib.
- Whether he may not be indicted for Treason, on those very Facts, after he had been convicted of a Misdemeanour for them. See Mr. Hampden's Trial* ib.
- Juryman may be examined as a Witness* 809
- The Prisoner confesses, he believes there was a Plot* 810
- Bedloe's Evidence of the Prisoner's tampering with him to retract his Evidence against the Lords* ib.
- Speke deposes that he over-heard the Bargain between Bedloe and the Prisoner* 815
- Bedloe's Servant deposes that he over-heard the Treaty between the Prisoner and his Master* 817
- Mr. Reading's Defence; he shews how he became concerned for the Popish Lords* 818
- He is told, he must not asperse the King's Witnesses, unless he brings Proof of what he charges them with* 821
- The Prisoner to have 100 l. per Ann. out of every 1000 l. per Ann. Bedloe got* ib.
- Bedloe owns he had been engaged in a Design to fire the City of Westminster* 822
- But the Prisoner is told, he must not ask him any Questions tending to make him accuse himself* ib.
- The Prisoner avers that Bedloe first proposed the Matter to him* 824
- Bedloe owns he pitched upon an Estate in Gloucestershire for his Reward* 825
- The Prisoner clears the Popish Lords of any Design to bribe Bedloe* ib.
- He says that his treating with Bedloe was to prevent the shedding of innocent Blood* ib.
- Bedloe receives 500 l. of the Government for his Discovery* 826
- No Man ought to be Counsel in Treason or Felony, till he is assigned* ib.
- The Lord Chief Justice North directs the Jury* 827
- The Jury can have no Papers out of Court that are not under Seal* 828
- The Judgment against Mr. Reading* 829
- He is set in the Pillory* ib.
- REASON, Hugh, and Robert Tranter, their Trial for the Murder of Edward Lutterell, Esq; at the King's-Bench Bar, 3 Feb. 1721. 8 Geo. I. VI. 195
- The Indictment* ib.
- Mr. Serjeant Cheslyre's Speech before Evidence* 197
- Evidence for the King, that the Deceased was arrested, and kill'd by the Prisoners whilst in their Custody* 198
- Evidence of what the Deceased declared, after he was wounded* 201
- D. bates about giving Evidence of what the Deceased said on his Death-Bed, the Examination not being produced* 203
- The Judgment of the Court* 204
- The Surgeon's Evidence* 205
- The Prisoners Defence* ib.
- Evidence for the Prisoners* VI. 205
- Mr. Reeve and Mr. Hungerford, their Reply* 207
- Lord Chief Justice Pratt's Directions to the Jury* 208
- The Prisoners found guilty of Manslaughter* 212
- REBELS. See Traitors.
- RECORDS. See Evidence.
- RECUSANT.
- The Beginning of Recusancy, and the Reason of making the Penal Laws against Popish Recusants* I. 232
- Three Persons, Quakers, convicted of Recusancy for refusing to take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy* II. 463
- A Popish Recusant a good Witness, till the Record of his Conviction is produced* I. 392. IV. 45
- The Juries who try'd the Prisoner for the Popish Plot directed by the Court not to give any Credit to the Testimony of the Popish Witnesses, how numerous* II. 876, 907
- King James's Mandate to the University of Cambridge to admit a Popish Recusant to his Degree* IV. 254
- REGICIDES, twenty-nine, their Trial for High Treason, which began at the Old Baily, London, October 10, 1660. 12 Car. II. II. 302
- Resolutions of the Judges and King's Counsel upon a Consultation preparatory to the Trial* ib.
- That any of the King's Counsel might privately manage the Evidence to the Grand Jury* ib.
- In case a Juror convict a Man, it is no Cause of Challenge to a Prisoner who is to be try'd on the same Indictment* 303
- The Lord Chief Baron's Charge to the Grand Jury* 304
- The Intention punishable only in Treason* ib.
- Of which Words may be an Overt-Act* ib.
- The People have no coercive Power over the Person of the King* 305
- The Spencers Treason; the holding that the King might be imprisoned, or compelled per aspertee to submit to the People's Demands* ib.
- Any Person executing an illegal Act, though by the King's Command, is punishable* 306
- The King cannot in Person arrest any Man; or be arrested* ib.
- The King cannot sit in Judgment in his own Person; and his Possessions are subject to a Trial by Jury* ib.
- The Prisoners brought from the Tower to Newgate* 307
- They are severally arraigned* ib.
- WALLER, Sir Hardress, pleads Guilty 308
- MARTEN, Henry, offers to the Court, that his Name is mis-spelt in the Exception in the Act of Indemnity 309
- And is over-ruled* 310
- FLEETWOOD, George, pleads Guilty 311
- The rest plead Not guilty* 308, & seqq.
- HARRISON, Thomas, brought upon his Trial 314
- Sir Heneage Finch aggravates the Charge* ib.
- Holds that if any one of the Overt-Acts laid in the Indictment, or any other Overt-Act tending to the same Treason be proved, it maintains the Indictment* ib.
- An Account of the Number of the Regicides, and where dispersed, &c.* 315
- Sir Edward Turner's Speech* ib.
- The Witnesses called* 316
- Prov'd*

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>Proved, that he sat in the Court several Days; and particularly on the Day of the Sentence</i> II. 317</p> <p><i>That he sign'd the Warrant for summoning the High Court of Justice</i> 318</p> <p><i>That he sign'd the Warrant for the Execution of the King</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Proved, that Harrison advis'd to blacken the King in their Charge against him</i> 317</p> <p><i>Copy of the Warrant for the Execution of the King</i> 319</p> <p><i>Harrison's Defence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He justifies the Fact, as approved by Heaven</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Says that many upon the Bench were as active in the Rebellion as himself</i> ib. 320</p> <p><i>He excepts to the Jurisdiction of the Court</i> 320</p> <p><i>And says he acted by Authority of the Parliament, for which he was not to be questioned here</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Some on the Bench answer him</i> 320, 321</p> <p><i>The Lord Chief Baron directs the Jury</i> 323</p> <p><i>An Endeavour to imprison the King an Overt-Act of compassing his Death</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Harrison convicted</i> 324</p> <p><i>Judgment against him</i> ib.</p> <p><i>SCROOP, Adrian, his Trial</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Evidence against him the same as against Harrison</i> 325</p> <p><i>Scroop's Defence</i> 327</p> <p><i>That he acted under the then Supreme Authority</i> ib.</p> <p><i>But forty-six Members in the House, when the Act for erecting the High Court of Justice passed</i> 328</p> <p><i>Whoever obeys a Court, where it exceeds its Jurisdiction, is punishable</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron directs the Jury</i> 329</p> <p><i>Scroop convicted</i> 330</p> <p><i>CAREW, John, his Trial</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Evidence against him, the same as against the two former</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Carew's Defence</i> 331</p> <p><i>He justifies the Fact, and insists that the Cause was approved by Heaven</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Carew convicted</i> 333</p> <p><i>SCOT, Thomas, his Trial</i> 334</p> <p><i>Proved, that he sat as Judge in the High Court of Justice, that he was there upon the Day of Sentence, and that he sign'd the late King's Death-Warrant</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Proved, that he gloried in the Fact, and desired it might be inscribed on his Tomb</i> 335</p> <p><i>Scot's Defence</i> 336</p> <p><i>For Treason and Felony there is no Privilege of Parliament</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He endeavours to prove that the Rump-Parliament were a rightful and lawful Authority</i> 337</p> <p><i>The Bishops excluded by Act of Parliament</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Scot convicted</i> 338</p> <p><i>CLEMENT, Gregory, retracts his Plea, and pleads Guilty</i> ib.</p> <p><i>JONES, John, his Trial</i> 339</p> <p><i>Evidence against him the same as against Harrison</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Jones convicted</i> ib. 340</p> <p><i>Scroop, Carew, Scot, Jones, and Clement called to their Sentence</i> 340</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron's Speech to them</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He shews them, the thing was never the less sinful, for their pretending Conscience; and that the Man who killed his Father and Mother made the same Pretence</i> ib.</p>	<p><i>Sentence pronounced on the last five</i> II. 340</p> <p><i>Cook, John, his Trial</i> 341</p> <p><i>Proved, that he exhibited the Charge against the King to the High Court of Justice</i> 342</p> <p><i>The King refusing to plead, the Prisoner mov'd the Court that the Charge might be taken pro confesso</i> 343</p> <p><i>That he demanded Judgment against the King</i> ib. 344</p> <p><i>That he examin'd Witnesses against the King</i> 345</p> <p><i>Cook's Defence</i> 346</p> <p><i>He pleads that he was assign'd to be Counsel against the King</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That he acted only for his Fee, and not with a malicious Intention</i> 347</p> <p><i>That he was not instrumental in taking away the King's Life, having no Power in the Court, and acting only as Counsel</i> 348</p> <p><i>Claims the Act of Oblivion</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Insists upon the King's Declaration from Breda</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He objects, that his writing treasonable Words, which others dictated, was not Treason</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor's Reply to the Prisoner's Defence</i> 349</p> <p><i>Words tending to the Personal Hurt of the King, treasonable</i> 350</p> <p><i>A Pardon cannot be by Implication, but must have express Words</i> 351</p> <p><i>Sir Edward Turner's Reply</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Windham's Reply</i> 352</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron's Directions to the Jury</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Tells them the exhibiting the Charge against his Majesty was High Treason</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron shews he was not within the Equity of 11 Hen. IV. which indemnifies those who assist a King de facto</i> 356</p> <p><i>Cook is convicted</i> 357</p> <p><i>PETERS, Hugh, brought upon his Trial</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Evidence against him</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That he confess'd he advis'd Cromwell to put the King to death</i> 358</p> <p><i>That he commanded the Soldiers to cry out Justice! Justice! against the King</i> 361</p> <p><i>That he was active at the King's Execution</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That he preached on that Text, Bind their Kings with Chains, and their Nobles with Fetters of Iron</i> 362</p> <p><i>Hugh Peters's Defence</i> 364</p> <p><i>The Lord Chief Baron's Directions to the Jury</i> 365</p> <p><i>He tells the Prisoner, that the encouraging, aiding, or abetting those who sat upon the King, and brought him to his Death, was as much Treason as if he himself had sat upon him and sentenced him; and that his seditious Speeches in the Pulpit, or out of it, were a sufficient Overt-Act of his treasonable Imagination</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor observes, that this was the Man who had seduced the rest, who said they acted in the Fear of the Lord; and that his Execution might be a Means to bring some of them to their Senses: that his Death would preach much better than his Life had done, and might in some Measure vindicate the Pulpit from that Dishonour he had brought upon it</i> 367</p> <p><i>Hugh Peters convicted</i> 368</p> <p><i>Cook and Peters call'd to their Sentence together</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Cook objects to the Indictment, that it does not aver that he is the same John Cook who is excepted in the Act of Indemnity</i> ib.</p>
--	--

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>The Court tells him, he has admitted it, by pleading to it</i> II. 368</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron's Speech before Sentence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He tells Mr. Cook, that those Words in the Oath of Supremacy, which he had taken, that the King is the only Supreme Governor in these Realms, excludes any co-ordinate Power</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Judgment pronounced on Cook and Peters</i> 369</p> <p>HULET, alias HOWLET, William, arraigned ib.</p> <p>Axtell, Daniel, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>The King's Counsel open the Indictment and Evidence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Witnesses produced against Axtell</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence of Axtell's commanding the Guards at the King's Trial, and beating the Soldiers who did not cry out, Justice! Justice! &c.</i> 370</p> <p><i>Axtell's Defence</i> 373</p> <p><i>He insisted, that the Authority by which he acted, was acknowledged, both at home and abroad, to be the Supreme Authority of the Nation; and what he had done was as a Soldier, by the Command of his General, whose Command, if he had refused, he must have died: that he was no more guilty than General Monk, who acted by the same Authority; and though it was but an Authority in Fact, and not of Right, he ought not to be questioned</i> ib. 374</p> <p><i>He repeats and considers distinctly the Evidence against him</i> 377</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron directs the Jury, and tells the Prisoner, that no Authority can bear him out in being concerned in the King's Death</i> 381</p> <p><i>Axtell is convicted</i> 382</p> <p>HACKER, Francis, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Serjeant Keyling opens the Evidence against him</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Hacker confesses he was upon the Guard, and kept the King for his Execution; and being shown the Warrant, he acknowledged that to be the Warrant he had for it</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Two of the Commissioners come down from the Bench to give Evidence; but did not go up to the Bench again during the Trial</i> 384</p> <p><i>Evidence that Hacker acknowledged he did, by virtue of that Warrant, sign another Warrant, for executing the King; but he did not remember to what Person</i> ib.</p> <p><i>His Defence, that he was a Soldier, and what he did was by Command</i> 385</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron directs the Jury, and tells them, that this being the Person who had the Care of the Execution, and signed the Warrant to the Executioner, it was not to be doubted but he was guilty of imagining the King's Death</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Hacker is convicted</i> ib.</p> <p>HULET, William, brought upon his Trial 386</p> <p><i>The King's Counsel open it, that Hulet was the Man who cut off the King's Head</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence of Hulet's confessing he did the Execution, and other circumstantial Evidence of his being the Man</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Hearsay Evidence allow'd against him</i> 387</p> <p><i>Hulet's Defence</i> 388</p> <p><i>He produces several Witnesses, to prove that the common Hangman own'd he did it</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron directs the Jury</i> 389</p> <p><i>Hulet is convicted</i> 390</p> <p>HARVEY, Edmond, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges his Sitting in the High Court of Justice; but says, he did not sign the Warrant</i> 391</p>	<p><i>Calls Witnesses to extenuate his Crime</i> II. 391</p> <p>PENNINGTON, Isaac, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges his Sitting in the High Court of Justice; but denies he had any Malice to his Majesty</i> ib.</p> <p>MARTEN, Henry, brought upon his Trial 392</p> <p><i>Evidence that he was very active in the Counsels against the King, and very merry at signing the Warrant for the King's Execution</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He confesses his Sitting in Judgment on the King; and seems to justify it</i> 393</p> <p>MILLINGTON, Gilbert, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges himself guilty</i> 394</p> <p>TITCHBURN, Robert, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges his Offence</i> ib.</p> <p>ROE, Owen, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges his Sitting in Judgment on his Majesty, and signing the Warrant for his Execution</i> ib.</p> <p>LILBURN, Robert, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges the Charge also</i> ib.</p> <p>SMITH, Henry, being brought upon his Trial, acknowledges his Sitting in Judgment on his Majesty 395</p> <p><i>The Lord Chief Baron's Charge to the Jury</i> ib.</p> <p>Harvey, Pennington, Marten, Millington, Titchburn, Roe, Lilburn, and Smith convicted 396</p> <p>DOWNES, John, brought upon his Trial. <i>He confesses his Sitting in Judgment, and signing the Warrant for Execution: but, in his Excuse, says, he caus'd the Court to adjourn, and did his utmost to save the King; but was induc'd by Threats to comply with the rest</i> ib.</p> <p>POTTER, Vincent, being brought upon his Trial, confesses his Sitting in the High Court of Justice 398</p> <p>GARLAND, Augustine, brought upon his Trial, confesses his Sitting and Signing the Warrant for the Execution ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence of Garland's spitting in the King's Face</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He confesses he was Chairman in the House, when the Act for trying the King passed</i> 399</p> <p>MEYNE, Simon, brought upon his Trial ib.</p> <p><i>He acknowledges his Sitting upon the King, and signing the Warrant for his Execution</i> ib.</p> <p>TEMPLE, James, being brought upon his Trial, acknowledges his Hand to the Warrants ib.</p> <p>TEMPLE, Peter, being brought upon his Trial, acknowledges his Hand to both Warrants ib.</p> <p>WAITE, Thomas, being brought upon his Trial, acknowledges his Hand to the Warrants ib.</p> <p>HEVENINGHAM, William, being brought upon his Trial, acknowledges his Sitting in Judgment on the King 400</p> <p>Downes, Potter, Garland, Meyne, James Temple and Peter Temple, Waite, and Heveningham, convicted 401</p> <p>Sir Hardress Waller, Isaac Pennington, Henry Marten, Gilbert Millington, Robert Titchburn, Owen Roe, Robert Lilburn, Thomas Waite, Edmund Harvey, John Downes, Vincent Potter, Augustine Garland, George Flectwood, James Temple, Simon Meyne, Peter Temple, Francis Hacker, Daniel Axtell, and William Hulet call'd to their Sentence ib. 402</p> <p><i>The Chief Baron's Speech before Judgment</i> 403</p> <p><i>He</i></p>
--	--

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- He acquaints the Prisoners, that, as to all but three of them, Execution is to be suspended till another Act of Parliament shall direct how they shall be dealt with* II. 403
- Judgment pronounced against these 19 as Traitors* 405
- Judgment pronounced also on William Heveningham* ib.
- The Behaviour, Dying Speech, and Execution Of Harrison* ib.
- Of Carew* 408
- Of Cook* 409
- Of Scot* 412
- Of Peters* 413
- Of Clement* ib.
- Of Jones* ib.
- Of Axtell and Hacker* 414
- Of Scroop* 416
- REMARKS. See Atkins, Hawles, and Shower.
- RETURN. See Writs.
- REVOLUTION V. 116, 645, 671
- REYNOLDS, John V. 572. See Green, Thomas, & al.
- RICCARDS, Arthur III. 949. See Sacheverell, William
- RICHARDS, Samuel. See ib.
- RICHARDSON, John II. 585. See Messenger, & al.
- RING, William IV. 131, 134. See Cornish, & al.
- RIOTS and ROUTS. See Pilkington, & al.
- Lawful for private Persons to arm themselves to oppose Riots, Rebellions, or common Enemies* II. 593
- A Riot is, when three or more are together to do an unlawful Act, and enter upon their Design* 613
- Where a number of Persons lawfully conven'd, continue together to do an unlawful Act, (after the Assembly is dissolved by the proper Officer) it is a Rout and unlawful Assembly, though there be no Disorder committed* III. 660
- ROBERTSON, Andrew V. 572. See Green, Thomas, & al.
- ROCHESTER, Bishop of. See Atterbury, Dr. Francis.
- ROE, Owen II. 394, See Regicides.
- ROE, Richard. See Kendall.
- ROGERS, William V. 194. See Cowper.
- ROOKWOOD, Ambrose I. 224. See Winter, Robert.
- ROOKWOOD, Ambrose, his Arraignment, 14 April, 1696. 8 W. III. IV. 661
- He is indicted for High Treason in conspiring the Death of the King, and to that End consulting and agreeing with other Traitors to attack the Guards, and assassinate his Majesty* ib.
- None permitted to be in private with a Prisoner committed for High Treason, but his Counsel* 662
- The Indictment against the Prisoner and three others jointly: but the Court order'd them to be try'd separately* 663
- The Court having sign'd the Precepts for summoning the Petit Juries, adjourn'd for a Week* ib.
- Rookwood's Trial comes on* ib.
- Sir Bartholomew Shower and Mr. Phipps, his Counsel* 664
- So as the Prisoner have a Copy of the Pannel array'd, the Intent of the Act is answered, though it be not at that time returned* 667
- No Habeas Corpus issues in a Trial for Capital Offences; but the Jury come in upon the Venire* IV. 667
- The Prisoner's Counsel object, they have not a true Copy of the Indictment, the Style of Court, &c. being omitted; but were answered they had admitted it a true Copy, by pleading to it* 668
- No Exceptions can be taken to the Indictment after the Jury are sworn, though the Words of the late Act are, that it may be done before Evidence given* 677
- Sir Thomas Trevor (Attorney-General) his Speech before Evidence* 678
- Where the King pardons under the Great Seal, it has the full Effect of a general Pardon by Act of Parliament* 682
- Porter's Evidence of Rookwood's Concern in the Assassination* ib.
- The Prisoner's Counsel oppose the swearing of Harris, because he was intitled to 1000 l. Reward on the Prisoner's Conviction; but this appeared to be a Mistake* 684
- Harris's Evidence that the Prisoner agreed to be one of the Assassines* 686, 687
- Sir Bartholomew Shower's Defence for the Prisoner* 689
- Consulting and agreeing, an Overt-Act of compassing the King's Death* ib.
- No Evidence can be given of an Overt-Act not laid in the Indictment* 691
- No Evidence ought to be produced without opening* 692
- Lord Chief Justice Holt sums up the Evidence* 694
- Any thing may be given in Evidence that tends to prove an Overt-Act laid in the Indictment, though the thing itself that is testified be an Overt-Act not laid in the Indictment* 697
- Rookwood is convicted* 698
- ROSEWELL, Thomas, his Trial for High Treason at the King's-Bench Bar, 18 Novem. 1684. 36 Car. II. III. 997
- The Indictment is remov'd out of the County of Surry into the King's-Bench* ib.
- The Words in the Indictment which are laid to be treasonable* ib.
- The Indictment read in Latin, at the Prisoner's Request* 998
- A Rule made to bring him up again on the Day appointed for his Trial* 999
- A Prisoner brought by Habeas Corpus to the King's-Bench, may be remanded to the County Goal where he was indicted, or to any Prison in the County where the King's-Bench sits* 1000
- The Trial begins* ib.
- Two Women, the King's Witnesses, depose that the Words laid were spoke by the Prisoner at a Conventicle* 1003, 1008
- Other Words permitted to be prov'd than were laid in the Indictment* 1010
- Mr. Roswell has the Indictment read to him in Latin a third Time at his Request, and objects to several Phrases in it* 1012
- The Prisoner insists, he never spoke the Words in the manner the Witnesses testified* ib.
- Gives an Account of the Substance of his Sermon* 1014
- He calls his own Witnesses to attest what he did say* 1017
- The Chief-Justice uses the Prisoner's Witnesses with Contempt* 918
- The*

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<i>The Chief Justice observes the Difficulty of getting the Truth out of the Prisoner's Witnesses</i> III.	1023	<i>Rouse's Defence, wherein he acknowledges there was such a Plot; but says he was trepan'd into it</i>	III. 736
<i>The Prisoner calls Witnesses to his Character</i>	1024	<i>Rouse is convicted</i>	738
<i>Evidence that the Prisoner used to keep the 30th of January as a Fast;</i>	1028	<i>Sentence of Death pass'd upon him.</i>	745
<i>And preached up Kingly Government as the best</i>	1029	<i>His Behaviour at Execution</i>	749
<i>Several Persons examin'd to impeach the Credit of the Witnesses against the Prisoner</i>	1030, & seqq.	RUMLEY, William II. 917. See Wakeman, & al.	
<i>Sir John Talbot's Evidence to this Purpose</i>	951	RUSSEL, William II. 993. See Anderson, & al.	
<i>The Evidence clos'd</i>	1037	RUSSEL, Lord William, his Trial, 13 July, 1683. 35 Car. II.	III. 706
<i>Rosewell proceeds in his Defence</i>	ib.	<i>The Indictment, for compassing the King's Death, and to that End consulting and agreeing to raise a Rebellion, and to seize and destroy the King's Guards</i>	ib.
<i>The Chief Justice Jefferies directs the Jury</i>	1039	<i>He is permitted to advise with Counsel</i>	ib.
<i>The Prisoner may not reply, after the Evidence is sum'd up</i>	1048	<i>And has a Copy of the Pannel, before the Trial</i>	707
<i>The Prisoner is convicted</i>	1049	<i>He is permitted to have Pen and Ink, and the Use of his Papers</i>	708
<i>Desires the Jurors may give their Verdict severally; but 'tis denied</i>	ib.	<i>Insists on the Trial being put off till the Afternoon</i>	ib.
<i>The King's Counsel move for Judgment on the Conviction</i>	ib.	<i>Is allow'd two Clerks to take Minutes for him</i>	ib.
<i>The Prisoner moves in Arrest of Judgment; and that Counsel may be assign'd him as to Matter of Law</i>	1050	<i>But desires he may have his Lady's Assistance</i>	ib.
<i>He objects to the Indictment, that the Words are not positively averr'd to be spoken</i>	ib.	<i>Challenges a Juryman for Want of 40s. per Ann. Freehold in the City, and is allow'd Counsel to argue it</i>	ib.
<i>Innuendo's insufficient without a positive Averment</i>	1051	<i>Mr. Pollexfen and Mr. Holt's Arguments</i>	709
<i>His Counsel move for a Copy of the Indictment; but 'tis deny'd</i>	1052	<i>Mr. Ward's Argument</i>	710
<i>Mr. Wallop's Argument for the Prisoner</i>	1053	<i>Sir Robert Sawyer Attorney General, and Mr. Finch Solicitor General, their Reply</i>	ib.
<i>He quotes a Report of the Lord Coke</i>	1054	<i>Mr. Serjeant Jefferies and Mr. North on the same Side</i>	ib. 711
<i>An Innuendo cannot give an original Certainty, where a Certainty is not fix'd before</i>	1055	<i>The Challenge is over-rul'd</i>	711
<i>Mr. Pollexfen's Argument</i>	ib.	<i>The Prisoner having challeng'd 31 peremptorily, a Jury is sworn</i>	712
<i>Words may be an Overt-Act of Treason</i>	ib.	<i>The Attorney General's Speech before Evidence</i>	ib.
<i>An Innuendo without a preceding Colloquium, or Averment, cannot maintain an Action, or an Indictment</i>	1059	<i>Lord Essex's Death in the Tower, that Day, mention'd at the Trial</i>	713
<i>Mr. Attorney's Reply</i>	ib.	<i>Rumsey's Evidence concerning my Lord Russel's being at the Meeting at Mr. Sheppard's</i>	ib.
<i>That where Words are plain and express, there needs no Averment</i>	1060	<i>Trenchard disappoints them</i>	ib.
<i>Mr. Solicitor-General Finch's Reply</i>	1062	<i>Sheppard's Evidence concerning the Meeting at his House</i>	715
<i>The Chief Justice inclines to allow the Exceptions</i>	1064	<i>Lord Howard's Evidence concerning the Insurrection</i>	716
<i>The Chief Justice shews the Repugnancy of some Expressions</i>	1065	<i>A Design to alter the Government to a Commonwealth</i>	717
<i>The Solicitor endeavours to make it good Grammar</i>	ib.	<i>A Design upon the King's Life</i>	718
<i>No Statutes of Jeofails extend to Criminal Cases</i>	1067	<i>Lord Howard's Evidence concerning a Cabal of six that met at Mr. Hampden's; of which my Russel was one</i>	719
<i>No Judgment given</i>	ib.	<i>The Scotch invited into the Conspiracy</i>	ib.
<i>The Prisoner pardon'd</i>	ib.	<i>Lord Russel makes his Defence</i>	721
ROUSE, John, his Trial for High Treason the 13th of July, 1683. 35 Car. II.	III. 731	<i>Allleges that a Conspiracy to levy War is not High Treason, by the 25 Edw. III.</i>	ib.
<i>He is indicted, with William Blague, for conspiring the King's Death (and to that End meeting and consulting with divers other Traitors how to surprize the Tower) and providing Arms, &c.</i>	ib.	<i>What may be interpreted a Design upon the King's Life</i>	722
<i>No Time can be insisted on to prepare for Trial in Capital Cases</i>	732	<i>Two Witnesses to any one Species of Treason sufficient, though they do not speak to the same Overt-Act</i>	ib. 729
<i>Mr. Serjeant Jefferies opens the Evidence</i>	ib.	<i>If Counsel are allow'd to argue a Point of Law, the Fact must be admitted</i>	722
<i>Leigh's Evidence concerning the setting up the Duke of Monmouth, and seizing the Tower</i>	733	<i>A Conspiracy to levy War against the King directly, is an Overt-Act of a Design upon the King's Life</i>	ib. See Sir John Freind's Trial.
<i>The Plotters suggest that the King was sworn in France and Spain to bring in Popery, and therefore it was no Sin to cut him off</i>	734	<i>But a Conspiracy to levy War, which by Construction only is against the King, is not such an Overt-Act as to testify an Imagination of compassing the King's Death</i>	ib.
<i>That the King had forfeited his Right to the Crown</i>	735	Lord	

An Alphabetical TABLE.

Lord Ruffel calls many Witnesses, to prove that Lord Howard often denied that he knew of the Plot III. 723, & seqq.
Mr. Solicitor Finch's Reply to my Lord Ruffel's Defence 726
Mr. Serjeant Jefferies's Reply to my Lord Ruffel's Defence 728
The Chief Justice's Directions to the Jury 730
My Lord Ruffel is convicted 731
He makes the same Objections before Judgment as he made at his Trial 744
Which are over-rul'd; and Sentence pronounc'd ib. 745
His Dying Speech 752

S.

SACHEVERELL, Henry, D. D. *his Trial before the House of Lords, upon an Impeachment of the House of Commons for High Crimes and Misdemeanours, began in Westminster-Hall, on Monday the 27th of February, 1709-10, 7 Annæ* V. 641
The Order in which the Lords proceed from their House, to the Court erected in Westminster-Hall ib.
Lord Chancellor's Speech to the Defendant ib.
The Preamble to the Articles exhibited against the Doctor, justifying the Revolution ib.
The four Articles exhibited against the Doctor 642
The Doctor's Answer to the first Article 643
His Answer to the second Article 644
His Answer to the third Article 645
His Answer to the fourth Article 646
The Commons Replication to the Doctor's Answer 648
Mr. Attorney-General (Sir James Montagu) shows the Necessity the Commons were under, of proceeding against the Doctor by Impeachment ib.
Mr. Lechmere's Speech, shewing the Nature and Tendency of the Facts the Doctor is charg'd with 651
Mr. Jodrell, Clerk of the House of Commons, sworn, and proves the Doctor acknowledged both the Sermons to be his in the House of Commons; and the Doctor's Counsel admit it in Court 655
The Dedication of the Sermon preach'd at Derby read, in Evidence against the Doctor ib.
The Dedication of the Sermon preach'd at St. Paul's read in Evidence 656
The Sermon itself preach'd at St. Paul's read ib.
Second Day of the Trial 666
Sir Joseph Jekyll's Argument in Maintenance of the first Article, concerning the Resistance at the Revolution ib.
Mr. Solicitor General (Sir Robert Eyre) his Speech, wherein he states the several Passages in the Doctor's Sermon preach'd at St. Paul's, which the Commons produced as a Proof of the first Article; and shews the Insufficiency of the Doctor's Answer 669
Sir John Holland's Argument, in Maintenance of the first Article 673
Mr. Walpole's Argument, in Maintenance of the first Article 674
Sir John Hawles's Argument, in Maintenance of the first Article 676
Major-General Stanhope's Argument, in Maintenance of the first Article, wherein he endeavours to shew, that the Doctor preach'd this Sermon

with a wicked and malicious Intention to undermine and subvert the Government, and the Protestant Religion, &c. as he is charged in the Preamble to the Articles V. 680
Sir Peter King's Argument, in Maintenance of the second Article, concerning the Toleration 683
Archbishop Grindall's Character and Conduct vindicated 689
The Lord William Pawlet's Argument, in Maintenance of the second Article 690
Mr. Spencer Cowper's Argument, in Maintenance of the second Article 691
Third Day of the Trial 693
Mr. Thompson's Argument, in Maintenance of the third Article, concerning the Danger of the Church ib.
Mr. Compton's Argument, in Maintenance of the third Article 696
Lord Coningsby's Argument, in Maintenance of the third Article 697
Mr. Dolben's Argument, in Maintenance of the third Article ib.
Mr. Serjeant Parker's Argument, in Maintenance of the fourth Article; being a Charge of Sedition against the Doctor, for maintaining, in his Sermon, That there were Men of Characters and Stations in Church and State, who were False Brethren 698
Mr. Secretary Boyle's Argument, in Maintenance of the fourth Article 706
Mr. Chancellor of the Exchequer's Argument in Maintenance of the fourth Article ib.
Fourth Day of the Trial 707
Mr. Lechmere's Argument, in Maintenance of the fourth Article 708
Fifth Day of the Trial 709
Sir Simon Harcourt's Argument in the Doctor's Defence, as to the first Article, concerning the Resistance used at the Revolution 710
Mr. Dodd's Argument in Defence of the Doctor, as to the first Article 717
Mr. Phipps's Argument in the Doctor's Defence, as to the first Article 721
Mr. Dee's Argument in behalf of the Doctor, as to the first Article 727
Dr. Henchman's Speech in Defence of Dr. Sacheverell, as to the Charge in the first Article 728
The Doctor's Counsel being about to produce some Passages in the Homilies, &c. in Maintenance of the Doctrine the Doctor had deliver'd, the Managers retire to consider if they should admit of this sort of Evidence; and being return'd, they did agree, that the Passages the Doctor's Counsel had collected out of the Homilies, and other Books should be read; and they were proved to be justly transcribed by Mr. Trapp 729
Sixth Day of the Trial ib.
The Doctor's Counsel desire the Passages they had collected might be read: the Managers admit, that the Passages out of the Homilies, and the Book call'd, The Erudition for any Christian Man, might be read; but as to others, desire they may produce the Books and Papers themselves; to which the Doctor's Counsel conform 730
Seventh Day of the Trial 752
Mr. Dodd's Argument in Defence of the Doctor, as to the Charge contain'd in the second Article, concerning the Toleration ib.

* R
Mr.

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>Mr. Phipps's Argument in behalf of the Doctor, as to the Charge contained in the second Article</i> V. 753</p> <p><i>Mr. Dec's Argument in the Doctor's behalf, as to the second Article</i> 756</p> <p><i>Dr. Henchman's Argument, as to the Charge in the second Article</i> 757</p> <p><i>The Act of Toleration read</i> 759</p> <p><i>A Letter from Queen Elizabeth, the Bishops, and another from Archbishop Grindall to the Council, prov'd and read</i> 762, 763</p> <p><i>Mr. Dodd's Argument in behalf of the Doctor, as to the Charge contain'd in the third Article, concerning the Danger of the Church</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Phipps's Argument in Defence of the Doctor, as to the Charge in the third Article</i> 764</p> <p><i>Mr. Dec's Argument, as to the Charge in the third Article</i> 767</p> <p><i>He makes a Doubt, whether it be a High Crime and Misdemeanour to dissent in Opinion from a Resolution of both Houses of Parliament</i> 768</p> <p><i>Mr. Thompson's Reply to that Objection</i> 819</p> <p><i>Dr. Henchman's Argument, as to the Charge in the third Article.</i> 768</p> <p><i>Some Blasphemous and Heretical Books produc'd in Evidence by the Doctor's Counsel</i> 769</p> <p><i>The Managers oppose the reading such blasphemous Passages; but the Doctor's Counsel insist on the reading them, as tending very much to the Doctor's Defence; and they are read accordingly</i> ib. & seqq.</p> <p><i>Eighth Day of the Trial</i> 775</p> <p><i>Mr. Dodd's Argument in Defence of the Doctor, as to the Charge of Sedition contain'd in the fourth Article, concerning False Brethren of Characters and Stations in Church and State</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Phipps's Argument, as to the Charge in the fourth Article</i> 776</p> <p><i>Mr. Dec's Argument, as to the Charge in the fourth Article</i> 779</p> <p><i>Dr. Henchman's Argument, as to the Charge in the fourth Article</i> 781</p> <p><i>Proclamations against Profaneness, &c. produced in Evidence by the Doctor's Counsel</i> 782</p> <p><i>The Doctor's Counsel move, that he may have Leave to speak for himself, after the Managers have replied; which the Managers oppose, and insist, That if the Doctor have any thing to say in his Defence, he may offer it before they reply</i> 784</p> <p><i>Dr. Sacheverell's Speech in his Defence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Ninth Day of the Trial</i> 790</p> <p><i>Sir Joseph Jekyll's Argument, by way of Reply to the Doctor's Defence, as to the Charge contained in the first Article, concerning the Resistance used at the Revolution</i> 791</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor General's Reply to the Doctor's Defence of the Charge in the first Article</i> 798</p> <p><i>Mr. Lechmere's Animadversions on some Expressions let fall by the Doctor's Counsel</i> 802</p> <p><i>Sir Peter King's Argument, by way of Reply to the Defence made by the Doctor's Counsel to the Charge contained in the second Article concerning the Toleration</i> 807</p> <p><i>Tenth Day of the Trial</i> 812</p> <p><i>Mr. Cowper's Reply to the Doctor's Defence of the Charge contain'd in the second Article</i> ib.</p> <p><i>He takes notice of the Mob having demolish'd several Meeting-houses during the Trial; which he imputes to the Doctrine contain'd in the Doctor's Sermons</i> ib.</p>	<p><i>Mr. Thompson's Reply to the Doctor's Defence of the Charge contained in the third Article, concerning the Danger of the Church</i> V. 815</p> <p><i>Mr. Serjeant Parker's Argument by way of Reply to the Doctor's Defence, as to the Charge of Sedition contained in the fourth Article, concerning Men of Characters and Stations in Church and State, who were False Brethren</i> 819</p> <p><i>The Lord Nottingham desires this Question may be put to the Judges, viz. Whether in all Prosecutions, by Indictment or Information, for Crimes and Misdemeanours by Writing or Speaking, the particular Words, supposed to be Criminal, must not be expressly specify'd in such Indictment or Information?</i> 828</p> <p><i>The Judges resolve, that all Words and Writings, supposed to be Criminal, ought to be specified in such Indictment or Information</i> ib.</p> <p><i>This Resolution censur'd</i> VI. 330</p> <p><i>Resolv'd by the Lords, that by the Law and Usage of Parliament, in Prosecutions by Impeachments for High Crimes and Misdemeanours, by Writing or Speaking, the particular Words supposed to be Criminal, are not necessary to be expressly specified in such Impeachments</i> V. 828</p> <p><i>Sixty-nine of the Lords find Dr. Sacheverell Guilty of the High Crimes and Misdemeanours charged on him by the Impeachment; and fifty-two vote him Not Guilty</i> 829</p> <p><i>The Doctor offers some Exceptions to the Impeachment in Arrest of Judgment</i> 830</p> <p><i>The House of Commons demand Judgment against the Doctor</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Doctor's Exceptions to the Impeachment are over-ruled</i> 831</p> <p><i>Judgment pronounced against the Doctor</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Doctor's two Sermons order'd to be burnt before the Exchange by the common Hangman</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Lords also order, that The Judgment and Decree of the University of Oxford pass'd in their Convocation, July 21, 1683, which was given in Evidence by Dr. Sacheverell at his Trial, should be burnt by the common Hangman at the same Time with the Doctor's Sermons</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Lord Haversham's Speech in the House of Lords, on the first Article of the Impeachment of Dr. Henry Sacheverell</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Bishop of Salisbury (Dr. Gilbert Burnet) his Speech, on the same Article</i> 834</p> <p><i>The Bishop of Oxford (Dr. William Talbot) his Speech, on the same</i> 840</p> <p><i>The Bishop of Lincoln (Dr. William Wake) his Speech to the House of Lords, March the 17th, at the opening of the second Article, &c.</i> 844</p> <p><i>The Bishop of Norwich (Dr. Charles Trimmel) his Speech, on the same Occasion</i> 850</p> <p>SACHEVERELL, William Esq; <i>his Trial, with twenty other Gentlemen, at the King's Bench, for a Riot committed at Nottingham, 1684, 13 Car. II.</i> III. 949</p> <p><i>The Information for being unlawfully present at the Election of a Mayor, when they had no Concern in the Election; and for carrying away one of the Maces</i> ib. 950</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas Jenner (Recorder of London) his Speech before Evidence</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. North's Speech</i> 951</p> <p><i>Mr. Jones's Speech</i> 952</p> <p><i>Evidence of a Dispute between two Charters; and that the Defendants committed a Riot in contending for the old one</i> 953</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Mr.</i></p>
--	---

An Alphabetical T A B L E.

- Mr. Pollexfen's Speech for the Defendants* III. 964
- The Court refuse to hear any thing for or against either of the Charters, the Matter in Question being only for a Riot* 967
- Witnesses examin'd for the Defendants* 968
- Lord Chief Justice Jefferies sums up the Evidence* 976
- Twenty are found Guilty and fin'd; and one acquitted* 978
- Their respective Fines* ib.
- SAINTE LEGER, Sir John** VI. 188. See Gilbert, Sir Jeffery.
- SALISBURY, Sir John** I. 15. See Brambre.
- SALISBURY, Thomas** I. 121. See Babington, & al.
- SALMON, Francis** III. 949. See Sacheverell, William.
- SANCROFT, Dr. William, Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, his Trial at the King's Bench, for publishing a Libel, the 29th of June, 1688, Trin. 4 Jac. II.** IV. 304
- SAVAGE, John** I. 128. See Babington, & al.
- SCANDAL. MAGNAT.** III. 987.
- SCOT, Thomas** II. 334. See Regicides.
- SCOTLAND, The Method observ'd in Trials of Criminals there** I. 429. II. 623. III. 441, 1095. IV. 283
- SCOTS, Mary, Queen of, Proceedings against her at Fotheringay-Castle the 12th of October, 1586, 28 Eliz. for being concerned in a Conspiracy against Queen Elizabeth** I. 143
- An Association enter'd into in behalf of Queen Elizabeth* ib.
- An Act to confirm it* 144
- Which excludes any Person having Pretensions to the Crown, who shall assent to the raising a Rebellion in the Kingdom in Queen Elizabeth's Time; and makes such Assent a Capital Crime* 145
- A Commission for trying the Queen of Scots, in Pursuance of that Act* ib. 138
- She makes a Protestation, and refuses to appear* 146, 147
- Her Protestation being received and recorded, with the Lord Chancellor's Answer to it, she submits to appear before them* 148
- She is charged with conspiring the Queen's Death, and with a Design of conveying the Kingdom to the Spaniard* ib.
- Letters between her and Babington read to prove it* I. 149, & seqq.
- She says they were forged* 152
- She complains that the Witnesses were not produc'd Face to Face; and that her Papers were taken from her* 153
- The Lord Treasurer shews that the Kingdom could not be aliened or made over to another, but must descend to the next in Succession* 155
- Sentence pronounced against her in the Star-Chamber* ib.
- A Declaration added, that this Sentence did not prejudice the Title of James King of Scots to this Crown* ib.
- The Sentence confirm'd by Parliament* ib. 156
- They address the Queen to put it in Execution* 156
- Queen Elizabeth's Answer* 157
- The Warrant sign'd for her Execution* 161
- Her Behaviour at her Execution* 162
- SCROOP, Adrian** II. 324. See Regicides.
- SEAL.** See Great Seal.
- SEATON, Archibald** V. 630. See Stirling, & al.
- SELLERS, John** II. 478. See Tonge, & al.
- SHAFTESBURY, Anthony Earl of, his Case on a Motion in the King's Bench to be admitted to Bail, the 27th and 29th of June, 1677, Trin. Term, 29 Car. II.** II. 616
- His Commitment by the Lords for Contempts in general; which his Counsel urge is an illegal Commitment* ib. 617
- And that it being by the House of Peers, made no Difference* 617
- And that the Court of B. R. might examine Parliamentary Matters* ib.
- The King's Counsel make a Reply* 620
- The Earl makes a Speech in answer to the Attorney and Solicitor General* 621
- Mr. Justice Jones deliver'd his Opinion, that though the Commitment was ill, it could not be examin'd here; and that the Earl could not be bailed or discharged here* 622
- Mr. Justice Wild of the same Opinion* ib.
- As was Sir Richard Raynsford, Lord Chief Justice* ib.
- And Mr. Justice Twylden: but none of them declar'd what their Opinions were, in case the Session had been concluded, but Mr. Justice Wild; who said, in that Case, that the Earl ought to have been discharged* ib.
- SHAFTESBURY, Anthony Earl of, Proceedings at the Old Bailey, on an Indictment for High Treason prefer'd against him, Nov. 24, 1681, 33 Car. II.** III. 418
- The Grand Jury sworn* ib.
- The Lord Chief Justice Pemberton's Charge to them* ib.
- He tells them, that Words would not amount to Treason within 25 Edw. III. any more than a Design to imprison the King; but that by the 13 Car. II. Words tending to such a Design were treasonable* 419
- That two Witnesses to every Overt-Act are not necessary* ib.
- That if there appear a Probability of the Party's Guilt who is indicted, it is a sufficient Inducement to find the Bill* ib.
- The King's Counsel move, that the Evidence may be given to the Grand Jury in Court* 420
- The Grand Jury insist, That they ought to examine the Witnesses in private, being bound by their Oaths to keep the King's Secrets, and it being the constant Practice so to do* ib.
- The Chief Justice tells them, their Debates indeed ought to be in private; but anciently the Evidence was given in Court* ib.
- The Grand Jury still insist they are bound to keep the King's Secrets; and the Court tell them, the Case is alter'd, where the King waves that Matter* 421
- The Indictment* ib.
- A Paper found in my Lord Shaftesbury's Custody given in Evidence against him, being an Association for excluding the Duke of York, and destroying the King's regular Forces; wherein the Subscribers bind themselves to obey such Officers as the Majority of the Parliament shall appoint, and in the Interval of Parliament, such Officers as a Majority of the Subscribers shall appoint* 424
- Booth's Evidence, of his being appointed by my Lord Shaftesbury to assist in surprizing the King*

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<i>King, if he should not agree to the Demands of the Parliament of Oxford</i>	426	<i>A Counsel reprimanded for whispering to him in Court</i>	III. 796
<i>Other Evidence to the same Purpose</i>	428	<i>He is brought to Trial, Nov. 21. 1683.</i>	797
<i>The Grand Jury retire to consider what Questions to ask the Witnesses</i>	432	<i>He is denied a Copy of the Indictment; but it is read to him in Latin</i>	ib.
<i>They desire to know if any of the Witnesses are indicted; but are told it is not their Business to examine to the Credibility of the Witnesses: but if they know any thing of them themselves, they may consider of it</i>	ib.	<i>Sir Robert Sawyer, Attorney-General, his Speech before Evidence</i>	ib.
<i>The Grand Jury put their Questions to the Witnesses</i>	433	<i>Hearsay Evidence allow'd to be given; and some of it such as did not relate to the Prisoner</i>	798
<i>The King's Witnesses in Danger of being knock'd on the Head by the Populace</i>	435, 436	<i>The Case of the Popish Lords remember'd, where a general Account of the Plot was allowed to be given in Evidence, though it did not immediately affect Prisoners</i>	ib.
<i>Having withdrawn some Time, they return the Bill Ignoramus; at which the People shout</i>	441	<i>The English and Scotch disagree in their Schemes of a new Government to be erected</i>	799
SHARPLESS, John II. 585. See Messenger, & al.		<i>Lord Howard's Evidence concerning the Cabal of Six, who met at Mr. Hampden's</i>	800
SHERFIELD, Henry Esq; Proceedings against him in the Star-Chamber, for breaking a painted Glass-Window in a Church, 8 Car. I. I. 399		<i>The Libel prov'd to be the Prisoner's by the Similitude the Hand it was wrote in, bore to the Prisoner's, and by being found among his Papers in his Study</i>	802
<i>The Information against him</i>	ib.	<i>Part of the Book read</i>	803
<i>In his Answer he owns the Fact, and justifies it</i>	ib.	<i>The Prisoner objects, that Similitude of Hands is no Proof in Criminal Cases</i>	805
<i>Alleges that it was a superstitious Picture, and taken down by Order of the Vestry</i>	400	<i>That it was no Crime to write treasonable Positions, so as he did not publish them; which the Court deny</i>	807
<i>Depositions of the King's Witnesses read</i>	401	<i>He endeavours to shew, that those Expressions in the Libel, could never be wrested to a Design of killing the King</i>	ib.
<i>The Defendant's Reply</i>	403	<i>He objects to my Lord Howard's Testimony, that he had said he could not have his Pardon till he had done some other Jobs, and till the Drudgery of Swearing was over</i>	811
<i>Mr. Attorney's Answer</i>	407	<i>Col. Sidney's Defence, as to the Consult</i>	ib.
<i>The Commissioners differ in Opinion as to the Sentence: the Speech of Lord Cottington for a severe one</i>	408	<i>The Solicitor General's Reply</i>	813
<i>Sir Robert Heath for a mild one</i>	409	<i>Treasonable Positions contained in the Libel</i>	815
<i>Sir Thomas Richardson, the same</i>	410	<i>Lord Chief Justice sums up the Evidence</i>	816
<i>Secretary Windebanke, for a severe one</i>	411	<i>Writing an Overt-Act: Scribere est agere</i>	817
<i>Secretary Cooke and Sir Thomas Jermyn, for a mild one</i>	ib.	<i>Conspiring to levy War, Evidence of compassing the King's Death</i>	ib.
<i>Sir Henry Vane, for a severe one</i>	ib.	<i>Or any other Act which discovers an Imagination in the Heart, to depose, destroy, or imprison the King</i>	ib.
<i>Sir Thomas Edmonds, for a mild one</i>	412	<i>The Prisoner convicted</i>	820
<i>Dr. Laud, Bishop of London, for a severe one</i>	ib.	<i>Alleges in Arrest of Judgment, that some of the Jury were not Freeholders; but is told by the Court, Freehold is not a necessary Qualification of a Juryman in High Treason</i>	ib.
<i>Lord Falkland and Earl of Devon for the same</i>	414	<i>He is denied a Sight of the Indictment</i>	ib.
<i>Viscount Wimbleton and Earl of Dorset, for a mild one</i>	ib.	<i>Sentence pronounced upon him</i>	822
<i>Earl of Arundel, for a severe one</i>	415	<i>His Dying Speech</i>	ib.
<i>Earl of Manchester, for an easy one</i>	ib.	<i>His Attainder revers'd at the Revolution</i>	824
<i>Dr. Neale, Archbishop of York, for a severe one</i>	416	SLINGSBY, Sir Henry, Knight, his Trial for High Treason before the High Court of Justice in Westminster Hall, May 25, 1658.	II. 277
<i>Lord Keeper, for a mild one</i>	417	<i>Impeachment, for High Treason against the Protector and Commonwealth</i>	ib.
<i>His Sentence</i>	418	<i>John Lisle President of the Court</i>	ib.
SHERIFFS of London. See Pilkington, & al.		<i>The Prisoner is refused a Trial by Jury</i>	278
SHERWIN, John III. 949. See Sacheverell, William.		<i>Evidence of his endeavouring to bring over some of the Officers in Hull to the King's Interest</i>	ib. & seqq.
SHOWER, Sir Bartholomew. See the Magistracy and Government vindicated III. 765		<i>Sir Henry in Effect acknowledges the Design with which he is charg'd</i>	280
SHUTE, Samuel, Esq; III. 630. See Pilkington, & al.		<i>See the Judgment, in Mr. Mordaunt's Trial</i>	299
SIDNEY, Algernon, Esq; his Arraignment for High Treason, Nov. 7. 1683. 35 Car. II. III. 794		<i>He is beheaded</i>	300
<i>The Indictment, for conspiring the King's Death, and framing a Libel, wherein he affirms, that the King is accountable to the People who make him King, &c.</i>	ib.	<i>His Behaviour upon the Scaffold</i>	ib.
<i>He objects that the Crimes laid in the Indictment were different in their Nature, therefore the Indictment was vitious, and he need not plead to it: but is told by the Court, he must either plead or demur to it; and if he demurs, he admits the Facts; whereupon he pleaded Not Guilty</i>	795, 796	SMITH, Francis, his Trial for a seditious Libel, 32 Car. II. 1679	II. 1040
		SMITH, Henry II. 395. See Regicides.	The

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- The Libel reflects chiefly on the Lord Chief Justice Scroggs* II. 1040
The Defendant's Counsel admit the Record; and the Prisoner is convicted 1041
- SMITH, Richard. See *ib.*
 SMITH, Samuel III. 949. See Sacheverell, William.
 SMITH, William. See *ib.*
 SODOMY. See Buggery.
- ## S O L I C I T O R.
- A Prisoner may not have a Solicitor in Treason, or Felony without Leave of the Court* III. 263
One indicted for High Treason, in soliciting for a Prisoner charg'd with Treason III. 347
 Aaron Smith, College's Solicitor, made to give Security to answer the Misdemeanour 349
The Court deny the Prisoner a Solicitor to assist him at his Trial III. 343. IV. 455
- SOMERS, John Lord V. 339. See Portland, Earl of, & al.
- SOMERSET, Frances Countess of, brought to Trial, as Accessary, before the Fact, to the Murder of Sir Thomas Overbury, May 24, 1616 I. 348
She pleads Guilty 349
The King's Counsel shew how strangely this Murder was discover'd, after it had been concealed two years 350
The King's Instructions to those who were appointed to enquire into the said Murder *ib.*
Sentence pass'd on the Countess 351
- SOMERSET, Robert Carr Earl of, his Trial, as Accessary, before the Fact, to the Murder of Sir Thomas Overbury, the 25th of May 1616. 351
Lord High Steward's Charge to the Peers *ib.*
Mr. Attorney, Sir Francis Bacon, opens the Evidence 352
He shews the Springs and Foundation of this Conspiracy against Sir Thomas's Life 353
Poisoning once made Treason *ib.*
Evidence of the Earl's Malice to Sir Thomas, for advising against his Marriage with the Countess 356
Letters sent by Sir Thomas to the Earl from the Tower *ib.*
Sir Dav. Woods's Evidence, that the Countess offer'd him 1000 l. to kill Sir Thomas Overbury 358
Earl of Somerset's Petition to the King, that he might not be brought to Trial, read as Evidence against him *ib.*
The Earl owns he was the Occasion of Overbury's Imprisonment *ib.*
His Hypocrisy and Baseness to the whole Family of the Overburys 359
Examinations taken without Oath, read against him 360
The Countess's Actions given in Evidence against the Earl 361
The Lord Steward presses him to waive his Defence, and submit to Mercy; which he refuses, and makes his Defence 363
Owens his being privy to sending the Tarts *ib.*
He is convicted; and Sentence pass'd upon him 365
His Letter to the King *ib.*
The Earl and Countess pardon'd as to their Lives; but confin'd 367
Order of Council as to their Confinement *ib.*
Motives in the Countess's Pardon, which induced the King to grant it *ib.*
- The Earl's Petition to K. Charles I. for his Estate: never granted* I. 373
He and his Countess both died in Obscurity *ib.*
- SOUTHAMPTON, Henry Earl of I. 197. See Essex, Earl of.
- SPARKES, John V. 1. See Dawson.
 SPARKES, Michael I. 418. See Prynne, & al.
- SPEKE, Hugh III. 855. See Braddon.
- SPOTISWOOD, Sir Robert, his Trial for High Treason, in the Parliament held at St. Andrews in Scotland, Nov. Dec. and Jan. 1645. 21 Car. I. I. 961
- The Indictment, for abusing the King, in purchasing the Office of Secretary of State, lately held by the Earl of Lanerk* 962
For signing a Commission to the (late) Earl of Montrose to be Generalissimo: and for joining with the said Earl's Army, and committing divers Acts of Hostility with him *ib.*
Sir Robert answers, That the King had made him a temporary Secretary, in the Absence of the Earl of Lanerk 963
That the said Commission was signed by his Majesty's special and express Command 964
That his accompanying the Earl of Montrose, with the King's Commission, could not be interpreted a taking up Arms against the Kingdom *ib.*
And lastly, that he is not charged with any thing declared, by any Law or Act of Parliament, to be Treason *ib.*
The Counsel against him reply, that to accept any Office, without Consent of the Parliament, is Criminal 965
And his signing this Commission a Proof of his framing or advising other Acts of State against them, to disturb the publick Peace *ib.*
That his joining the Traitor, James Graham (the Earl of Montrose) was a taking up Arms against his Country *ib.*
Which is High Treason by an Act of Parliament in 1584. 963, 966
Sir Robert rejoins 966
Insists that he was promised Quarter upon surrendering himself 968
Is answered, that it is not in the Power of any private Man in the Army to give Quarter to an Opposer of The Solemn League and Covenant, as he had been 969
And if Quarter were to be allowed to other Prisoners, yet not to him, whom both Parliaments of Scotland and England, had excepted from Pardon 970
Sir Robert insists further, that the Benefit of Quarter given is secured to all Prisoners by the Laws of Arms and of all Nations, as well in Civil as in Foreign Wars *ib.*
Otherwise, a Door would be opened to all manner of Cruelty 971
And no Clause in The Covenant could oblige to go contrary to the Laws of War or of Nations 972
That the Question is not of Pardon; but of Quarter already granted, for securing his Life 973
His Reasons why he cannot enter upon any other Defence till this be discussed 977
The Report from the Commissioners for the Process to the Parliament against him 978
He is declared Guilty; and condemned 979

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<i>Is going to speak to the People; but silenced by the Provost of the Town, at the Instance of a zealous Minister of the Kirk</i>	I. 984	<i>assist the Prisoner with the Depositions taken by a Justice of Peace concerning him</i>	III. 135
<i>His Speech, delivered in Writing</i>	ib.	<i>The third Day of Trial</i>	136
<i>The said Minister's Insult, and the Prisoner's mild and magnanimous Behaviour</i>	985	<i>The Managers produce the Affidavit my Lord desires</i>	137
<i>Sir Robert is beheaded</i>	ib.	<i>A Peer may give Evidence, though he be a Judge in the Cause</i>	141
SPROT, George, his Trial in Scotland, for conspiring with the Earl of Gowrie to murder King James I.	I. 310	<i>The King's Witnesses ought not to be debarr'd hearing what the Prisoner's Witnesses depose</i>	ib.
<i>He confesses before the Privy-Council his being privy to two Letters between the Earl of Gowrie and Laird of Restalrig, relating to the Conspiracy</i>	ib.	<i>The Lord Stafford calls his Witnesses against Dugdale</i>	ib.
<i>At his Trial his Confession is read against him</i>	312	<i>Endeavours to prove him forsworn in a former Trial</i>	150
<i>He abides by his Confession, and is condemn'd</i>	313	<i>A Journal of the House of Lords read, as Evidence for the Prisoner</i>	152
<i>His Execution</i>	314	<i>Oates's Examination read</i>	153
<i>After he had hang'd a pretty while, he clapt his Hands together aloud three times</i>	ib.	<i>Dugdale's Examination taken by the Justices, as it was enter'd in the Lords Journal, read as Evidence for the Prisoner</i>	156
SPURR, John V. 465. See Swendsen		<i>Turberville's Examination read</i>	159
STAFFORD, William Viscount, his Trial on an Impeachment for High Treason before the House of Peers, 32 Car. II. 1680	III. 101	<i>The Lords adjourn</i>	163
<i>Five Popish Lords impeached</i>	ib.	<i>The fourth Day's Trial</i>	ib.
<i>The Commons signify their Intention of beginning with the Trial of the Lord Stafford</i>	ib.	<i>The Prisoner insists, that Oates is not a credible Witness, having acknowledged his Hypocrisy and Dissimulation with God and Man</i>	168
<i>The Day for the Trial appointed by the Lords</i>	ib.	<i>The Managers call other Witnesses, to support the Testimony of the first</i>	170
<i>Lord Chanc. Finch, Lord High Steward</i>	ib.	<i>The Managers endeavour to discredit my Lord Stafford's Witnesses</i>	173
<i>The Bishops withdraw</i>	ib.	<i>The Lords Stamford and Lovelace sworn for the King</i>	183
<i>The Trial begins</i>	102	<i>The Lords adjourn</i>	185
<i>The Lord High Steward's Speech</i>	ib.	<i>The fifth Day</i>	ib.
<i>The seven Articles of Impeachment read</i>	ib.	<i>The Lord Stafford desires he may examine Witnesses, to discredit those who had been produc'd to discredit his</i>	ib.
<i>The Lord Stafford answers generally, that he is Not Guilty</i>	104	<i>Which is allow'd him</i>	186
<i>Mr. Serj. Maynard opens the Evidence of the Plot in general</i>	ib.	<i>The Lord Stafford makes his Observations on the Evidence</i>	187
<i>Sir Francis Winnington shews how probable it was, there should be such a Plot at this Time</i>	106	<i>A Member of the House of Commons sworn as a Witness</i>	189
<i>Mr. Treby's Speech</i>	109	<i>Sir William Jones sums up the Evidence for the Commons</i>	190
<i>The Managers call their Witnesses to prove the Plot in general</i>	ib.	<i>He answers the Prisoner's Objections to the Credit of their Witnesses</i>	193
<i>The Records of the Attainders, and Convictions of those concerned in the Popish Plot, produced as Evidence of it</i>	120	<i>The Test first imposed</i>	198, 199
<i>Second Day of Trial</i>	122	<i>Mr. Powle's Speech at the suming up the Evidence</i>	200
<i>The Lord Stafford's Counsel admitted to hear the Evidence; but not to stand so near as to prompt him</i>	ib.	<i>His Lordship's Exceptions in Point of Law, answer'd by the Managers</i>	201
<i>Dugdale sworn a second Time, when he came to give a particular Evidence against the Lord Stafford</i>	123	<i>Whether those large Allowances made Oates, Bedloe, &c. were not some Objection to their Testimony</i>	202
<i>The Prisoner permitted to have a Clerk to take Notes for him</i>	ib.	<i>Counsel may not argue as to the Law and Course of Parliaments</i>	208
<i>Dugdale swears positively that the Prisoner consented to the Resolution on the King's death, (at which there was a great Hum)</i>	124	<i>The Judges deliver their Opinions, that two Witnesses to every Overt-Act are not necessary; but one to one Overt-Act, and another to another, is sufficient</i>	204
<i>Oates sworn again, deposes, that my Lord wrote Letters and contributed Money towards carrying on the Plot</i>	126	<i>The Lords adjourn</i>	205
<i>Turberville's Evidence of my Lord's soliciting him to assassinate his Majesty</i>	127	<i>The sixth Day</i>	ib.
<i>The Lord Stafford enters upon his Defence</i>	129	<i>The Lord Stafford petitions the Lord: to be heard again</i>	206
<i>He moves to have Copies of some Affidavits that are in the Custody of the House of Commons</i>	131	<i>He repeats some Points of Law he had insisted on before</i>	ib.
<i>Which is oppos'd by the Managers</i>	ib.	<i>The Prisoner declares his Opinion of the several Plots charg'd on the Papists since the Reformation</i>	207
<i>And over-ruled by the Lords</i>	135	<i>A Toleration granted by King Charles II. to all Dissenters</i>	ib.
<i>The Court think themselves under no Obligation to</i>			

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- The Dissenters permitted to offer their Reasons to the House of Lords against the Penal Laws* III. 207
- A Comprehension designed* ib.
- His Lordship concludes with solemn Protestations of his Innocence* 208
- The Managers Reply to what his Lordship last offer'd* 210
- The seventh Day* 211
- The Lord High Steward takes their Lordships Votes* ib.
- Thirty-one find the Lord Stafford Not Guilty, and Fifty-five Guilty* ib.
- The Lords adjourn to their House* ib.
- The Commons with their Speaker go up to the Lords House, and demand Judgment* 212
- A Judgment for High Treason must be in usual Form, or the King loses his Forfeitures, &c.* 213
- The Lord High Steward's Speech to the Prisoner before Judgment* ib.
- Judgment pronounced, to be drawn, hang'd, and quarter'd, &c.* 214
- The King issues a Writ to the Sheriffs to execute the Prisoner, by cutting off his Head only* ib.
- Slingsby Bethel and Henry Cornish, Sheriffs, apply to the Lords for their Direction as to the Execution, who declare the King's Writ ought to be obey'd* 215
- The Sheriffs also apply to the Commons* ib.
- Who concur in Opinion with the Lords* ib.
- Lord Stafford's Speech at Execution* ib.
- He is beheaded* ib.
- STANDSFIELD, Philip, Son of Sir James Standfield, of New Milns near Edinburgh, his Trial, as well for High Treason, as the Murder of his Father, Feb. 6. 1688. 4 Jac. II. IV. 283
- The Indictment, in which are Crimes of different Natures* ib.
- The Prisoner's Counsel answer the Charge* 285
- His Majesty's Advocate replies to the Defence, and opens the Evidence* 287
- The Prisoner's Counsel rejoin* 290
- The Jury, consisting of 15 Persons, are sworn, and the Evidence is given* 292
- Proof of the Prisoner's drinking Confusion to the King; which in Scotland is High Treason* 293
- Of his cursing his Father; which is there punished with Death* ib.
- Circumstantial Evidence admitted, of his being the Author of his Father's Murder* 295
- The Surgeons who inspected the Corpse, give their Reasons, why they believe Sir James was not drown'd, but strangled* 297
- The College of Physicians of the same Opinion* ib.
- Two Infants permitted to declare what they knew of the Murder, before the Jury, without Oath* 300
- Sir George Mackenzie's Speech to the Inquest* 301
- The Prisoner is convicted, and receives Sentence* 304
- STAPLETON, Sir Miles, Bar. his Trial for High Treason, at the Assizes for the County of York, June the 18th, 1681. 33 Car. II. III. 317
- The Indictment removed to the King's-Bench; but the Prisoner sent back to Yorkshire to be try'd* ib.
- The Indictment for conspiring the King's death, and the Subversion of the Established Religion and Government* III. 317
- A Challenge offer'd by the King's Counsel against a Juror, because he gave his Dogs the Names of Oates and Bedloe, the King's Witnesses; but not allow'd.* ib.
- The Trial put off till next Assizes, for Want of Jurors* 318
- The Trial comes on at the Summer-Assizes* ib.
- Sir Thomas Stringer's Speech before Evidence* ib.
- The King's Witnesses call'd* 319
- They gave Evidence, first of the Plot in general* ib.
- Bolron's Evidence against Sir Miles in particular* 320, 321
- Sir Miles's Defence* 322
- Mr. Just. Dolben directs the Jury and tells them, there being no Records of Conviction produced against the King's Witnesses, they ought to be deem'd credible Witnesses* 327
- Mr. Baron Gregory's Direction to the Jury* ib.
- Sir Miles is acquitted* 328
- S T A R - C H A M B E R.
- Difference among the Commissioners as to a Sentence; and their Speeches* I. 408. & seqq.
- STARKEY, Henry II. 1010. See Anderson, & al.
- STAYLEY, William, his Trial at the King's Bench Bar for Treasonable Words, 30 Car. II. 1678 II. 656
- The Substance of the Indictment being for Treasonable Words spoke in French* ib.
- Sir William Jones, Attorney-General, his Speech* ib.
- The Evidence* ib.
- The Prisoner's Defence* 658
- The Chief Justice sums up the Evidence, and shews the Danger of Popery* 659
- Directs the Jury to make the Prisoner an Example* ib.
- The Prisoner is convicted, and Sentence passed* ib.
- This Proceeding afterwards censur'd as violent and precipitate, to pass Sentence on the Day of Conviction in the Court of King's-Bench* IV. 779
- STERNE, John III. 466. See Boroski, & al.
- STEVENS, Ellis V. 194. See Cowper, & al.
- STIRLING, Archibald V. 630. See Stirling, James, & al.
- STIRLING, Charles V. 630. See ib.
- STIRLING, James, Archibald Seaton, Archibald Stirling, Charles Stirling, and Patrick Edmonston, their Trial for High Treason, at Edinburgh, Nov. 15. 1708. 7 Annæ, before George Earl of Cromertie, Lord Justice General, and the rest of the Lords of Justiciary there V. 630
- The Indictment for taking up Arms, adhering to, and corresponding with the French King and the Pretender, and favouring their intended Invasion of Scotland, in 1707* ib.
- Her Majesty's Letter to the Lord Advocate, directing him to prosecute the Prisoners* 631
- The Prisoners Petition for Counsel; which is granted* ib.
- The Prisoners Information or Plea to the Charge* 632
- The Lord Advocate's Replication* 635
- The Court adjudge the Charge to be sufficient* 639
- The Jury sworn, being 15 in number* ib.
- The

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<i>The Evidence produc'd against the Prisoners</i>	V.	<i>Charg'd with advising the King to imbase the Coin, and seize upon the Bullion in the Mint</i>	I.
<i>The Verdict brought-in in Writing, and subscribed by the Fore-man; the Prisoners acquitted</i>	639	<i>With imposing 8d. a Day on the County of York, for maintaining the Trained-Bands, and levying it by Force</i>	751
<i>They are Assailzed (or discharged)</i>	ib.	<i>With a Design of landing the Irish Army in England</i>	752
STOUT, Sarah. See Cowper.		<i>The Lords and Commons break up abruptly, upon the Commons insisting to offer further Proofs after the Close of the Process, and refusing the same Liberty to the Earl</i>	753
STRAFFORD, Thomas Earl of, his Trial before the House of Lords, on an Impeachment for High Treason, March the 22d, 1640. 16 Car. I.	I.	<i>Agreed, at a Conference between the two Houses, that no further Proof shall be offer'd on either Side</i>	754
<i>Nine general Articles exhibited against him</i>	ib.	<i>The Earl sums up the Evidence, and makes his Observations on it</i>	ib.
<i>Twenty-eight special Articles</i>	ib.	<i>Speaks particularly to the 28th Article, and suggests some Reasons why it was drop'd by the Commons</i>	756
<i>The Earl of Strafford's Answer to the 28 special Articles</i>	730	<i>Mr. Glynn replies upon him</i>	757
<i>The Trial comes on</i>	736	<i>Mr. Pym seconds him</i>	ib.
<i>The Place of Trial described, and the Manner of bringing the Prisoner to the Bar, &c.</i>	ib.	<i>Debates in the House of Commons, upon bringing in a Bill of Attainder against the Earl</i>	758
<i>No Axe to be carried before a Prisoner impeach'd</i>	ib.	<i>The Commons threaten the Lords, if they refuse to concur in a Bill of Attainder</i>	ib.
<i>The King, Queen, and Prince at the Trial</i>	ib.	<i>The Lords bear my Lord Strafford's Counsel, as to Matter of Law; but the Commons refuse to attend at their Bar, or reply any more in publick, having pass'd the Bill of Attainder in their own House</i>	759
<i>Earl of Arundel, Lord High Steward</i>	ib.	<i>The Names of the Earl's Counsel, and the Substance of their Arguments</i>	ib.
<i>The Articles and Answer read</i>	ib.	<i>1. That the 25 Edw. III. is a declarative Law, and cannot be interpreted by Equity</i>	ib.
<i>Mr. Pym opens the Charge; the Earl permitted to reply to him</i>	ib.	<i>2. A Penal Law will admit of no Constructions or Inferences beyond the Letter of it</i>	ib.
<i>Three additional Articles, fram'd against him after the Commencement of the Trial</i>	737	<i>The doing one or more Acts of Injustice cannot be term'd the Subversion of the Fundamental Laws</i>	ib.
<i>He is compell'd to answer them presently</i>	ib.	<i>The Case of John de la Pole, Duke of Suffolk, cited; where the same Offences, the Earl was charg'd with, were adjudg'd but Felony</i>	ib.
<i>The Managers Names</i>	738	<i>That the Earl was not charg'd with having subverted, but only with an Intention to subvert, &c.</i>	760
<i>He is charg'd with Accumulative Treason</i>	ib.	<i>And the Intention was only treasonable, where it was against the King's Life, &c. by the 25 Edw. III.</i>	ib.
<i>He shews he is misrepresented in that Expression he was charg'd with, That the King's little Finger shou'd be heavier to them than the Loins of the Law</i>	ib.	<i>The rest of the Offences there enumerated, do not become treasonable, unless the Party proceed to Action</i>	ib.
<i>From his Words they proceed to his Actions; and first against the Lives of the Subjects, as against the Lord Mountnorris and another, who were condemn'd by Martial Law</i>	739	<i>No Treason at Common Law at this Day; and the Parliament cannot declare any thing to be Treason, which is not so already by some Statute in being</i>	ib.
<i>Charg'd, that he had not only exercised a tyrannical Government over the Lives, but the Lands and Goods of the Subject</i>	740	<i>That by the 1 Hen. VI. 10. the Proviso as to declaratory Treasons, in the 25 Edw. III. is repealed; and no Man hath been declar'd a Traitor either by King or Parliament since that Statute, except he had offended against the Letter of some Statute</i>	ib. 761
<i>That he granted a Commission for the apprehending such as refused to submit to the Ecclesiastical Ordinances</i>	741	<i>The Earl's Counsel desire their Lordships, that a State of the Question may be made for them to argue upon</i>	761
<i>His procuring a Lease of the Customs, and then raising the Book of Rates, whereby he had gain'd 26,000 l. yearly</i>	ib.	<i>Upon this the House adjourn'd, and never met again upon this Occasion, the Commons insisting on their Bill of Attainder</i>	ib.
<i>His monopolizing Tobacco</i>	742	<i>The Lords and Commons meet at a Conference in the Hall, where the King, Queen, and Prince, were present incog; but nothing is spoken by any one but Mr. St. John, whose Speech tended to furnish</i>	
<i>The Charge concerning Flax</i>	743		
<i>Charg'd with sending Troops of Soldiers to execute his Decrees against the Subjects Lands and Goods</i>	744		
<i>That he restrained the Subjects from coming over to England, to offer their Complaints against him</i>	745		
<i>His imposing an Oath upon the Scots in Ireland</i>	746		
<i>His Design of reducing the Kingdoms of England and Scotland by Force of Arms, and bringing them under the same arbitrary Government he had introduced in Ireland</i>	747		
<i>Endeavour'd to be prov'd from several Speeches the Earl let fall</i>	748		
<i>That he advis'd the King to exact Ship-Money</i>	750		
<i>And threaten'd the Lord Mayor, &c. for not giving in a List of those who were able to lend Money</i>	ib.		
<i>The Earl shews, that Accumulative Treason was never heard of before; and where none of the particular Articles amounted to Treason, neither could any Number of them</i>	750		

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<i>nish the Houses with Arguments for the Bill of Attainder</i>	I. 761	<i>Sarah Baynton is try'd with Hartwell and Spurr</i>	V. 465
<i>The King's Speech to the House of Commons against passing a Bill of Attainder</i>	ib.	<i>Sir Simon Harcourt, Solicitor General, opens the Evidence</i>	ib.
<i>It passes the Lords</i>	762	<i>The King's Evidence</i>	466
<i>The Earl's Letter to the King</i>	ib.	<i>Mrs Baynton cross-examines Mrs. Rawlins</i>	471
<i>The Royal Assent given</i>	ib.	<i>Mrs. Rawlins does not deny but she had said, she wish'd herself Mr. Swendsen's Wife</i>	472
<i>The Earl's Behaviour at his Execution</i>	ib.	<i>Marrying a Woman while she is under a Force is Capital, though the Party marrying her was not the Author of the Force</i>	473
<i>His Dying Speech</i>	763	<i>Swendsen convicted</i>	474
<i>The Act for reversing his Attainder</i>	764	<i>Hartwell's Defence</i>	475
<i>The Names of the Straffordians</i>	765	<i>A Woman cannot be Evidence for, or against, her Husband</i>	476
STREATER, John, <i>his Case, on a Writ of Habeas Corpus, at the Upper Bench in Westminster-Hall, in Mich. and Hil. Terms, 1653.</i>	II. 195	<i>Lord Chief Justice sums up the Evidence</i>	477
<i>The Habeas Corpus</i>	ib.	<i>One may be adjudg'd guilty of aiding and assisting in a forcible Marriage, though not present at the Ceremony</i>	478
<i>The Act of State, prohibiting the Lieutenant of the Tower to obey a Habeas Corpus, in the Case of John Lilburne</i>	196	<i>Mrs. Baynton convicted</i>	ib.
<i>Captain Streater's Argument to the first Part of the Return</i>	197	<i>Hartwell and Spurr acquitted</i>	ib.
<i>His Argument to the second Part</i>	200	<i>Mr. Justice Powell's Speech, before Judgment on Swendsen and Baynton</i>	479
<i>The Long Parliament dissolv'd by Oliver</i>	204	<i>Mrs. Baynton's Execution respited, she being found Quick with Child</i>	480
<i>An Alias Habeas Corpus, in the Name of Oliver the Protector</i>	ib.	<i>Mr. Swendsen's Execution, and Dying Speech</i>	481
<i>The Return thereof</i>	205	SWINOCK, Samuel III. 630. <i>See Pilkington, & al.</i>	
<i>All Persons prohibited to say the Long Parliament was in being</i>	206	SYMPSON, James V. 572. <i>See Green, Thomas, & al.</i>	
<i>The Protector swears to govern according to Law</i>	207		
<i>The Argument which Streater had prepared, if there was Occasion for it</i>	207	T.	
<i>A Commitment for publishing seditious Pamphlets, too general</i>	210	TALES. <i>See Jury.</i>	
<i>Orders of Parliament determine with the Sessions</i>	ib.	TASBOROUGH, John, <i>and Anne Price, their Trial, for Subornation of Perjury, 32 Car. II. 1679</i>	II. 1017
<i>The Court discharges the Prisoner</i>	211	<i>The Indictment</i>	1018
STRINGMAN, Daniel V. 572. <i>See Green, Thomas, & al.</i>		<i>Mr. Serjeant Maynard's Speech before Evidence</i>	1019
STUBBS, Francis II. 478. <i>See Tonge, & al.</i>		<i>Sir Creswell Levinz, Attorney General, his Speech</i>	ib.
SUBORNATION II. 806. IV. 47.		<i>Records of the Jesuits, and Langhorn's Convictions, produc'd in Evidence</i>	1020
SUPREMACY I. 59, 62.		<i>Dugdale's Evidence of Mrs. Price's soliciting him to retract his Evidence</i>	ib.
SWENDSEN, Haagen, <i>his Trial, for forcibly marrying Mrs. Pleasant Rawlins; and of Sarah Baynton, John Hartwell, and John Spurr, who abetted and assisted him, Nov. 25, 1702, 1 Annæ</i>	V. 449	<i>Dugdale's Evidence of Tasborough's tampering with him</i>	1021
<i>A Motion, to put off the Trial for want of a material Witness, deny'd, unless some Person would make Oath, that such Witness could not attend</i>	ib.	<i>Mr. Sanders's Defence for Mrs. Price</i>	1027
<i>The Defendant Swendsen being a Foreigner, he had a Party Jury</i>	ib.	<i>Witnesses for Mrs. Price.</i>	1028
<i>And is try'd by himself</i>	ib.	<i>Mr. Pollexfen's Defence for Tasborough</i>	1030
<i>Sir Simon Harcourt, Solicitor General, and Mr. Serjeant Darnell open the Evidence</i>	ib. 450	<i>Whether justifiable to offer a Witness a Reward, though to speak Truth?</i>	1031
<i>Evidence for the King</i>	451	<i>Mr. Serjeant Maynard sums up the Evidence</i>	1034
<i>Mrs. Bulby gives Evidence of all the Circumstances of the Fact</i>	452	<i>Mr. Justice Jones sums up the Evidence, and directs the Jury</i>	1035
<i>Mrs. Rawlins herself examin'd as an Evidence against him</i>	455	<i>Offering a Witness a Reward to give his Evidence, criminal</i>	ib.
<i>Counsel deny'd the Prisoner</i>	456	<i>The Defendants are found Guilty</i>	1036
<i>Mrs. Rawlins cross-examin'd by the Prisoner, whether she did not encourage his Addresses to her? which she denies</i>	457	<i>Their Sentence</i>	1037
<i>The Prisoner's Witnesses examin'd</i>	458	TAYLOR, Alexander V. 572. <i>See Green, Thomas, & al.</i>	
<i>Lord Chief Justice Holt sums up the Evidence</i>	463	Temple, James II. 399. <i>See Regicides.</i>	
<i>Resolutions of the Judges, on the Statute of forcible Marriages</i>	464	TEMPLE, Peter II. 399. <i>See Regicides.</i>	
VOL. VI.		TESTE. <i>See Writs.</i>	
		THOMPSON, Nathaniel, William Pain, and John Farwell, <i>try'd for a Misdemeanour, in framing</i>	* T

An Alphabetical T A B L E.

<p>framing and publishing a Libel, or Letter, to scandalize the Justice of the Nation, 34 Car. II. 1682 III. 505</p> <p>The Information ib.</p> <p>A Copy of the Record of the Attainder of Green, Sec. and a Copy of the Inquisition taken by the Coroner, concerning the Murder of Sir Edmundbury Godfrey, produc'd, and sworn to be true Copies 508</p> <p>Evidence that the Defendants had acknowledg'd the Printing and Writing of these Libels before the Council 509</p> <p>Evidence of the Manner in which Sir Edmundbury Godfrey's Body was found 514</p> <p>They are all three found Guilty 515</p> <p>Three Affidavits relating to the Death of Sir Edmundbury Godfrey ib.</p> <p>Thompson and Farwell sentenc'd to stand on the Pillory; and each of them to pay a Fine of 100l. and be imprison'd till they have paid it ib.</p> <p>Pain only fin'd 100 l. and to be imprison'd till he pay it ib.</p> <p>THORPE, William, accus'd of Heresy, 8 Hen. IV. 1407, before Thomas Arundel, Archbishop of Canterbury, and Chancellor of England I. 16.</p> <p>His Confession of Faith ib.</p> <p>He scrupletb to take an Oath 18</p> <p>The Charge against him was, That, in a Sermon at Shr-wilury, he had delivered himself as follows :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the Sacrament of the Altar after Consecration was Material Bread. 2. That Images ought not to be worshipped. 3. That Men should not go on Pilgrimages. 4. That Priests have no Title to Ti bes. 5. That it is not lawful to swear in any wise 20 <p>A Certification of the Doctrines he preach'd sent from Shrewsbury to the Archbishop, and read to him ib.</p> <p>His Defence for preaching without a Licence 22</p> <p>His Defence upon the first Article 23</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;">On the second Article 25</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;">On the third Article 26</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;">On the fourth Article 28</p> <p style="padding-left: 2em;">On the fifth Article 30</p> <p>He is examin'd touching Auricular Confession 32</p> <p>Refusing to make a Submission and Recantation, he is committed to Prison; and never more heard of 36</p> <p>THROCKMORTON, Sir Nicholas, try'd for High Treason, primo Mariæ, 1554 I. 63</p> <p>Names of his Judges ib.</p> <p>The Court would not permit him to speak, till he had pleaded Guilty, or Not Guilty 64</p> <p>Two of the Jury challeng'd peremptorily for the Queen, without shewing Cause ib.</p> <p>He applies himself to the Queen's Counsel not to misrepresent him, but to do him Justice ib.</p> <p>The Prisoner admitted to answer every Matter as it was urg'd against him, before the whole Evidence for the Crown was gone through 65</p> <p>Winter's Examination read, to prove that Throckmorton sent him to Wyat, who propos'd a Treasonable Design to him, and the Prisoner's Answer ib.</p> <p>Crofts's Examination set aside, because he might appear and give Evidence viva voce 66</p> <p>Vaughan, who was condemn'd for the same Fact, allow'd a Witnesses for the Queen 67</p>	<p>Swears the Prisoner was privy to the Conspiracy I 67</p> <p>The Prisoner's Answer ib.</p> <p>His Confession read in part against him; he desires it may be read through 69, 70</p> <p>The Duke of Suffolk's Confession read against him, who had been executed for the same Fact, importing that Sir Nicholas was privy to the Conspiracy 70</p> <p>He insists, that the Lord Thomas Grey (who is said to have given the Duke of Suffolk that Information) be produced personally against him ib.</p> <p>Fitzwilliams, one of his Witnesses, the Court would not suffer to be examin'd; and gave no Reason for refusing him ib.</p> <p>The Court refus'd to let an Act of Parliament be read, which he desir'd; and said, they were not to find Books for him; that the Judges were to resolve all Doubts in Law 71</p> <p>He acknowledges it had been a Custom, that Witnesses were not admitted against the Crown 72</p> <p>He argues notably, that no open Deed or Overt-Act is prov'd against him ib.</p> <p>Whether Words spoken can be deem'd Overt-Acts? 74</p> <p>Prisoner permitted to reply, after the Evidence sum'd up, and the Jury directed 76</p> <p>The Prisoner remanded into Custody, notwithstanding his being acquitted 77</p> <p>The Jury imprison'd, and fin'd, for their Verdict 78</p> <p>THWYNG, Thomas, and Mary Pressicks, their Trial at York-Assizes for High Treason, July 24, 1680, 32 Car. II. III. 79</p> <p>The Indictment for conspiring the Death of the King, and the Subversion of the Establish'd Religion and Government ib.</p> <p>Bolton's Evidence of the Prisoner's Concern in the Plot 80</p> <p>Mowbray's Evidence as to Thwyng 81</p> <p>Further Evidence against Mrs. Pressicks 82</p> <p>Thwyng calls his Witnesses, to prove it a malicious Prosecution 83</p> <p>Mr. Justice Dolben's Direction to the Jury 87</p> <p>Words, unless they discover an Intention to do some Act, not treasonable ib.</p> <p>Mr. Baron Atkyns's Directions to the Jury ib.</p> <p>Thwyng convicted, and Pressicks acquitted 88</p> <p>Sentence pass'd 89</p> <p>Thwyng is executed ib.</p> <p>TILNEY, Charles I. 134. See Abington, & al.</p> <p>TITCHBURNE, Chidiock I. 128. See Abington. & al.</p> <p>TITCHBURNE, Robert II. 394. See Regicides.</p> <p>TOLERATION V. 683. See the Arguments concerning it, in Dr. Sacheverell's Trial.</p> <p>TONGE, Thomas, George Phillips, Francis Stubbs, James Hind, John Sellers, and Nathaniel Citbs, their Trial for High Treason at the Old Bailey, December 11, 1662, 14 Car. II. II. 478</p> <p>Hind pleads Guilty; and the rest Not Guilty ib. 479</p> <p>Mr. Serjeant Maynard opens the Evidence 479</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Evi-</p>
---	---

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>Evidence of Hill, Riggs, Bradley, and Tyler, their Fellow-Conspirators, of a Conspiracy among the Sectaries against his Majesty</i> II. 480, & seqq.</p> <p><i>A Letter, of which about 5000 Copies were dispersed, suggesting there was to be a Massacre by the Papists, as a Colour for arming themselves</i> 482</p> <p><i>The Examination of Phillips read in Evidence</i> 489</p> <p><i>Evidence of Rumours of a Massacre by the Papists about that Time</i> 490</p> <p><i>Phillips owns he was privy to the Design</i> 491</p> <p><i>Stubbs owns that he knew of it</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Gibbs denies it; and affirms he was always loyal to the King</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Tonge says he was drawn into the Plot by Tyler</i> 492</p> <p><i>Sellers endeavours to excuse himself</i> ib.</p> <p><i>They except to the Witnesses, that they have own'd themselves Guilty of the same Offence; which Exception is over-ruled</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Tonge affirms he was threaten'd in the Tower with the Rack</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor sums up the Evidence for the King</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Chief Justice directs the Jury</i> 493</p> <p><i>The Prisoners are convicted</i> 494</p> <p><i>Judgment of High Treason passed upon them</i> 495</p> <p><i>Phillips, Tonge, Gibbs, and Stubbs, their Behaviour at Execution</i> ib.</p> <p>TRANTER, Robert VI. 195. See Reason, Hugh.</p> <p>TRAVERS, John I. 134. See Abington, & al.</p>	<p><i>The aiding, assisting, relieving, harbouring or encouraging a Traitor, (knowing him to be such)</i> High Treason II. 151, 365. IV. 105, 131</p> <p><i>It is the Imagination that is the Treason; the Overt-Acts are but the Evidence of it</i> II. 314</p> <p><i>Whether the writing or printing treasonable Words, dictated by others, can be said to be an Overt-Act of the Writers treasonable Imaginations</i> II. 346, 528</p> <p><i>Any Words tending to the Personal Hurt of the Prince, will amount to an Overt-Act of a treasonable Imagination</i> II. 349. IV. 593, 656</p> <p><i>Treason may be committed against a Prince, when he is out of his Dominions, and out of the Possession of the Government</i> II. 435</p> <p><i>Though it may be no Offence in Law, to assist a King de Facto against a King de Jure, yet it is adjudged High Treason to assist other Usurpers (who have not taken upon them the Title of King) against a King de Jure, out of Possession of the Government</i> II. 444</p> <p><i>A Riotous Assembly pulling down Houses, breaking open Prisons, &c. adjudged to come within that Branch of the 25 Edw. III. which makes it High Treason to levy War against the King</i> II. 585, 593</p> <p><i>The Parliament could not declare any thing to be Treason, that was not Felony at Common Law</i> 558</p> <p><i>Treasonable Words spoken in French</i> 656</p> <p><i>The accepting Popish Orders High Treason</i> 993</p> <p><i>Soliciting foreign Aid, High Treason</i> II. 878. IV. 600</p> <p><i>Whether a Native of Scotland be within the Statute, which makes it High Treason to accept Popish Orders, found special</i> II. 1013</p> <p><i>A solemn Resolution, that in a case of Treason there must be two Witnesses believ'd by the Jury</i> III. 56</p> <p><i>Whoever imagines to dethrone or imprison the King or to compel him by Duress to yield to their Demands, is in Law guilty of imagining his Death</i> III. 406. IV. 625</p> <p><i>Consulting to levy War against the King, and to seize his Guards, or promote an Invasion, held to be Overt-Acts of Compassing the King's death, within the first Branch of the Statute of the 25 Ed. III.</i> III. 730. IV. 772</p> <p><i>Treason, to write treasonable Positions, though they be not publish'd</i> III. 815</p> <p><i>No Petit Treason in Ireland</i> IV. 403</p> <p><i>Murder made High Treason in Ireland</i> ib.</p> <p>TRELAWNEY, Sir Jonathan, Bt. Lord Bishop of Bristol, tried for publishing a Libel IV. 304</p> <p>TRESILIAN, C. J. See the Proceedings at large against him, &c. under BRAMBRE.</p>
T R E A S O N.	
<p><i>Made Treason by a Statute to read the Bible; and Thirty-six attainted and executed on that Statute</i> I. 49</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas More attainted of High Treason, in denying the King's Supremacy</i> 62</p> <p><i>He is guilty of Treason, who is privy and consenting to it; and so is he who endeavours to persuade or procure another to commit Treason</i> 73</p> <p><i>The Duke of Norfolk attainted of Treason, against a particular Act of Parliament, for attempting to marry the Queen of Scots, without Queen Elizabeth's Knowledge</i> 116</p> <p><i>Harbouring Traitors, Treason</i> I. 139, 140. IV. 131, 132</p> <p><i>Earl of Arundel attainted of High Treason, for corresponding with a Traitor beyond Sea, and saying Mass for the Success of the Spanish Invasion</i> I. 168</p> <p><i>Persons attainted of Treason committed against a King before his Coronation</i> 214</p> <p><i>Poisoning heretofore made Treason by a Statute</i> 324</p> <p><i>Accumulative Treason</i> 723, &c.</p> <p><i>The Intention is only treasonable (or punishable) where the Design is against the Prince's Life</i> 759</p> <p><i>If the Actions themselves are not treasonable, the Result of them cannot be Treason</i> 937</p> <p><i>A Number of Misdemeanours or Felonies cannot alter their Nature so as to make them amount to High Treason</i> 941</p> <p><i>Whether a Peer of Ireland can be try'd here for Treason committed there?</i> 950</p> <p><i>Several try'd for High Treason against the Commonwealth and the Protector, during the Ujurpation</i> II. 1, 19, 83, 183, 259, 277</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">T R I A L.</p> <p><i>Sir John Perrot and the Lord Grey try'd in England, for Treason committed in Ireland</i> I. 188, 951</p> <p><i>A Peer cannot be brought to Trial on a Charge exhibited against him by another Peer in the House of Lords</i> II. 558</p> <p><i>The Prisoner admitted to answer to what each Witness charg'd him with as they came, before the whole Evidence for the King was gone through</i> I. 65</p> <p><i>A Prisoner not permitted to take Notes at his Trial</i> 135</p>
The	

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- The Punishment for standing Mute* I. 325
A Woman not permitted to wear her Hat at her Trial 339
A Peer shall not be try'd by a Jury of Commons in a Capital Case, though he desire it 388
The Lord High Steward consulted by the Lords Tryers in the Absence of the Prisoner 394
Formalities previous to a Trial before the House of Peers 736
A Trial before a Council of War 745
Treason committed in one Reign may be try'd in another II. 9
Scots try'd in England for Treason committed in Scotland, before the Union of the Kingdoms 10
A Jury discharged of a Prisoner without giving a Verdict II. 715, 831
A Peer may be try'd by a Jury as a Commoner, for Offences not Capital 560
Prisoners find forty Marks a-piece, for coming into Court at their Trial with their Hats on 611
The Commons deny that a High Steward is necessary upon a Trial by Impeachment 740
The Lords resolve, that the Lords Spiritual may vote in all Matters previous to the Trial, in Capital Cases 752
A Prisoner try'd at Bar for Treason receives Sentence the same Day 659
The Prisoners receive Sentence the Day after their Conviction, though tried at Bar 789
These Proceedings censur'd IV. 779
Where any Point of Law arises at the Trial of a Peer, it ought to be put in the Prisoner's Presence II. 729
A Jury are to take no Notice of what was done at a former Trial 863
The Prisoner may give Evidence, that a Witness produc'd against him gave contrary Testimony at a former Trial 900
A Peer need not hold up his Hand at his Arraignment IV. 211, 512
The King's-Bench try a Commoner impeach'd by the Commons III. 263
An Irishman try'd at the King's-Bench Bar for Treason committed in Ireland, and for which he had been before arraign'd there 203
A Prisoner may cross-examine a Witness, after the King's Counsel have done with him; but must not make any Observations on the Evidence, till it is gone through 481
The Lord Russel allow'd two Clerks to take Notes at his Trial 708
No Time or Notice can be insist'd on to prepare for Trial, in Capital Cases 732
The King's Counsel shall have the last Word III. 816. IV. 40, 162
Where one outlaw'd shall be admitted to a Trial, and where not III. 983
Nothing ought to be given in Evidence that was said or done at another Trial, till the Record of that Trial be prov'd IV. 41
The Prisoner being thick of bearing, has one to assist her 106
One try'd for harbouring a Traitor, before the Traitor was convicted 122
One try'd for a Misdemeanour, and convicted; and afterward try'd for High Treason, for the same Fact 207
A Peer may be try'd by Commission, during a Prorogation of Parliament 212
- The Lord High Steward sole Judge, on a Trial by Commission; and need not consult the other Peers concerning the Methods and Order of the Trial* IV. 215
The Lords Tryers cannot adjourn, or separate, any more than a Jury of Commoners, till they have given a Verdict VI. 232. But it is otherwise where the Trial is in full Parliament 233
It is not usual for a High Steward to sum up or make any Observations on the Evidence 246
Where one pleads his Peerage to the Jurisdiction of an inferior Court, he must produce his Patent 414
Where an Indictment is remov'd into the King's Bench, Judgment ought not to be given till four Days after Conviction; if there be so many Days remaining in the Term IV. 779
Where the Trial is at Bar, a Venire issues, as in Civil Cases; and fifteen Days between the Teste and Return 778
No Evidence can be given against a Man in a Trial for his Life, but in his Presence V. 85, 118
A Prisoner cannot insist on the Witnesses being examin'd a part as his Right 20
The Judges Opinion in a Point of Law, arising at the Trial of a Peer, must be ask'd in the Presence of the Prisoner 169
In a Trial of a Peer before the Lords for a Misdemeanour, he shall not stand without the Bar 364
- TRIGGE, Thomas III. 949. See Sachevcreli, William.
- TURNER, Anne, her Trial, as Accessory, before the Fact, to the Murder of Sir Thomas Overbury I. 339
Her Hat order'd to be taken off at the arraignment ib.
She and the Countess of Essex resort to a Conjuror ib.
Several Devils invoc'd, that the Prisoner and the Countess might obtain the Love of their Gallants 340
The Prisoner convicted, and Judgment given against her 341
- TURNER, Anthony II. 829. See White, al. Whitebread, & al.
- TURNER, Colonel James, John Turner, William Turner, Mary Turner, and Ely Turner, their Trial for Burglary, at the Old Bailey, the 15th, 16th, and 19th of Jan. 1663. 15 Car. II. II. 502
The Indictment, for breaking open the House of Francis Tryon, and robbing him of Jewels and Money to the Value of several Thousand Pounds ib.
Col. Turner complains his Goods were seized on by the Sheriffs, and they had taken Possession of his House: the Sheriffs answer, they have only left a Servant in the House for Security of the Goods, till they see whether he is convicted or acquitted 503
The Chief Justice holds, that it is the Duty of the Sheriff, where one is accus'd of Felony, to secure his Goods; but the Prisoner may have what he has Occasion for, to subsist him 504
If the Prisoner can find Security, that the Goods be forth-coming, the Sheriff shall take his Hands off ib.
The

An Alphabetical TABLE

<p><i>The Chief Justice tells him, they cannot grant him Process to bring in his Witnesses</i> II. 505</p> <p><i>If the Principals are all acquitted, the Accessories shall be discharged without Trial</i> II. 506</p> <p style="text-align: right;">III. 470</p> <p><i>The Evidence against the Prisoners</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Proof, that the Jewels were produced by James Turner</i> II. 507</p> <p><i>And part of the Money, which he own'd to be Mr Tryon's</i> 508</p> <p><i>The Circumstances of the Robbery testified by Mr. Tryon</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence, that Mary and Ely Turner brought some of the Money to a Neighbour's House</i> 510</p> <p><i>A particular Seal to one of the Bags given in Evidence</i> 511</p> <p><i>A Stool brought for him to sit on in the Court</i> 512</p> <p><i>Mr. Tryon swears he believes William Turner was one of the Men that robb'd him</i> 515, 516</p> <p><i>Col. Turner's Defence</i> 516</p> <p><i>He says he found the Thieves, and persuaded them to deliver up the Goods and Money upon Promise that their Lives should be saved</i> 517</p> <p><i>He calls his Witnesses, who say little or nothing to the Purpose</i> 521</p> <p><i>The other Prisoner's Defence</i> 523</p> <p><i>The Lord Chief Justice directs the Jury</i> 524</p> <p><i>He tells them, that Mary Turner, the Wife, cannot be convicted as Accessary to a Felony committed by her Husband</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That all the Accomplices in Burglary are guilty of Burglary, though they do not all enter the House</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That if one comes in by Day, and opens the Door to others in the Night, and they come in and rob the House, they are all guilty of Burglary</i> 526</p> <p><i>James Turner is convicted</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The rest are acquitted</i> ib.</p> <p><i>After his Conviction he confesses the whole Matter to five Justices of the Peace</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Judgment against James Turner to be hang'd</i> 527</p> <p><i>His Execution</i> ib.</p> <p>TURNER, Dr. Francis, Bishop of Ely, tried for publishing a Libel IV. 304</p> <p>TURPIN, Joseph III. 949. See Sacheverell, William.</p> <p>TUTCHIN, John, his Trial on an Information for a Libel, at Guild-Hall, Nov. 4. 1704. 3 Annæ V. 528</p> <p><i>A Juryman owns he had always condemn'd Mr. Tutchin's Writings; and desires to be excused</i> 530</p> <p><i>The King's Counsel, after some Debate, consent to it</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Howe the Printer's Evidence, of his Agreement with Tutchin for the Copy, &c.</i> 532</p> <p><i>Debates between the Counsel, whether the Proof be sufficient to read the Papers</i> 533</p> <p><i>The Papers read</i> 535, 539</p> <p><i>Tutchin holds, People may call their Princes to account, and depose them</i> 536</p> <p><i>Mr. Montague's Speech for the Defendant</i> 538</p> <p><i>Mr. Attorney's Observation on the Evidence for the Queen</i> 540</p> <p><i>It may be a Libel, though no particular Person be reflected on</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Attorney charges Mr. Montague with justifying Tutchin's Principles, as to the Power of the People</i> ib.</p> <p><i>And says, he shall prosecute any Man who maintains such Doctrine</i> ib.</p>	<p><i>The Chief Justice Holt directs the Jury</i> V. 541</p> <p><i>The Defendant is found guilty of publishing, but not of writing, The Observators</i> 542</p> <p><i>The Defendant's Counsel move in arrest of Judgment; for that the Distringas was teste'd the Day after the Return of the Venire, and so a Discontinuance of Process</i> 543</p> <p><i>And for that the Venire and Distringas were returnable at a Day certain</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The last Exception is over-ruled</i> ib.</p> <p><i>As to the first Exception, the Queen's Counsel argue, that it was but a Misprision of the Clerk, and ought to be amended</i> 545</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas Powys's Argument</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir Edward Northey, Attorney-General</i> 547</p> <p><i>Books cited, where Amendments are allowed in Cases of the Crown</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Arguments by the Defendant's Counsel, that it could not be amended</i> 552</p> <p><i>For that there was no Instance of an Amendment in a Criminal Prosecution</i> 553</p> <p><i>Mr. Broderick for the Defendant</i> 554</p> <p><i>Mr. Montague's Argument</i> 557</p> <p><i>Mr. Parker's Argument</i> 562</p> <p><i>Mr. Whitaker on the same Side</i> 563</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. Attorney-General, their Reply</i> 564</p> <p><i>Mr. Justice Gould held it was amendable by the Common Law; but not by the Statute</i> 568</p> <p><i>Mr. Justice Powis is of Opinion, it might be amended</i> 569</p> <p><i>Lord Chief Justice, and Mr. Justice Powel, contra</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Writs must be Teste'd the Day they are awarded</i> 570</p> <p><i>An Error in point of Skill not amendable, though Misprisions or Mistakes were</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Where the Court is divided, Judgment may be enter'd</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Justice Powys agrees there should be a new Trial</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Trial being quash'd, whether a new Venire, could be taken out, unless the Court award it</i> 571</p> <p><i>No Judgment enter'd</i> ib.</p> <p>TWYN, John, Printer, his Trial for High Treason, and the Trials of Thomas Brewster, Simon Dover, and Nathan Brooks, for a Misdemeanour, the 20th and 22d of February, 1663-4. 15 Carr. II. II. 528</p> <p><i>The Indictment against Twyn, for printing a seditious and treasonable Libel, entitled, A Treatise of the Execution of Justice, &c.</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Indictment against Dover, for printing a Libel, called, The Speeches and Prayers of some of the late King's Judges, &c.</i> 529</p> <p><i>The Indictment against Brewster, for printing and uttering the said Libel, call'd, The Speeches and Prayers, &c.</i> 530</p> <p><i>Another Indictment against Brewster, for printing and uttering another Libel; call'd, The Phoenix; or, the solemn League and Covenant, &c.</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Indictment against Nathan Brooks, for selling and uttering the said Book; call'd, The Speeches and Prayers, &c.</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Dover, Brewster, and Brooks are allow'd a Copy of their Indictment, and Counsel; their Offence being but a Misdemeanour</i> ib.</p> <p><i>John Twyn first brought upon his Trial</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Half the Jury Booksellers, or Printers</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Speeches of the King's Counsel</i> 531</p>
---	---

An Alphabetical TABLE.

- The Evidence against Twyn* II. 532
Of his Servant, that some Sheets of the Book were printed at his House; and that Mr. Twyn compos'd Part of them himself ib.
Of several, that the Sheets and Part of the Forms were seized in his Printing-House 533
The treasonable Passages of the Pamphlets read in Court 536
In his Defence he denies that he knows who was the Author 537
The Chief Justice directs the Jury, and tells them, the Expressions in the Book are High Treason by the old Statute ib.
John Twyn convicted ib.
Judgment for High Treason pronounc'd against Twyn 538
The Jury sworn a-new the Monday following, and Brewster brought upon his Trial ib.
The Evidence against Brewster, that he put the Book to be printed; and paid the Printer for printing, and the Bookbinder for stitching them ib.
His Defence, that he did it only in the way of his Trade, and did not read the Book 540
That the suppos'd Offence was committed three years and a quarter before 541
The Lord Chief Justice Hyde directs the Jury ib.
He tells them, the Law implies a malicious and seditious Intent, where one publishes a seditious Libel 542
And 'tis no Excuse for the Printer, to say, they were Dying Speeches, or the Words of a Dying Man; the Printer and Publisher are liable to be punish'd, if the Words are not justifiable ib.
Thomas Brewster try'd upon the second Indictment ib.
The Evidence against him upon the second Indictment, much the same as upon the first ib.
Brewster urges in his Defence, that the last Book he is indicted for, was printed formerly with Licence 544
The Lord Chief Justice directs the Jury, and takes Notice of this Expression in the last-mentioned Libel, That, the King abusing his Power, the People may resist, and take up Arms against him; and this, he tells the Jury, is express Treason ib.
He observes, as to the Book being licens'd, one Part was licens'd at Edinburgh, and the other during the Rebellion here ib.
Simon Dover brought upon his Trial 545
The Evidence against him ib.
His Defence, that none of the Witnesses are positive; but that all the Evidence is by Hearsay 546
The Chief Justice directs the Jury, and tells them, it was no excuse to say, when he had printed a Piece of Sedition, that Printing was his Trade: 547
And that pregnant Circumstances are good Evidence, as well as full Proof ib.
Nathan Brooks brought upon his Trial ib.
The Evidence against him, that he carry'd some of the Books tied up to a Bookseller; and that one was seized upon him ib.
The Chief Justice directs the Jury 549
Thomas Brewster, Simon Dover, and Nathan Brooks, convicted ib.
The Chief Justice tells them they might have been indicted for High Treason for this Fact, if the Government had not been favourable II. 549
Judgment against these three ib.
TYBURN, whence so called I. 49
- V.
- V**ANE, Sir Henry, Kt. his Trial at the King's Bench Bar, for High Treason, the 6th of June, in Trinity-Term, 1662 II. 435
Arguments he had prepar'd to offer, before his Arraignment ib.
His arraignment 438
The Indictment, for compassing the Death of King Charles II. holding him out of the Possession of the Government, raising Forces, and levying War against him ib.
His Memorandums for his Defence 439
A Jury sworn, and charged with the Prisoner 441
The Evidence produced against him ib.
Sir Henry Vane's Defence 442
He insists, that a King out of Possession of the Government, was not such a King, against whom Treason could be committed ib.
That he could not be call'd to Account for what he transacted by Authority of Parliament, the Parliament being co-ordinate with the King 443
And that, being indicted in Middlesex, Evidence could not be given of Treason committed in Surrey ib.
He is answer'd that the Parliament under which he pretended to act, was dissolved by the Death of the late King 444
As to the King's being out of Possession, this had been urg'd by Watton the Jesuit, who was indicted for Treason against King James, before his entering into England; but was over-ruled ib.
And as to the Parliament being co-ordinate with the King, this Parliament had not a greater Power than other Parliaments, by 17 Car. though they had a longer Duration ib.
And that the Parliament being under a Force, and most of the Members excluded, their Acts were of no Authority ib.
Privilege of Parliament no shelter for Breach of the Peace; much less for Treason ib.
Sir Henry Vane convicted ib.
His Papers that he had prepared for his Defence ib.
He is call'd to Judgment, and offers a Bill of Exceptions 450, 451
He urges the same Points in Arrest of Judgment, that he had insisted on at his Trial 453
He insists much on the 11 Hen. VII. and says it ought to be taken largely for any Sovereign Power, be it a Protector or other; and that, had the late Protector taken the Name of King, no Treason could have been committed against the King de jure out of Possession ib.
The Petition of both Houses to his Majesty, in behalf of Sir Henry Vane 459
Sentence pronounced upon him ib.
His Behaviour and Dying Speech ib.
- VAUGHAN**, Captain Thomas, his Trial for High Treason before the Admiralty, Nov. 6. 1696, 8 Will. III. V. 17
Six Days Notice of Trial, after Issue joyned ib.
The

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<i>The Indictment, for adhering to, and assisting the King's Enemies, and levying War</i>	V. 18	<i>Refuses to answer upon Oath</i>	I. 170
<i>The Prisoner's Irons order'd to be taken off</i>	ib.	<i>He is try'd at Surrey Assizes</i>	171
<i>Exceptions to the Indictment must be taken before Plea pleaded; notwithstanding the new Statute says before the Jury are sworn</i>	ib.	<i>Charg'd with Puritanical Tenets</i>	ib.
<i>Dr. Littleton, and Sir John Hawles Solicitor General, their Speeches before Evidence</i>	19	<i>Depositions of absent Persons read against him</i>	172
<i>The Prisoner cannot insist on the Witnesses being examin'd apart, as his Right; but it is generally granted</i>	20	<i>He insists, they ought to give Evidence viva voce; which the Court denied</i>	172, 173, 182
<i>Evidence, that he acted under a French Commission, and fought against the King's Ships, and was taken</i>	ib.	<i>The Court refuse to hear the Prisoner's Witnesses, because it was against the Queen</i>	173, 183
<i>Evidence offer'd of his running away with another Ship; but rejected, because not laid in the Indictment</i>	ib. to 22	<i>The Court offer to acquit him, if he would say he did not write the Libel he was charg'd with</i>	ib.
<i>A general Indictment for levying War, or adhering to the King's Enemies, not good; unless it be shewn wherein they adher'd, &c.</i>	21	<i>The Court direct the Jury to consider only, Whether he writ the Book, and leave the Nature of the Offence to them</i>	173, 176
<i>Evidence, to prove that he own'd himself an Irishman</i>	25	<i>Udall's Defence; he urges the Statute he is indicted upon, was designed only against the Papists</i>	174
<i>The Prisoner's Defence by Mr. Phipps and Dr. Oldys his Counsel</i>	ib. 26	<i>The Court rule, that impugning the Bishops Authority, is impugning the Queen's Authority within the Meaning of the Act; and it is Felony to impugn the Queen's Authority in Causes Ecclesiastical</i>	175
<i>They endeavour to prove him a Frenchman</i>	ib. & seqq.	<i>The Prisoner is convicted</i>	176
<i>The King's Counsel call Witnesses to shew the Prisoner was a Native of Ireland</i>	29	<i>He affirms the Cause of Presbytery to be God's Cause</i>	177, 178
<i>The Prisoner's Counsel call Witnesses against the King's Witnesses</i>	30	<i>Upon his Submission, his Judgment is respited</i>	179
<i>No Evidence ought to be given of the Contents of a Letter, unless the Letter be produc'd</i>	31	<i>Being call'd to Judgment, he assigns the same Causes in Arrest of Judgment he had mentioned in his Defence</i>	180
<i>The Prisoner's Examination read</i>	33	<i>His Objections answered by the Court</i>	181
<i>The Chief Justice directs the Jury</i>	34	<i>Circumstantial Evidence sufficient to convict a Man, where there is no direct Proof</i>	182
<i>Adhering to the King's Enemies, what shall be construed such</i>	ib.	<i>Retracting his Submission, Sentence of Death pass'd upon him</i>	184
<i>Foreigners who are in the Service of an Enemy, (though their State be in Amity with ours) deem'd Subjects to the Enemy, and not Pirates, while in the Enemy's Service</i>	34	<i>His Principles</i>	185
<i>The Prisoner is convicted</i>	36	<i>Condemns the Separation of the Brownists</i>	ib.
<i>His Counsel move in Arrest of Judgment</i>	ib.	<i>King James interposes in behalf of him and the other Puritanical Ministers under Prosecution</i>	187
<i>1. That the Indictment does not say, he adher'd to the King's Enemies against the King</i>	ib.	<i>He petitions for Banishment</i>	188
<i>Over-ru'd</i>	ib.	VENIRE FACIAS. See Writs.	
<i>2. That no Act of Hostility was alledged</i>	37	VERDICT. See Jury.	
<i>Over-ruled; and held, that cruising upon the Coast with an armed Vessel, was an Act of Hostility, and was an actual levying War</i>	37	VERE, Robert, Duke of Ireland. See Brambre.	
<i>3. That the Trial should have been before the Admiralty</i>	ib.	VICE-CHANCELLOR. See Cambridge.	
<i>Over-ru'd</i>	38	VINCENT, Samuel V. 445. See Kirkby, & al.	
<i>A Confession may be retracted by the Civil Law</i>	ib.	UNIVERSITIES. See Cambridge, and Magdalen-College Case.	
<i>Whether two Witnesses are requir'd by the Law of God in Capital Cases?</i>	ib.	VOWELL, Peter. See Fox Somerset.	
<i>Our Juries look'd upon as Witnesses coming out of the Neighbourhood where the Fact is committed</i>	ib.	VRATZ, Christopher III. 462. See Boroski, & al.	
<i>Sentence pronounc'd</i>	ib.	URLINES, Samuel V. 572. See Green, Thomas, & al.	
<i>Captain Vaughan's Commission</i>	39	W.	
UDALL, John, his Trial, on the Statute 23 Eliz. cap. 2. for feloniously writing and publishing a seditious and scandalous Libel, called, The Demonstration of Discipline, 1589, and 1590.	I. 168	WADE, Cooper V. 445. See Kirkby, & al.	
<i>He is examin'd before a Committee of Council</i>	ib.	WAITE, Thomas II. 399. See Regicides.	
<i>Alleges, no Man is bound to answer and accuse himself</i>	169	WAKEMAN, Sir George, Bart. William Marshal, William Rumley, and James Corker, their Trial for High Treason, 18 July, 1679. 31 Car. II. II. 917	
		<i>The Indictment against the three first, for conspiring the King's Death</i>	ib.
		<i>Indictment against Corker, for the same</i>	919
		<i>They are all try'd by the same Jury</i>	918
		<i>Sir Robert Sawyer's Speech before Evidence</i>	920
			The

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p><i>The King's Witnesses call'd, to prove the Plot in general</i> II. 921</p> <p><i>Sir Edmundbury Godfrey's Death</i> 923</p> <p><i>The Papists Opinion of the Powder-Plot</i> 927, 928</p> <p><i>Oates deposes, that the Queen was to assist Sir George Wakeman to poison the King</i> 930</p> <p><i>That Sir George Wakeman refus'd to accept 10,000 l. for poisoning the King</i> ib.</p> <p><i>That Corker was President of the Benedictines</i> 933</p> <p><i>Bedloe's Evidence</i> 935</p> <p><i>Objection to Bedloe's Evidence</i> 937</p> <p><i>Marshal charges Bedloe that he did not know him in the Gate-house</i> 938</p> <p><i>Sir George calls his Witnesses</i> 941</p> <p><i>Oates's Evidence suspected</i> 945</p> <p><i>He reflects on the Privy Council</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Corker's Defence</i> 948</p> <p><i>Witnesses are not to be scandaliz'd by the Prisoner, without Proof</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The Improbability of the Plot in general</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Objections to Oates's Testimony</i> 949</p> <p><i>A Jury discharg'd without giving a Verdict</i> 953</p> <p><i>Corker proves by several Witnesses, that Mr. Stapleton, and not he, was President of the Benedictines</i> 954, 955</p> <p><i>The Prisoners urge the Protestations of the five Jesuits, of their Innocence at their Execution, as an Argument against the Plot</i> 956</p> <p><i>The Chief Justice answers that Objection</i> 957</p> <p><i>They disclaim the King-killing and Deposing Doctrine, &c.</i> 958</p> <p><i>The Chief Justice directs the Jury</i> ib.</p> <p><i>All the Prisoners are acquitted</i> 961</p> <p>WALCOT, Captain, his Trial for High Treason, 1683: 35 Car. II. III. 684</p> <p><i>The Indictment, for conspiring the Death of the King, and to raise a Rebellion in the Rye-house Plot</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir Robert Sawyer, Attorney-General, his Speech before Evidence</i> 685</p> <p><i>Mr. Serj. Jefferies's Speech</i> 686</p> <p><i>Evidence, that he agreed to command a Party that should charge the King's Guards</i> 687</p> <p><i>And persuaded Keeling to kill the King</i> 689</p> <p><i>Mr. West's Evidence</i> 693</p> <p><i>Walcot's Letter to the Secretary of State, produc'd and read</i> 698</p> <p><i>His Defence</i> 699</p> <p><i>Mr. Finch, Solicitor-General, sums up the Evidence</i> 700</p> <p><i>Lord Chief Justice Saunders, his Directions to the Jury</i> 701</p> <p><i>The Prisoner convicted</i> 702</p> <p><i>The Sentence; and his Behaviour at Execution</i> 745</p> <p>WALLER, Sir Hardress II. 308. See Regicides.</p> <p>WARD, Sir Patience, his Trial at the King's-Bench for Perjury, 1683. 35 Car. II. III. 661</p> <p><i>The Information open'd, charging the Defendant with Perjury, in a Cause between the Duke of York and Mr. Pilkington</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir Robert Sawyer, Attorney-General, his Speech</i> 662</p> <p><i>Mr. Serjeant Jefferies his Speech</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence, to prove he swore the Matters laid in the Information</i> 663</p>	<p><i>Evidence, that what Sir Patience testified was false</i> III. 665</p> <p><i>Sir George Treby, Recorder, his Speech for the Defendant</i> 667</p> <p><i>Mr. Williams, on the same Side</i> 669</p> <p><i>Evidence, to prove that Sir Patience did not swear positively; but to the best of his Memory, and with Caution</i> 670</p> <p><i>Witnesses call'd to the Defendant's Reputation</i> 674</p> <p><i>Sir George Treby's Observations for the Defendant</i> 676</p> <p><i>Mr. Williams's Observations</i> 677</p> <p><i>Sir Francis Winnington's Speech</i> 678</p> <p><i>Mr. Pollexfen, on the same Side</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Mr. Attorney-General's Reply</i> 679</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor-General, and Sir George Jefferies's Reply</i> 680, 681</p> <p><i>Lord Chief Justice Saunders sums up the Evidence</i> 682</p> <p><i>The Defendant convicted</i> 684</p> <p><i>But withdraws before Sentence</i> ib.</p> <p>WARWICK and Holland, Edward Earl of, his Trial, before the House of Peers, for the Murder of Richard Coote, Esq; the 28th of March, 1699. 10 W. III. V. 137</p> <p><i>The Indictment</i> 140, & seqq.</p> <p><i>Lord Somers, Lord High Steward, his Speech</i> 142</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas Trevor, Attorney-General, opens the Evidence</i> 144</p> <p><i>Evidence of the Quarrel</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Evidence of the Chairmen that carried them into Leicester-Fields; and of Mr. Coote's being kill'd</i> 149, & seqq.</p> <p><i>Evidence of the Servants at the Bagnio</i> 155</p> <p><i>Evidence of the Surgeons</i> 160</p> <p><i>The Earl's Defence</i> 162</p> <p><i>The Earl's Witnesses are called</i> 163</p> <p><i>Mr. French having had his Clergy, but not being burnt in the Hand, it is debated whether he is a legal Witness</i> 166</p> <p><i>Mr. Attorney's Argument, that Mr. French was no legal Witness</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Sir Thomas Powis's Argument, in behalf of the Prisoner, contra</i> 167</p> <p><i>Peers shall not be burnt in the Hand</i> ib.</p> <p><i>The King may pardon the burning, even in an Appeal</i> V. 168</p> <p><i>The Judges Opinions must be ask'd in Presence of the Prisoner</i> 169</p> <p><i>Serjeant Wright's Reply to the Prisoner's Counsel, as to Mr. French's Testimony</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Burning, as well as the Allowance of Clergy, necessary to restore a Man to his Credit</i> 170</p> <p><i>Rul'd, that French was not a legal Witness</i> 173</p> <p><i>The Prisoner shall not suppose a Fact, and his Counsel argue the Law arising upon it, till the Lords have settled what the Fact really is</i> 175</p> <p><i>Mr. Solicitor, Sir John Hawles, sums up the Evidence for the King</i> 176</p> <p><i>The Earl of Warwick found guilty of Manslaughter</i> 180</p> <p><i>The Earl demands his Clergy</i> ib.</p> <p><i>Receives a Caution how he offends again</i> ib.</p> <p>WELLING, Thomas, and Elizabeth V. 504</p> <p>See Hathaway, & al.</p> <p>WENTWORTH, Sir John I. 333. See Sir John Hollis.</p>
--	--

WESTON,

An Alphabetical TABLE.

WESTON, Richard, <i>his Trial for the Murder of Sir Thomas Overbury, 19 Oct. 1615. 13 Jac. I.</i>	II. 847
<i>I. 324</i>	
<i>By the 22 Hen. VIII. cap. 9. a wilful Poisoner was to be boil'd to Death</i>	ib.
<i>The Indictment</i>	325
<i>The Prisoner refuses to put himself upon his Country: whereupon Sir Laurence Hyde, Attorney-General, gives a particular Account of the whole Intrigue</i>	ib. 326
<i>Several Examinations of others read against the Prisoner</i>	328
<i>The Prisoner's own Examination read as Evidence against him</i>	ib.
<i>The Court being set again, he submits to a Trial</i>	329
<i>Held sufficient, to find the Party poison'd; and not necessary, to find it done in the Manner laid in the Indictment</i>	330
<i>The Examinations read again at his Trial</i>	ib. 331
<i>The Earl of Somerset and Countess of Essex, the Contrivers of the Murder</i>	331, 332
<i>The Prisoner pretends he did not know the Things administer'd were Poison</i>	332
<i>He is convicted, and Sentence passed on him by the Lord Chief Justice; and his Speech</i>	ib.
WHITE, Charles, <i>his Trial</i> VI. 829. See Goodere.	
WHITE, Dr. Thomas, <i>Bishop of Peterborough, tried for publishing a Libel</i>	IV. 304
WHITE, alias Whitebread, Thomas II. 696. See Ireland, & al.	
WHITE, alias Whitebread, Thomas, William Harcourt, John Fenwick, John Gavan, alias Gawen, and Anthony Turner, <i>their Trial for High Treason, 13th of June, 1679. 31 Car. II.</i>	II. 829
<i>All the twelve Judges in the Commission of Oyer and Terminer</i>	ib.
<i>The Indictment, for compassing the Death of the King, the Subversion of the Government, Religion, &c.</i>	830
<i>Whitebread objects, that he had been try'd before for the same Offence, and the Jury were dismiss'd without giving their Verdict</i>	831
<i>The Chief Justice holds, that it was in the Discretion of the Court to discharge the Jury without taking a Verdict; and that he might be try'd again</i>	832
<i>This Proceeding censur'd</i>	IV. 673
<i>Corker's Trial put off</i>	II. 833
<i>Sir Creswell Levinz, his Speech before Evidence</i>	834
<i>Oates's Evidence of the Consult of the Jesuits at the White Horse, the 24th of April, 1678.</i>	835
<i>And of Whitebread's offering Sir George Wakeman 10,000 l. to poison the King</i>	ib.
<i>His Evidence against Gavan</i>	836
<i>Oates's Evidence against Fenwick and Harcourt, of their paying 80 l. to four Ruffians, who were to murder the King at Windsor</i>	827
<i>Deposes that the Duke of Ormonde was to have been kill'd in Ireland</i>	ib.
<i>That Whitebread beat him for discovering the Plot</i>	ib.
<i>That Turner was at the Consult</i>	ib. 838
<i>Dugdale's Evidence against Whitebread and Gavan</i>	840
<i>His Evidence against Harcourt</i>	II. 847
<i>His Evidence against Turner</i>	844
<i>Prance's Evidence against Harcourt and Fenwick</i>	ib.
<i>Bedloe's Evidence against Whitebread, Fenwick, and Harcourt; with his Reasons why he suppress'd his Evidence at a former Trial</i>	845
<i>Pickering and Grove employ'd to kill the King; and their Reward</i>	ib.
<i>A Design to kill the King at New-market</i>	ib.
<i>Bedloe's Evidence of Sir George Wakeman's receiving 5000 l. in part of 15,000, for poisoning the King</i>	846
<i>Whitebread's Defence</i>	848
<i>Mr. Fenwick's Defence</i>	851
<i>Eleven Witnesses depose, That Oates was at St. Omers, at the Time he swore he was at the Consult</i>	852, & seqq.
<i>Evidence that Sir John Warner and Sir Thomas Preston were in Flanders, when Oates swore he came to England with them</i>	858
<i>The Chief Justice falls upon the Principles of the Papists; and the People shout</i>	861
<i>Gavan's Defence</i>	ib.
<i>He calls Witnesses to prove he was in Staffordshire at the Time of the Consult</i>	862
<i>Offers to put himself on the Trial-Ordeal</i>	ib.
<i>But is told, we have no such Law; and that he did it to amuse the People</i>	ib.
<i>The Prisoners urge, that the Witnesses against them are Men of infamous Characters</i>	863
<i>Call several Witnesses to prove that Ireland was not in London, when Oates swore he was at Mr. Harcourt's Chamber there</i>	864
<i>Whitebread shews, that Bedloe must be perjured at one of the Trials</i>	866
<i>Sir Creswell Levinz's Reply for the King</i>	867
<i>A Witness call'd to contradict the Evidence about Ireland</i>	868
<i>Witnesses to contradict the St. Omers-Witnesses</i>	ib.
<i>The Prisoners Observations on these Evidences</i>	872
<i>The Chief Justice directs the Jury</i>	875
<i>And tells them, that Papists would say or swear any thing to promote their Cause; and therefore the Testimony of the Prisoner's Witnesses, how numerous soever, was not to be regarded</i>	876
<i>And that a Mistake of seven or eight Days in Oates's Evidence, ought not to influence them</i>	ib.
<i>He opposes the Verdict against Ireland, to the Testimony of the Prisoners Witnesses</i>	877
<i>The Prisoners are convicted</i>	878
<i>The Recorder approves the Verdict</i>	ib.
WICKHAM, John III. 630. See Pilkington, & al.	
WIDDRINGTON, William Lord VI. 1. See Derwentwater, Earl of.	
W I F E.	
<i>In Criminal Cases, where she is aggriev'd, may be a Witness against her Husband</i> I. 37, 388, 393. V. 456	
WILLIAM III. King of England, &c. <i>The Declaration publish'd by him when he came into England at the Revolution</i>	V. 747
WILCOCKS, Samuel V. 572. See Green, Thomas, & al.	

An Alphabetical TABLE.

<p>WILKS, William II. 385. See Messenger, & al.</p> <p>WILLOUGHBY, Elizabeth V. 504. See Hathaway, & al.</p> <p>WILSON, William, Clerk III. 949. See Sacheverell, William.</p> <p>WINTER, Robert, Thomas Winter, Guy Fawks, John Grant, Ambrose Rookwook, Robert Keyes, and Thomas Bates, their Indictment for High Treason, in contriving the Gunpowder-Plot, 27th January, 1605. 3 Jac. I. I. 232</p> <p>Serjeant Phillips's Speech 234</p> <p>Sir Edward Coke's Speech on opening the Charge 235</p> <p>The Quality of the Conspirators 237</p> <p>The Principles of the Jesuits ib.</p> <p>The Reasonableness of the Laws against Recusants 240</p> <p>The Prisoners Confession before the Council, read as Evidence against them 243</p> <p>They are convicted ib.</p> <p>Sir Everard Digby arraigned for the same Conspiracy; and pleads Guilty 244</p> <p>Sentence pronounced against them. Four of them executed at the West-end of St. Paul's, and four in the Old Palace-Yard 247</p> <p>WINTER, Thomas I. 232. See Winter, Robert, & al.</p> <p>WINTOUN, George Earl of, his Trial before the House of Peers, on an Impeachment for High Treason, 1715, 2 George I. VI. 17</p> <p>Orders previous to the Trial 18</p> <p>The King's Commission, appointing William Lord Cowper Lord High Steward 28</p> <p>Lord High Steward's Speech to the Prisoner ib.</p> <p>The Articles read, charging him with having been in open Rebellion against the King 22</p> <p>His Answer 24</p> <p>The Replication of the House of Commons 25</p> <p>Mr. Hampden's Speech ib.</p> <p>Sir Joseph Jekyll's Speech 21</p> <p>Sir Edward Northey, Attorney General, his Speech 29</p> <p>Evidence that the Earl of Wintoun was with the Rebels from the 11th of October, till the Rebellion was suppress'd 31, & seqq.</p> <p>That he was present at several Places where the Pretender was proclaim'd 33</p> <p>Evidence of the King's Officers concerning his Surrender, and the Terms of it 38</p> <p>Lord Wintoun desires Time to make his Defence 41</p> <p>Which is refus'd 42</p> <p>Mr. Cowper sums up the Evidence 44</p> <p>Is seconded by Sir William Thompson 45</p> <p>Lord Wintoun convicted 43</p> <p>He moves, in Arrest of Judgment, that no particular Day is assign'd in the Articles 49</p> <p>Sir Constantine Phipps, his Counsel, his Speech to that Point ib.</p> <p>Mr. Peere-Williams on the same Side 50</p> <p>Mr. Walpole's Reply 52</p> <p>Sir Edward Northey and Mr. Cowper's Speeches 53</p> <p>Sir William Thompson's Argument 54</p> <p>The Prisoner's Counsel reply 55</p> <p>The Objection over-ruled 56</p> <p>Lord High Steward's Speech before Judgment ib.</p> <p>Judgment for High Treason pronounc'd upon him 58</p>	<p>He escapes from the Tower VI. 58</p> <p>WITCHCRAFT V. 482.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">W I T N E S S.</p> <p>Anciently, Witnesses were not permitted to be heard against the Crown I. 72</p> <p>Aliens, Villains, and Accomplices in Treason may be Witnesses 113</p> <p>Whether the Wife may be a Witness for, or against, her Husband in Criminal Matters? 393</p> <p>A Popish Recusant a good Witness, till he is convicted, and the Record of his Conviction is produced I. 392. IV. 45</p> <p>A Witness sworn upon his Buttons, instead of a Bible II. 114</p> <p>Whether the Prisoner shall not have the Process of the Court to bring in his Witnesses? 505</p> <p>Whether a Witness charging a Person Criminally before the House of Commons, be not subject to Damages, they bring no Court of Record? 561</p> <p>Exception to a Witness, that he was never baptiz'd, over-ruled 598</p> <p>A Witness shall not be aspers'd without Proof 710, 821, 948</p> <p>Persons indicted and acquitted, good Witnesses against others accused of the same Crime 728</p> <p>A Jurymen who can give Evidence in the Cause, shall do it in open Court 809</p> <p>A Witness need not answer any Questions, that tend to make him accuse himself, and subject him to a Penalty II. 822, 1035. III. 540. IV. 9</p> <p>The Witnesses against the King formerly not sworn in Capital Cases II. 850</p> <p>Witnesses being allow'd a Subsistence, no Objection to their Testimony 891</p> <p>A Witness need not answer any Question that does not concern the Point in Issue II. 900. III. 42</p> <p>A Prisoner may shew, that a Witness contradicts what he swore concerning him at the Trial of another II. 900</p> <p>Persons indicted for disparaging the King's Witnesses 970</p> <p>Whether it be Criminal to offer a Witness any Reward to give his Evidence, when to testify the Truth? 1031, 1035</p> <p>A Pardon will not restore one Convict of Perjury to his Credit; but if he be upbraided with it after a Pardon, he may have an Action III. 44, 46</p> <p>Whether one disabled to be of a Jury, is not disabled to be a Witness? 45</p> <p>A general Pardon will not restore one Outlaw'd for Felony to his Credit; but Clergy restores one Convict of Felony 46</p> <p>A Witness's Credit is not impair'd by a Jury giving their Verdict contrary to it 49</p> <p>There must be two Witnesses believ'd by the Jury, to convict a Prisoner of High Treason 56</p> <p>When a Witness is in Execution for Debt, he cannot be brought out of the Rules of the Prison without a Habeas Corpus 97. IV. 2, 599</p> <p>Witnesses sworn twice in the same Trial III. 123</p> <p>A Peer may be sworn as a Witness, and give Evidence, though he is Judge in the Case. 141</p> <p>An accomplice cannot be a Witness, after he is indicted 178</p> <p>Members of either House may be Witnesses on an Impeachment; but both Peers and Commoners must be sworn 183, 189</p>
---	---

An Alphabetical TABLE.

A Prisoner shall not call Evidence to discredit his own Witnesses III. 374

Two Witnesses to one Overt-Act are not necessary in Treason 419, 722, 729, 817

Though Witnesses shall not read their Evidence, they have been sometimes allow'd to look upon their Notes, to refresh their Memories 474

A Prisoner may not cross-examine the King's Witnesses, till the Counsel for the King have done with them 690

One Witness who speaks directly to the Treason, and another who speaks but to a Circumstance, deem'd two Witnesses in Law. III. 818. IV. 246

One Witness sufficient to convict a Person of any other Treason, but that of compassing the Prince's Death III. 819

Not permitted, to charge a Witness with any Crime in particular, without producing the Record of his Conviction 845

The Court deny to bring up the Prisoner's Witnesses, who were in Execution for Debt, either by Habeas-Corpus, or Rule IV. 2

The Court refuse to examine a Witness, who came to swear he had forsworn himself; though the Counsel urged that such Evidence was usually admitted to detect a Subornation 47

Whether a Witness for the King may be indicted for Perjury on the Statute? 52, 102

The Deposition of a Person absent ought not to be admitted as Evidence, at a Trial in Criminal Cases 91

A Person outlaw'd and pardon'd, permitted to give Evidence 164

A Prisoner permitted to charge the King's Evidence with particular Crimes, without producing any Record against him 234

A Trial never put off on the Prisoner's Suggestions that his Witnesses are out of the way, without Oath made by a third Person, to satisfy the Court of the Truth of it IV. 421. V. 449

The Witnesses shall not be examined as to any other Crimes the Prisoner is guilty of, but those he stands charged with IV. 500

Witnesses shall not give Evidence of any particular Crimes to discredit a Witness; but only to his general Character 693

Where a Witness is a Prisoner, he must be brought up to the Trial by Habeas Corpus 599

The Witness need not answer a Question, where it may subject him to a Penalty 606

The Witness may not read his Evidence 607, 612

The Witness being the King's Servant, no Cause of Challenge, when the Crown prosecutes 632

One Convicted of Felony may be a Witness if pardon'd, either by the King, or by Statute: but one Attainted, and pardon'd, either by the King, or a Statute-Pardon, is not restor'd, either to Blood or Credit; unless there be special Words in the Pardon, or his Attainder be revers'd 682

Though the Witnesses are sometimes examin'd apart, at the Instance of the Prisoner; this is a Favour, and the Court may refuse it IV. 756. V. 20

One Witness sufficient to convict a Criminal, in some Species of Treason V. 89

The Judge shall come off the Bench, and give Evidence, when his Testimony may tend to convict or acquit the Prisoner 98

Whether the Divine Law, and the Law of Nations, requires two Witnesses in Capital-Cases? V. 38, 118, 124, 126

One admitted to his Clergy, but not burnt in the Hand, or pardon'd, is not restored to his Credit, so as to be a legal Witness. V. 165, 170

Persons indicted for Piracy, held legal Witnesses V. 289, 292

The Person forcibly marry'd, admitted a Witness of the Force against her Husband de facto. V. 456

A Wife de jure shall not be a Witness for, or against, her Husband V. 476

WOODBURNE, John, his Trial with Arundel Coke, Esq; on the 22^o & 23^o Car. II. commonly called The Coventry-Act, for Felony, at Suffolk-Affizes, 13 Mar. 1721. 8 George I. VI. 212

The Indictment charging him as Principal, and Coke as Accessary, in disfiguring Mr. Edward Crispe ib.

Mr. Serjeant Selby and Mr. Serjeant Branthwayte, their Speeches before Evidence 213

Mr. Crispe's Evidence of his being assaulted 214

The Surgeon's Evidence of the Nature of the Wounds 215

Evidence of Woodburne's Confession that he did the Fact ib.

Evidence of Moon and Carter, that Mr. Coke endeavour'd to persuade them to murder a Man 216

Mr. Raby's Application of the King's Evidence 217

Woodburne owns the Fact; but says he was drawn in by Coke 218

The Jury are told that Woodburne's Story is not Evidence against Coke ib.

Mr. Coke urges in his Defence, that his Intent was not to maim, but to kill 219

He desires Counsel may be assign'd him ib.

Which is refus'd, it being a Question of Fact, and not of Law ib.

Lord Chief Justice King's Directions to the Jury 220

The Prisoners convicted 223

Being call'd to Judgment, Mr. Coke insists on the same things as in his Defence ib.

The King's Counsel reply 224

The Prisoner's Exception disallow'd 228

Lord Chief Justice pronounces Sentence of Death upon them ib.

They are executed ib.

WOODWARD, Richard II. 585. See Messenger; & al.

WORDS.

Words held to amount to an Overt-Act of Treason I. 74. IV. 593, 658

Any Words or Actions discovering a treasonable Intention against the Prince's Life, held to be Overt-Acts of Treason I. 101. III. 207, 1056

Whether writing the treasonable Words another dictates, may be deem'd an Overt-Act of the Writer's treasonable Imagination? II. 350

Treasonable Words spoken in French 656

Where one is indicted for Words, whether the very Words that are charg'd to be Criminal, ought not to be inserted in the Indictment? III. 1006

An Alphabetical TABLE.

In an Action for Words, the Colloquium and Averment ought to be proved, to maintain the Action III. 1059
If from the Tenor of a Man's Discourse he discovers a treasonable Imagination, such a Discourse is an Overt-Act of Treason, sufficient for a Jury to convict him IV. 735
All Words or Writings alledg'd to be Criminal, ought to be specify'd in the Indictment, or Information; but this is not necessary in an Impeachment by Parliament V. 828
This Opinion, as to Indictments, afterwards censur'd as erroneous VI. 331
Words not such a Provocation in Law, as will reduce the Offence of killing a Man to Manslaughter 296

WRIT S.

A Distringas made returnable the Day after the Teste I. 952
Writ of Mandamus rogantes, what it is 568
A Return of a Habeas Corpus, not sign'd by the Goaler, held a void Return II. 201

Persons accus'd of Capital Crimes, discharged by the King's Writs declaring them innocent II. 750
The King may by his Writ command, that the Execution shall vary from the Judgment III. 214
A Writ to the Lieutenant of the Tower, to deliver his Prisoner to the Sheriff, to be executed pursuant to the Sentence 214, 290
A Writ of Enquiry executed in the King's Bench between his Royal Highness the Duke of York and Titus Oates III. 987
Wherein a Precept differs from a Venire. IV. 744, 745
The Distringas being Teste'd the Day after the Return of the Venire, it is held to be a Discontinuance of the Procefs V. 543, 570
Whether such a Misprison be amendable in a Criminal Case V. 552, &c.

Y.

YORK, James Duke of

III. 987

F I N I S.

