

judgements ought not to be questioned *citra mare*, in any court, unlesse it be according to the course of the lawes of the realme.

By the statute of 4 H. 4. cap. 23. it is ordained and stablished, that after judgement given in the courts of our lord the king, the parties and their heirs shall be thereof in peace, untill the judgement be undone by attain, or by error, if there be error, as hath been used by the lawes in the times of the kings progenitors.

<sup>a</sup> Also that which hath been said appeareth by our books and ancient records, as hereafter shall appeare.

<sup>b</sup> 5 H. 4. fol. 6 where the statute of 16 R. 2. cap. 5. saith, *In curia Romana vel alibi*, ecclesiasticall courts within the realme are within this word [*alibi*.]

<sup>c</sup> Mich. 11 H. 7. it was adjudged by the whole court, that a suit in the ecclesiasticall court within the realme for a temporall cause, was in case of premunire.

<sup>d</sup> A president of a premunire, for suing in the ecclesiasticall court for a debt.

<sup>e</sup> It was resolved, that he that sued in the ecclesiasticall court for the forgery of a last will and testament, incurred the danger of a premunire, because the party grieved might have his remedy by the common law. And in the same year of 17 H. 7. justice Spilman also reporteth, that one Turberville, as well as for the king, as for himselfe, did sue a premunire against a person for suing for tithes in the ecclesiasticall court, alledging the same to be severed from the nine parts, and judgement given against the defendant.

Also it appeareth that the admirals court is within this word [*alibi*] if he hold plea of any thing, which is not done *super altum mare*, but *infra corpus comitatus*.

<sup>f</sup> Richard Beuchampe esquire and Thomas Pauncefoot esquire, and others, are charged with the offence of premunire, for that they sued John Cressley esq; before Henry duke of Exeter admirall of England, for taking away a crosse of gold and other goods, supposing the same to be taken *super altum mare*, where in truth they were taken at Stratford in the county of Essex; where the statute of 16 R. 2. is recited, that none should sue *in curia Romana seu alibi*, &c. and that the conuance of this plea belonged to the common law, and not to the court of the admirall. And so it is of the constable and marshall, if they hold plea of a matter determinable by the common law.

<sup>g</sup> Isabel Winnington exhibited a bill of premunire against William Powdich upon the statute of 16 R. 2. cap. 5. for suing in the admirall court before John earle of Huntington, admirall of England, for a cause which belonged to the common law, whereunto the defendant pleaded not guilty.

And the reason of all these cases is, because they draw matters triable by the common law, *ad aliud examen*, and to be discussed *per aliam legem*.

But some have made a question, whether since the ecclesiasticall jurisdiction was acknowledged to be in the crowne, an ecclesiasticall judge holding plea of a temporall matter belonging to the common law, doth incurre the danger of a premunire. Though hereof there is no question at all, yet lest any man might be led into an error in a case so dangerous, we will clear this point by reason,  
president,

4 H. 4. ca. 23.

<sup>a</sup> 10 H. 4. 1, 2.  
18 H. 6. 6. b.<sup>b</sup> 5 E. 4. 6. b.  
44 E. 3. 36.<sup>c</sup> 11 H. 7. Premunire. Fitz.  
15 H. 7. 9. acc.  
lib. Intr. Rast.  
463.[ 121 ]  
<sup>d</sup> Rast. pl. 429.  
b. & 430.<sup>e</sup> 17 H. 7. of the  
report of justice  
Spilman.<sup>f</sup> Mic. 38. H. 5.  
coram rege.<sup>g</sup> Mic. 9 H. 7.  
coram rege.  
Rast. pl. 23.  
but this cause is  
entred. Trin.  
9 H. 7. Rot. 37.  
coram rege.

president, and authority. The reason holdeth still to draw the matter *ad aliud examen*, &c. And the like question might be made for the admirall court, which is, and ever was, the kings court, but governed *per aliam legem*: and so likewise of the court of the constable and marshall.

At a convocation holden *anno* 22 H. 8. by a publick instrument made by all the bishops and the whole clergie of England, the king was acknowledged to be supream head of the church of England. <sup>h</sup> After this, viz. 24 H. 8. it appeareth that the statute of premunire remained in force against ecclesiasticall judges, for holding of pleas meerly determinable by the common law.

In 25 H. 8. Richard Nick bishop of Norwich was attainted in a premunire at the kings suit, and his case was this. Within the towne of Thetford there then was a custome, that all ecclesiasticall causes arising within the said towne should be determined before the deane there, having a peculiar ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, and that no inhabitant of the same town should be drawn before any other ecclesiasticall judge, and that every person suing contrary to that custome, the same being presented before the maior of Thetford, should forfeit six shillings eight pence; and that an inhabitant of Thetford for an ecclesiasticall cause rising within Thetford, sued another before the bishop of Norwich within his consistory court at Norwich: and this was presented before the maior of Thetford according to the custome, whereby he forfeited six shillings eight pence. The said bishop cited the said maior for taking of the said presentment *pro salute animæ* to appear before him at his house at Hoxon in Suffolke, where the maior appeared, and there the bishop *in tenus* enjoyned him, upon pain of excommunication to adnull the said presentment before a day. And for this offence he was attainted in a premunire upon his confession before Fitz James chief justice, and the court of kings bench, upon the statute of 16 R. 2. the record whereof we have seen. By which judgement two points are cleared: first, that the statute of premunire extends to ecclesiasticall courts within the realme. Secondly, that after the king was in possession of his supremacy, the bishops incurred the danger of premunire.

The bishop of Bangor was attainted in a premunire for holding plea of an advowson, and of tithes severed from the nine parts.

Saint Germin in his book of Doctor and Student, who wrote after 26 H. 8. holdeth: that if a man maketh a promise for a temporall thing, and swear to perform it, and doth it not; if he be sued for perjury in the spirituall court, a prohibition or a premunire lyeth in that case. Also he saith; if a man be excommunicate in the spirituall court for trespassse, or such other thing, as belongs to the kings crown and his royall dignity, &c. the party, if he wil, may have a premunire fac. against him.

Brook reporteth, that Barloe bishop of Bath and Wels, in the reign of king E. 6. deprived the dean of Wels, which deanry was a donative: and thereby incurred the danger of a premunire.

By the statute of 1 Eliz. (which restoreth the ancient jurisdiction ecclesiasticall to the crown) the act of 1 & 2 Ph. and Mar. cap. 8. is repealed. But there is a speciall proviso in that act of 1 Eliz. that it should not extend to repeale any clause, matter, or sentence contained or specified in the said act of 1 & 2 Ph. and Mar. which doth

A 24 H. 8. tit. premunire, Brook 16.

Hil. 25 H. 8. coram rege, Rot. Rich. Nick Bishop of Norwich his case.

[ 122 ]

Trin. 36 H. 8. coram rege. Rot. 9. the B. of Bangors case. D. & St. lib. 2. ca. 24. fo. 106. b. Lib. 2. ca. 23.

Br. tit. Premunire. 27. Temps. E. 6.

1 Eliz. cap.



doth concerne matter of premunire, but that so much of that which concerneth any matter or cause of premunire, should stand in force and effect. And that clause of the statute of 1 and 2 Ph. and Mar. is this. That whosoever shall by any proces obtained out of any ecclesiasticall court, within the realme or without, by pretence of any spirituall jurisdiction, or otherwise, contrary to the lawes of the realme, inquiet or molest any person, &c. for any mannors, &c. parcell of the possessions of any religious house, &c. shall incurre the danger of the act of premunire, in *anno* 16 R. 2.

See the statute of 25 H. 8. which also hath reference to the said act of premunire, and is revived by 1 Eliz.

25 H. 8. c. 20.

Thomas Stoughton parson of N. in Suffolke, brought a writ of premunire against R. T. upon this statute of 27 E. 3. for suing in the court of audience of the archbishop of Canterbury, to impeach a judgement given in a *quare impedit*, before the justices of assize in the county of Suffolk, &c. the defendant pleaded not guilty, &c. And this (omitting many other things for this matter) shall suffice. And now let us peruse the body of the act.

Trin. 29 Eliz. in communi banco Rot. 747. The Stoughtons case

(1) *Trahe nulluy hors de realme.*] Of this there is no question, being against the ancient law of the realme always in use; as by this act appeareth. And this was a remedie for the first mischief.

(2) *Ou des choses dont judgement: fuer' rendus, &c.*] This branch prohibiteth all forain suits, viz. in the court of Rome, &c. for any thing whereof judgement was given in the kings court. And this was a remedie for the second mischief.

(3) *Ou que sont en autre court a defaire ou impeach. v les juggements rendue in le court le roy.*] This is a remedie for the third mischief. For having by the second branch provided against forain suits to andoe, or impeach judgements in the kings court, this branch doth (as hath been said) extend to all courts, which proceed by the rule of another law, or draw the party *ad aliud examen*, and therefore this branch doth extend to ecclesiasticall courts, to the court of the constable, and marshall, to the court of the admiralty, and to the court of equity proceeding in course of equity: for it had been to no effect to have provided against forain suits, which were troublesome, tedious, and chargeable, and to have suffered the party to have attempted and prosecuted any thing at home within this realm, to the prejudice and disherison of the king, and his crown, and all his subjects, and to the subversion of the common law. And first we will speak of the court of equity. This court cannot proceed in course of equity after judgement at the common law, for three reasons. First, for that it draweth the matter triable, and determinable by the common law, *ad aliud examen*, viz. to a triall by witnesses, which (as hath been said) is contrary to the ancient law of the realm, and against the purvien of this statute. Secondly, after judgment the parties ought to be at peace and quiet, for *judicia sunt tanquam juris dicta*, and if the party against whom judgement is given, might after judgement given against him at the common law, goe into court of equity for matter in equity, there either should be no end of suits, or every plaintiff would leave the common law, and begin in the court of equity, whither in the end he must be brought, and that should tend to the utter subversion of the common law, as it is said in the act. Thirdly, the court of equity in the proceeding in course of equity is no court of re-

[ 123 ]

37 H. 6. 14.

cord,

cord, and therefore it cannot hold plea of any thing, whereof judgement is given, which is a judiciall matter of record. And this is the ancient law at all times used, as this act speaketh. As taking some few examples for many, both before, and after this statute.

Anno 6 E. 1.  
the earl of Cornwall's case.  
Lancelton in  
Thefaur.

In the case of Edmond earl of Cornwall in *anno 6 E. 1.* it appeareth, that after judgement given before Roger Loveday and Walter Winborn justices of oier and terminer, against Walter bishop of Exeter and his tenants, the said bishop procured the bishop of Landaff in the parish churches of Cornwall and Devonshire to pronounce sentence of excommunication by the sentence of the archbishop of Canterbury (which sentence was had by the procurement of the said bishop of Exeter) against all persons of what estate, degrees or dignity soever, that dealt in the proceedings, &c. against the said bishop and his tenants before the said justices: and in this part of the record being in French, it is said *La corone, et la dignite nostre seigniour le roy ne doit per autre iuste justice ne guyne, &c. Et les choses que sont passes en sa court per judgement, ou en autre manner, ne devient estre en autri court recreeces, &c.* Out of this record we may observe three things. First, what the ancient law of this realm was, before the making of this act. Secondly, that [*en autri court*] which are the words of this act, was taken to be another court within the realm. Thirdly, that the mischief before this act, was for suits in other courts within this realm, after judgements given in the kings courts. Read the whole record, which beginneth thus. *Cornub. dominus rex mandat, &c.*

Mich. 13 E. 3.  
In communi  
banco. Rot. 40.  
Inter Johannem  
de Dingle and  
Mich. de Englis  
Bedf.

And in 13 E. 3. there was a suit in the court of Rome after judgement in the kings court, and in that record it is said, *In regi contemptum, et coronæ suæ præjudicium, ac iudicii prædicti enervatione manifestam, &c. Ac quòd iudicia in curia regis rite reddita frustra redderentur, nisi debitum sortirentur effectum.*

Flota li. 6. ca.  
36. Trin. 19 E.  
7. Rot. 50. Co-  
ram rege John  
Boltons case.

<sup>a</sup> Flota who wrote before this statute, saith, *Judicia debent rata permanere, et firma consistere, usque ad condignam satisfactionem inviolabiliter observentur.*

Mich. 19 E. 3.  
Rot. 16 & Rot.  
29. Alan de Co-  
nesburghs case.  
F. N. B. 169. f.  
20 E. 3. effoin.  
24. 21 E. 3.  
40. b.

And as a maxime of the common law in the judiciall Register, fo. 12. 35. 41, &c. it is often said, *Ea quæ in curia domini regis rite acta sunt, debitæ executioni demandari debent.*

<sup>b</sup> 4 H. 4. ca. 23.  
<sup>c</sup> Pasc. 5 E. 4.  
Coram rege inter  
Cobbe and Nore.  
<sup>d</sup> Rot. Parl.  
simile. 3 H. 5.  
nu. 44. & 3 H.  
6. nu. 22.

Now let us see what hath been done since the act. <sup>b</sup> The statute of 4 H. 4. cap. 23. hath been recited before, which is a judgement of parliament. <sup>c</sup> A judgement was obtained by covin and practice against all equity and conscience in the kings bench: for the plaintiff retained by collusion an attorney for the defendant, (without the knowledge of the defendant, then being beyond sea) the attorney confesseth the action, whereupon judgement was given; <sup>d</sup> the defendant sought his remedy in parliament, and by authority of parliament power was given to the lord chancellor by advise of two of the judges to hear, and order the case according to equity: which proveth that the chancellour could not do it of himself without higher authority.

<sup>e</sup> 22 E. 4. 37.

<sup>e</sup> No injunction after verdict at the common law is to be granted in chancery, and if the lord chancellor should grant an injunction in that case the judges said, that if the chancelor imprisoned the party



party for breach of the injunction, they would grant an *habeas corpus* and deliver him.

Amongst the articles preferred to the king by Sir Thomas Moore lord chancellor of England, and all the privy council, and by Fitz James chief justice, and justice Fitz-Herbert against cardinall Woolsey, one is in these words, [And the said lord cardinall hath examined divers and many matters in the chancery, after judgement thereof given at the common law, in subversion of your laws, and made some persons to restore again to the other party condemned that, that they had in execution by vertue of the judgement of the common law] which I have seen in parchment under all their hands, and is yet to be seen.

1 Decemb.  
21 H. 8. Art. 20.

If judgements given in the kings courts should be examined in chancery, before the kings councell, or any other place, the plaintiff or demandant should seldome come to the effect of their suit, nor the law should never have end, &c. See the Diversity of Courts ca. Chancery.

Doct. and Stud. ca. 18. the book of Diversity of Courts.

Ralph Heydon gent. was indicted of a premunire upon the statute of 27 E. 3. for procuring of Sir Nicholas Bacon lord keeper of the great seal, to grant an injunction in chancery after judgement given in an *ejectione firme* of lands in Hertfordshire. And the record saith, *Quod predictus Radus machinatus est antiquas leges, et consuetudines regni subvertere.*

Mich. 8 & 9 El. in the kings bench.

A writ of premunire upon the said statute of 27 E. 3. by Richard Beans against Richard Lloyd, for suing before the president and councell in Wales, after judgement given in the court of common pleas, in an action of debt for forty and two pound ten shillings, *in subversionem legum antiquarum, &c.*

Trin. 21 El. in communi banco Rot. 319.

Peter Dewie was indicted for procuring of Sir Thomas Bromly then lord chancelor, to grant an injunction in the chancery after a judgement given in an *ejectione firme.*

Pasch. 27 El. in the kings bench.

John Heal of the Inner Temple London esquire, was indicted of a premunire, for procuring a suit in chancery after a judgment given at the common law, contrary to the statute of 27 E. 3. And the councell of Heal took two exceptions, one, that the court of chancery was not within the statute of 27 E. 3. another, that one of the parties to the suit in chancery was named in one place by one name of baptisme, and in another part of it by another. The court resolved that the court of chancery was within the statute of 27 E. 3. but found the other exception concerning misnaming to be true. And therefore they quashed the indictment, but made a memorandum indorsed upon the back of the indictment, that it was overthrown for mistaking a name, and not for the matter.

Trin 30. El. in the kings bench. Diversity of Courts, ca. Chancery.

Thomas Throckmorton exhibited a bill in the chancery against Sir Moyl Finch after judgement given against him in the court of exchequer upon apparent matter of equity. Upon which bill the defendant demurred in law, and for that Sir Thomas Egerton then lord keeper inclined to rule over the demurrer, saying that he would not meddle with the judgement, but punish the corrupt conscience of the defendant, in relieving the plaintiff in equity: upon a petition to queen Eliz. (who ever favoured the due proceeding of her laws,) she referred the consideration of the demurrer to all the judges of England, who hearing councell learned on

Mich. 39 & 40 El. See the fourth part of the Inst. cap. Court of Chancery.

both parts, and upon view of presidents in the time of H. 8. and since of injunctions granted after judgements, and finding very few of them to warrant that which had been affirmed, and none of them to be done by the advice of any of the judges, they all after divers hearings, and conferences, and consideration had of the laws and statutes of the realm, unanimously resolved, that the lord keeper could not after judgement given relieve the party in equity, although it appeared to them, that there was apparant matter in equity. And amongst others, the judges gave this reason, that if the party against whom judgement was given, might after judgement given against him at the common law, draw the matter into the chancery, it would tend to the subversion of the common law, for that no man would sue at the common law, but originally begin in chancery, seeing at the last he might be brought thither, after he had recovered by the common law, and thereupon they all certified, that the demurrer was good, and that Sir Moyl Finch the defendant ought not to answer.

[ 125 ]

Hil. 12. Ja.  
regis coram  
rege.

An information upon this statute of 27 E. 3. against Sir Anthony Mildmay, for that he and other commissioners of sewers did impeach a judgement in the kings bench: he purchased a pardon from the king, and pleaded it.

See a privy seal bearing teste 18 Julii, anno domini 1616, to the contrary, obtained by the importunity of the then lord chancellor being vehemently afraid: *sed judicandum est legibus*, and no president can prevail against an act of parliament. And besides, the supposed presidents (which we have seen) are not authentical, being most of them in torn papers, and the rest of no credit.

44 E. 3. 7. 36.

39 E. 3. 7.

7 E. 4. 2.

27 H. 6. 5.

36 H. 6. 30.

\* 43 E. 3. 6.

42 E. 3. 7.

2 R. 3. 17.

27 H. 6. 5.

22 H. 8. 11.

Præm. Br. 1.

Tr. 39 E. 3.

Rot. 95. Coram

rege. 39 E. 3.

37. 30 E. 3. 11.

44 E. 3. 36.

Forebys case.

28 H. 4. 6.

Lib. 11. fo. 34.

b. in Alex.

Peulkers case.

(4) *Eient jour contenant le space de 2 moys per garnishment a faire a eux, &c.*] By this it appeareth that a premunire lyeth as well for the party, as for the king, and they both may join in one writ.

\* If the defendant come not at the day, &c. by the expresse letter of the law judgement shall be given against him according to this act. This suit need not be against them by originall writ, but if the defendant be *in custodia marischalli*, the suit may be against him by bill, because the end of the giving of the two months was, that they should have notice, which is satisfied, and therewith agreeth the presidents; and the defendant cannot be sued in any other court, when they are *in custodia marischalli*. See the statute of 18 El. cap. 5. but that statute extends to common informers, and not when the suit is commenced by the party grieved.

<sup>a</sup> But if the defendant appear and plead, and the issue be found against him, or if he demur in law, &c. judgement shall be given against him, that he shall be out of protection, &c. And so hath this statute been interpreted, and judgement given accordingly. Peruse well the words of this act for this point, and see the book in 8 H. 4. 6.

By the statute of 38 E. 3. cap. 2. the defendant ought to appear in person, and therefore he cannot appear by attorney without a speciall writ out of the chancery: and this act doth bind as well those that are lords of parliament as others.

*Avant le roy et son counsell.*] Here counsell cannot be taken, as most commonly it is, for his judges of his courts of justice, who are said to be of his counsell for proceedings in courts of justice, because the courts of justice are hereafter in this act named: nei-  
ther

39 E. 3. 7.

9 F. 4. 2.

15 H. 7. 9.

F. N. B. 26. m.

27 H. 6. 5.

2 R. 3. 10.



ther doth it intend the kings privy councell, but the king, and the lords of parliament in parliament, which is a court of justice.

See the first part of the Institutes, sect. 164. *Veigne les burgeses al parlement.* There is *commune concilium, magnum concilium, privatum seu continuum concilium,* and *concilium justiciariorum, le conseil des justices.*

The king is armed with divers councells.

*Ils, leur procurators, attornies, executors, notaries, et mainteynors.]* Note by this act the procurers, attornies, executors, notaries, and maintainers shall have the same punishment, that the principall shall have. Note in the statute of 2 R. 2. this word (fautors) crept in, a word (derived à *favendo*) of a large extent, as it was construed in the reign of H. 8.

The plaintiff may choose whether he will make them all principals, or the one principall, and the other accessories, but the damages shall be severally taxed.

Stanf. pl. cor.  
44. f. 44 E. 3.  
7. 36 H. 6. 30.  
42 E. 3. 7.  
8 R. 2. Prem.  
12. 8 H. 4. 6.  
pl. com. 97. b.

He that procures one to sue to the court christian, shall forfeit as much as he that sueth, and is principall as well as the other, and are in equall degree of premunire: but if they both be indicted, the one of the act, and the other of the procurement, and he that is charged with the procurement is found guilty, and the other by an other enquest is found not guilty, judgement shall never be given against him, which was indicted of the procurement, because he cannot be an offender, but in respect of the offence of the other.

[ 126 ]

*Hors de la protection le roy.]* By these words the persons attainted in a writ of premunire are disabled to have any action or remedy by the kings law, or the kings writs; for the law and the kings writs are the things whereby a man is protected and aided, so as he that is out of the kings protection, is out of the aid and protection of the law.

See Littleton  
sect. 199. and  
the 1. part of the  
Institutes the  
same sect.  
Lib. 7. fo. 14. in  
Calvins case.  
25 E. 3. ca. 2.  
See 5 El. ca. 1.

But by the statute of 25 E. 3. it is provided, that he that purchaseth provisions to abbies, or priories shall be out of the kings protection, and that a man may do with him, as with the enemies of the king and his realm, and that he, that shall commit any thing against such provisors in body or goods, or other possessions, shall be excused against all people.

*Et leur terres, biens, et chateaux forfait au roy.]* This is intended of the lands that he hath in fee-simple, or for life, which the delinquent might lawfully forfeit, and not lands in tail: for tenant in tail shall forfeit only for term of his life, for that was all he could lawfully forfeit at the making of this statute, either in case of treason or felony. And so it was resolved by the judges in the case of Trudgyn of Devonshire, who was attainted of a premunire upon the statute of 13 El. cap. 2.

34 H. 8. forfeit.  
Br. 101.  
Pasch. 21 El.  
resolution of the  
judges in Trud-  
gyns case. Dier,  
manuscript.  
Vide before.  
25 E. 3. Verb.  
Et soit assavoir.

Nota, this is a new kind of forfeiture given by this law, and is penall, and cannot by equity extend further then the records, and therefore this act extendeth not to the forfeiture of fairs, markets, rents charges, rent seck, warrens, annuities, or any other hereditament that is not within this word (*terre.*)

*Lour corps imprison, et rents al volunt le roy.]* The greatnesse of these punishments doe shew the greatnesse of the offence.

It is to be observed, that the said statute of 16 R. 2. is strictly

16 R. 2. ca. 5.

Examples of these are quoted before.

Vide just'ce Spilman. Report. Mich. 21. H. 8. Cliffs case.

[ 127 ]  
1 Mar. ca. 1.

Dier manuscrip. Hil. 1 El. le case de Christoforson Evesque de Chichester.

penned against offenders. For first it extendeth to all persons of what quality, or sex soever, the words be [if any]. 2. To all courts of what jurisdiction soever, and whether holden by right or wrong, *in curia Romana, seu alibi*, which word (*alibi*) is a word of a large extent, as before it appeareth. 3. To all things whatsoever. [Where any thing,] which words be as generall as can be. 4. Not only against the king, his crown and dignity, but against the kingdome also: against the king, his crown, and regalty, or realm. 5. This act extendeth not only to procurers, abettors, maintainers, counsellors, &c. which are known words in law, but to favourers, *fautores*, which word was largely extended in the reign of H. 8. whereby it is to be observed how dangerous it is to bring new or unusuall words into any act of parliament, especially into such as be so penned: for there it appeareth that Cliff being a parson of a church granted to the cardinall an annuity, so long as he should be legate, *ut decentius et sublimius se gereret in autoritate sua legantina*, which the cardinall had by bull, and paid to him ten marks in name of feason, and he was adjudged a *fautor*. But such evasions were found out of this and other statutes, as were made against usurpations and incroachments upon the good and ancient common law, as divers and many statutes were made from time to time to meet with such evasions, which being many, (and others which concern the offence of premunire) we will but name, and leave the reader to peruse the same at large, wherein (as we conceive it) he shall find a great light, by that which hath been said, viz. 25 E. 3. ca. 22. 25 E. 3. Statut. de provisoribus. 38 E. 3. ca. 1, 2, 3, 4. 3 R. 2. cap. 3. 7 R. 2. ca. 12. 12 R. 2. ca. 15. 13 R. 2. Stat. 2. ca. 2. 16 R. 2. cap. 5. 2 H. 4. cap. 3. & 4. 6 H. 4. cap. 1. 7 H. 4. ca. 6. & 8. 9 H. 4. ca. 8. 3 H. 5. cap. 4. 24 H. 8. ca. 12. 25 H. 8. ca. 19, 20, 21. 26 H. 8. cap. 15. 28 H. 8. cap. 10. 35 H. 8. ca. 1. Note, queen Mary repealed all offences made to be in the case of premunire since the first day of the first year of H. 8. but some of them are revived by the statute of 1 El. ca. 1. But in all queen Maries time, the statutes made concerning the offences of premunire before the reign of H. 8. were neither repealed nor altered, but (as hath been said) allowed of in queen Maries time. 1 & 2 Ph. and Mar. ca. 8. 1 El. ca. 1. 5 El. ca. 1. 13 El. cap. 1, 2. 8. 27 El. ca. 2. 21 Jac. ca. 3.

And where the statute of 25 E. 3. de provisoribus provideth, that certain offenders against that act, shall before they be delivered, make full renunciation, &c. because we desire that our student may in all things understand what he reads: it is to be known, that as well before that statute, viz. in the reigns of E. 1. and E. 2. as after, the form of renunciation was to this effect. I renounce all the words comprised in the popes bull to me made of the bishoprick of A. (or the like) the which be contrary, or prejudiciall to the king our sovereign lord, and to his crown, and of that I put myself humbly in his grace, praying to have restitution of the temporalities of my said church, &c. Whereby it may appear what the law was in that case before 25 E. 3. And albeit these laws be very severe, especially against the bulls, &c. of the pope, and foreign jurisdiction, and though queen Mary restored his supremacy in this sort as hereafter appeareth, yet would she not repeal the said



tutes of provision and premunire, but provided that they should stand in force. See the statute of 1 & 2 Ph. and Mar. whereby it is enacted, That whosoever should by any proces obtained out of any ecclesiasticall court within this realm, or without, or by pretence of any spiritual jurisdiction, \* or otherwise, contrary to the laws of this realm, inquiet, or molest any person, &c. should incur the danger of the act of premunire made in the sixteenth year of the reign of king R. 2. &c. And by another branch in the same act it is enacted, That all bulls, dispensations, and privileges not containing matter contrary, or prejudiciall to the authority, dignity or preheminance royall of the realm. or to the laws of this realm now being in force, and not in this present parliament repealed, may be put in execution. And lastly, by the same act, it is declared and enacted, That neither any thing contained in the body of the said statute, or in the preamble thereof, shall be construed, or expounded to diminish, or take away any of the liberties, priviledges, prerogatives, preheminences, authorities or jurisdictions which were in the imperiall crown of this realm, or belonged to the same before the twentieth year of H. 8. and the popes holines to have such authority, preheminance, and jurisdiction, as his holinesse used, or might lawfully have used by authority of his supremacy the said twentieth year of H. 8. within this realm of England, without diminution or enlargement of the same, and none other. Whereby it appeareth how carefull the state was in queen Maries time to preserve the prerogative of the crown, and the ancient laws of the realm, and did at that time so cautiously restore the supremacy of the pope, *secundum quid*, but not *simpliciter*, and bounded his supremacy within strait and legall limitations, as by the said act appeareth.

1 & 2 Ph. and  
Mar. ca. 8.

\* Nota.

See the statutes which inflict the punishment of premunire, viz. 2 R. 2. c. 12. 3 R. 2. ca. 3. 7 R. 2. ca. 12. 24 H. 8. ca. 12. 25 H. 8. ca. 19, 20. 1 El. cap. 1. 26 H. 8. cap. 15. 28 H. 8. ca. 16. 1 & 2 Ph. and Mar. cap. 1. 8 El. cap. 1. 5 El. ca. 1. 13 El. ca. 2. 8. 39 El. ca. 18. 27 El. ca. 2. See the fourth part of the Institutes, cap. Chancery, the articles at large against Cardinall Woolsey, artic. 7.

We have been the longer concerning cases of premunire. First, for that they be matters of great weight, and necessary to be known, and we wish that the offence may never be committed. And secondly, for that master Stanford hath in effect but named a premunire.

Stan. pl. cor. 44.  
f.

## C A P. LV.

## OF PROPHECIES.

33 H. 8. cap. 14.

1 E. 6. cap. 12.

Nota.

1 Mar. stat. unicum, Sessione prima.

5 Eliz. cap. 15.

Mitius impetranti melius paratur.

\* Nota.

The like act was made, 3 &amp; 4 E. 6. ca. 15. expired.

**P**ROPHESIES upon declaration of armes, fields, names, cognifances, or badges, were made felony without the benefit of clergy: but this act is twice repealed by generall words of all felonies made by any statute since the first year of H. 8.

In anno 5 Eliz. a more moderate statute was made against prophesies by writing, finging, or other open speech, or deed, by the occasion of any armes, fields, beasts, badges, or other like things accustomed in armes, cognifances, or signets; or by reason of any time, year, or day, name, bloodshed or warre, \* to the intent thereby to make any rebellion, insurrection, dissention, losse of life, or other disturbance within this realm, or other the queen's dominions. For the first offence, imprisonment of his body by the space of a year without baile, and forfeit to the queene and informer, ten pound. And for the second offence imprisonment during life without baile, and forfeit to the queen all his goods and chattels, reall and personall: but he must be therefore impeached or accused within six moneths next ensuing the offence by him done. A just and necessary limitation, and the rather, for that the offence may be committed by bare words. This offence is to be heard and determined before justices of assise, justices of oier and terminer, and justices of peace.

See hereafter the chapter of Newes, and the second part of the Institutes, W. 1. cap. 33. He that hath read our histories shall finde, what lamentable and fatal events have false out upon vain prophesies carried out of the inventions of wicked men, pretended to be ancient, but newly framed to deceive true men: and withall, how credulous and inclinable our countrey men in former times to them have been, we will set down the truth concerning the same.

Certaine it is, that to foretell of things to come, is a prerogative appropriated to the Holy Ghost; and that the devill cannot *predicere*, foretell of things to come, which notwithstanding, S. Austin did sometime hold that he could. But afterwards justly retracted it in these words, *Rem dixi occultissimam aulaciore assertione, quam debui, &c. certissimum est daemones non praescire.*

Now for the predictions and foretellings of the Sibyls being Gentiles, so long before the incarnation of our Saviour Christ; and more directly and particularly, of those high mysteries of the incarnation and passion of Christ, the coming of Antichrist, the subversion of Rome, and the end of the world, they are by the true prophets of Almighty God, who spake by the Holy Ghost, well discovered; that while the church was in her cradle, these predictions were invented and fathered upon the Gentiles; to the intent to make the doctrine of the said high mysteries of the gospel the more credible amongst the Gentiles. And if any such predictions had been by the said Sibyls, out of question those great lights of nature amongst the Gentiles, Plato, Aristotle, Theophrastus, or  
some

August. in lib. Retract.



some other of those great philosophers, that with great alacrity dived into the secrets of all kinds of learning, would have found them out, and made some mention of them. But besides the laid <sup>a</sup> discovery, such predictions by the Gentiles and heathen persons are <sup>b</sup> against the word of God.

Also predictions either of the time or end of the world, or that it is at hand, is not lawfull. For the first, <sup>c</sup> see the first of the Actes, It is not for us to know the times and seasons which the Father hath put in his own power, &c. For the second, see the second epistle to the Thessalonians. I beseech you brethren, &c. that you be not shaken in mind, or troubled, &c. as though the day of Christ were at hand, let no man deceive you by any means.

We have the rather said hereof thus much, for that we have heard divers men boldly and confidently upon their numerall calculation to have erred herein.

<sup>a</sup> Casaubone Exercit. 1. ad apparatus Anna-  
lium, cap. 10.  
<sup>b</sup> Ethel. c. 3.  
v. 9. Col. cap. 1.  
v. 26. Rom.  
ca. 16. v. 25.

[ 129 ]

<sup>c</sup> Actes ca. 1. v. 7.  
Mat. 24. 36.  
Mark 13. 32.  
<sup>2</sup> Thess. c. 2.  
v. 1, 2.

C A P. LVI.

O F A P P R O V E R.

**A** P P R O V E R, or approver, in Latin *probator*, is a person indicted of treason or felony in prison for the same, and not disabled to accuse: he may <sup>a</sup> upon his arraignment, before any plea pleaded and before competent judges <sup>b</sup> confesse the indictment, and take a corporall oath to reveale all treasons and felonies, that he knows, and pray a coroner, before whom he is to enter his appeale or accusation against all those that are *participes criminis*, or of his society in committing of treason or felony contained in the indictment, those partners being within the realme: and if upon his appeale <sup>c</sup> all those partners be convicted, the king *ex merito justitie*, is to pardon him. But it is in the discretion of the court, either to suffer him to be an approver, or after his approvement to respite judgement and execution, untill he hath convicted all his partners.

*A prover.*] <sup>d</sup> He is by Bracton called *probator*, by Britton, *provor*, by the Mirror *provor* and *approver*: and his name putteth him in minde of his duty, viz, to prove and approve his accusation or appeale in every point, for <sup>e</sup> any fayler of truth disableth him *in omnibus*. And as he must affirme the truth, and the whole truth, before the coroner in his appeale: so in the rehearfall of the appeale before the justices, it must agree with the appeale, 26 Ass. p. 19. and Bracton *ubi supra*. <sup>f</sup> In one record I finde him called *appellator*.

*Person.*] This extendeth not to a peer or a lord of parliament, for it is against Magna Carta, cap. 29. for him to pray a coroner.

<sup>g</sup> A man attainted of treason or felony cannot become an approver, because (as the book saith) he is *hors de la ley*. Also though he be indicted, yet if he be out of prison, he cannot approve.

Parl. 28 E. 1. ca.  
Nota, for con-  
fronting.  
<sup>a</sup> 9 H. 5. cor.  
442. 21 E. 3. 18.  
19 H. 6. 47.  
<sup>2</sup> H. 7. 7.  
12 E. 4. 10.  
<sup>3</sup> H. 6. 50, 51.  
<sup>b</sup> 1 H. 5. cor.  
441. 3 H. 6. 50,  
51 in bank be  
roy. Pasch. 2.  
H. 4. cor. m  
rige pl. 6.  
<sup>c</sup> 21 H. 6. 29.  
b. & 34. b.  
<sup>d</sup> Bract. lib. 3.  
fo. 122. b. &  
152, &c.  
Britton. fo. 7.  
11. 17. 48.  
Mir. cap. 1. §  
13. cap. 3. *exec*  
*al provors*,  
cap. 5.  
<sup>e</sup> 25 E. 3. 42.  
21 H. 6. 34.  
22. E. 3. cor.  
460. 26. Ass.  
p. 19.  
<sup>f</sup> Pasch. 2 H. 4.  
coram rege. §.  
8 11 Ass. pl. 17.  
21 E. 3. 18.

19 E. 2. cor. 387. 19 E. 3. ibid. 443. 17 E. 3. 13.

<sup>h</sup> Mir. ca. 1. §  
13. Stanf. pl.  
col. 140. d.

<sup>i</sup> 40 Aff. 39.  
15 E. 3. cor. 113.  
11 H. 7. 5.

<sup>k</sup> 25 E. 3. 39.

<sup>l</sup> 8 H. 5. cor.  
442.

<sup>m</sup> 19 H. 6. 4.  
12 E. 4. 10.  
6 H. 6. cor. 131.  
19 E. 2. cor.  
387.

\* [ 130 ]  
<sup>n</sup> 6 H. 6. ubi sup.  
21 E. 3. 10. 18.  
V. 3 H. 6.  
51, 52.  
<sup>o</sup> Bract. ubi sup.  
9 H. 4. 1.  
2 H. 4. 10.  
44 E. 3. 44.  
Lib. 10. 10.  
76. b.  
12 E. 4. 10.  
21 H. 6. 34, 35.

40 Aff. 39.  
10 E. 4. 14.

1 E. 3. 17.  
1 Aff. p. 2.

26 Aff. 19.  
8 H. 5. cor. 459.  
21 H. 6. 34.  
12 E. 4. 10.

Mich. 39 E. 3.  
coram rege Rot.  
97. Sufi.

7 E. 3. 7.  
11 H. 4. 91. b.  
Of battell see  
more here, cap.  
Single combat,  
and the second  
part of the Initi-  
tores, Westm. 1.  
cap. 40.

\* 47 E. 3. 5.

<sup>h</sup> The Mirror saith, that women, infants, idiots, lepers, or professors in order of religion, or clerks, or persons attainted of felony, or *non compos mentis*, cannot be approvers: and Stanford added men above the age of 70, or maymed: because some of them cannot take an oath, and none of them can wage battell.

*Indicted.*] <sup>l</sup> For in any appeale either by writ or bill the defendant shall not become an approver: and before indictment, no person can approve, because if his approvement be false, no judgement (whatsoever he confessed) can be given against him, unlesse he be indicted, <sup>k</sup> and no judgement can be given against him if his appeale be false, but of the offence contained in the indictment, and so are the books to be understood.

<sup>l</sup> If one be indicted and approve, if after an appeale be sued against him, the approvement ceaseth.

*Of treason or felony.*] And that is only of that treason or felony that is contained in the indictment, as hath bin said. <sup>m</sup> See Tim. 3 H. 4. Rot. 10. coram rege Hertford. *Probator in duello devictus appellat, de alta peditioe, pro quo devictus suspenditur, decapitatur, et quæstoria sua devictur, et simile ibid. Anglia.*

*In prison.*] <sup>n</sup> Albeit he be indicted, yet if he be at large, and not in prison, he cannot approve as before is said.

*Comptent judge.*] <sup>o</sup> As justices of the kings bench, justices of oier and terminer, and of gaole delivery, but not justices of peace, because they have no authority by their commission to assigne a coroner. And by the same reason the lord high steward of England cannot assigne a coroner in case of treason or felony.

*Corpsvall oath.*] Though the oath be generall of all treasons and felonies, yet in course of law no approvement can be, but of the offence contained in the indictment as hath been said. And this oath and the accusation of himself make his appeale or accusation of another of the same crime, to amount in law to an indictment.

*Particeps criminis.*] For it cannot be of another treason or felony then is contained in the indictment.

*Within the realme.*] For if it be out of the realme, it wanteth triall, and therefore the accusation or appeale not to be allowed.

*Ex merito justitie.*] And the reason is, for that he riddeth the countrey of wicked and hurtfull misdooers: whereby the kings peace is kept, and the subject enjoyeth his own quiet. And therefore the king doth in the meane time give him wages.

A man became an approver and appealed five, and every of them joyned battell with him. *Et duellum percussum fuit cum omnibus, et probator devicit omnes quinque in duello, quoru quatuor suspendebantur, et quintus clamabat esse clericum, et allocatur; et probator pendebatur:* so as the approver did and ought to fight in that case with all the appellees. But if there be two or more approvers against one man of one felony, and he joyne battell with them all, and vanquish the first, he is acquitted against the other. Concerning the proces upon an approvement and other incidents, you may reade in Mr. justice Stanford, which need not here to be rehearsed.

\* If the appellee joyne battell, or plead not guilty, and after  
the



the king pardoneth the approver, the appellee shall be discharged, and shall not be arraigned at the suit of the king.

*Convicted.*] The appellee may choose either to wage battell with the approver, or to put himself upon the countrey; and if the appellee be found guilty by verdict, it serveth as well for the approver, as if he had been overcome by battell. And therefore the book in 19 H. 6. 35. is misprinted, or misreported: and the note of Fitzh. in abridging the case, tit. Coron. pl. 6. in the end, is against law. *Vid.* Rot. Parl. 17 E. 3. nu. 36.

Stanf. pl. cor. 142.

19 H. 6. 35. a. Rot. Parl. 17 E. 3. nu. 36.

C A P. LVII.

[ 131 ]

O F A P P E A L S.

OF appeals we have spoken in the first and second parts of the Institutes, and you may reade thereof in my reports, lib. 4. fo. 40, 41, 42, &c. lib. 5. fo. 105. 111. lib. 6. fo. 44. 80. lib. 7. fo. 13. 30. lib. 9. fo. 13. 119. Whereunto we will adde a case which was adjudged in an appeal, where the case, as touching the point of the appeal, was thus. Thomas Burghe, brother and heire of Henry Burghe brought an appeale of murder against Thomas Holcroft, of the death of the said Henry: the defendant pleaded, that before the coroner he was indicted of manslaughter, and before commissioners of oier and terminer, he was upon that indictment arraigned, and confessed the indictment, and prayed his clergie, and thereupon was entred *curia advisare vult*, and concluded, and demanded judgement, if that appeal the plaintife against him ought to maintain: whereupon the plaintife demurred in law. And in this case three points were adjudged by sir Christopher Wray, sir Thomas Gawdie and the whole court.

First part of the Institutes. § 189, 500, 501. Second part of the Institutes, in Mag. Cart. ca. 34. W. 1. ca. 14. fo. 460. Cust. de Norm. cap. 68. b Pasch. 20 Eliz. in the k. bench. Tho. Holcrofts case, and after, viz. Mich. 33 & 34 Eliz. between Kath. Wrote, late the wife of Rob. Wrote, pl. in an appeale against Tho. Wiggs def. coram rege, for the death of her husband, resolved againe accordingly.

First, that the matter of the barre had been a good barre of the appeale by the common law, as well as if the clergie had been allowed: for that the defendant upon his confession of the indictment had prayed his clergie, which the court ought to have granted, and the deferring of the court to be advised, ought not to prejudice the party defendant, albeit the appeale was commenced before the allowance of it.

The second point adjudged was, that this case was out of the statute of 3 H. 7. for that the words of that act are.

If it fortune that the same felons and murderers, and accessories so arraigned, or any of them to be acquitted, or the principall of the said felony, or any of them to be attainted, the wife or next heire of him so slaine, &c. may have their appeal of the same death and murder against the persons so acquitted, or against the said principals so attainted, if they be alive, and that the benefit of his clergie thereof before be not had.

3 H. 7. cap. 1.

And

And in this case the defendant Holcroft, was neither acquitted nor attainted, but convicted by confession, and the benefit of clergy prayed, as is aforesaid. So as the statute being penall concerning the life of man, and made in restraint of the common law, was not to be taken by equity, but is *casus omissus*, and left to the common law.

As to the third it was objected, that every plea ought to have an apt conclusion, and that the conclusion in this case ought to have beene, *Et petit iudicium si prædict. Thomas Holcroft iterum de eadem morte, de qua semel convictus fuit, respondere compelli debeat.* But it was adjudged that either of both conclusions was sufficient in law: and therefore that exception was disallowed by the rule of the court.

*Nota*, the ancient law was, that when a man had judgement to be hanged in an appeal of death, that the wife, and all the blood of the party slaine should draw the defendant to execution, and Gascoigne saide, *Iffint fuit in diebus nostris.*

*Richardus de Crek appellat quinque pro feloniam, et offert disratiocinare per corpus suum contra quemlibet eorum separatim. Ipsi petunt se allocari, quod ubi appellans dicit in appello suo, quod in se frugerunt ostium Bracini, et non specificat ex parte domus illius prædictum ostium scitum fuit, et petunt iudicium. Et Joh. W'ant'n unus defendent' defendit feloniam, et tetum, et paratus est defendere per corpus suum sicut curia consideraverit. Ricus dicit quòd non potest punire contra prædictum Johannem eo quòd in se mahematus est in humero suo dextro. Et prædictus Johannes petit iudicium desicut prædictus Ricus appellando ipsum optulit disratiocinare prædictum Robertum versus ipsum tanquam felonem prout cur' consider' per corpus suum, et nullam fecit mentionem de aliquo mahemio, unde petit iudicium de appello isto. Et ideo considerat' est tam ad calumpniam prædicti Henr. et aliorum, quàm prædicti Johannis, quòd appellum ejus nullum. Set pro rege inquiratur rei veritas, &c.*

\* There lay an appeal of high treason by the common law either in parliament before the statute of 1 H. 4. ca. 14. or in such of the kings courts as have jurisdiction thereof triable by battail or verdict: and this appeareth by all our ancient authors, and divers records, and see in Bracton, fo. 119. a. What pleas the defendant in the appeal of treason may have, to disable the plaintiff to maintain his appeal, see Fleta ubi supra, and Britton ubi supra.

8 & 29. Fleta lib. 1. ca. 21. The Mirror cap. 2. § 11. Pat. 25 E. 3. part. 1. m. 4. coram rege Rot. 22. &c. 8 H. 6. ca. 10. F. N. B. 115. Lib. Intrat Rast

11 H. 4. 11.  
Pl. com. 306. b.

Trin. 10 E. 1.  
in Banco, Rot.  
30. Norff.

[ 132 ]

*Nota* how the conclusion of the appeal of felony ought to be when the plaintiff is mayhemed and cannot make tryall by battail.

\* See before c. 1. high treason, fo. 6. 1 H. 4. ca. 14. Glanv. li. 14. c. 1. Bracton, lib. 3. fo. 118, 119. Britton cap. 16 Mich. 4 H. fol. 123.



## C A P. LVIII.

## OF TREASURE TROVE.

*Thesaurus inventus.*

**T**REASURE trove is when any gold or silver, in coin, plate, or bullyon hath been of ancient time hidden, wherefoever it be found, whereof no person can prove any property, it doth belong to the king, or to some lord or other by the kings grant, or prescription.

The reason wherefore it belongeth to the king, is a rule of the common law; that such goods whereof no person can claim property belong to the king, as wrecks, strays, &c. *Quod non capit Christus, capit fiscus.* It is anciently called \* *fynderinga*, of finding the treasure. And now let us peruse this description.

*Gold or silver.*] For if it be of any other metall, it is no treasure; and if it be no treasure, it belongs not to the king, for it must be treasure trove.

It is to be observed, that veyns of gold and silver in the grounds of subjects belong to the king by his prerogative, for they are royall mines, but not of any other metall whatsoever in subjects grounds.

*Wherefoever.*] <sup>a</sup> Whether it be of ancient time hidden in the ground, or in the roof, or walls, or other part of a castle, <sup>b</sup> house, building, ruines, or elsewhere, so as the owner cannot be known.

*Whereof no person can prove any property.*] For it is a certain rule, <sup>c</sup> *Quod thesaurus non competit regi, nisi quando nemo scit qui abscondit thesaurum.*

*Of ancient time hidden.*] <sup>d</sup> *Est autem thesaurus vetus depositio pecuniæ, &c. cujus non extat modo memoria, adeo ut jam dominum non habeat.*

*Belong to the king.*] <sup>e</sup> Where of ancient time it belonged to the finder, \* as by the said ancient authors it appeareth. And yet I find that before the conquest, *Thesauri de terra domini regis sunt, nisi in ecclesia, v. l. cæmeterio inveniuntur; et licet ibi inveniatur aurum, regis est, et medietas argenti est medietas ecclesiæ, ubi inventum fuerit, quæcunque ipsa fuerit, vel dives, vel pauper.*

*By the kings grant or prescription.*] 21 H. 6. tit. Prescription. 4. 22 E. 3. cor. 241. 1 H. 7. 33. 9 H. 7. 20. 46 E. 3. 16. Stanf. pl. cor. 39. b. lib. 5. fo. 109. b.

*The punishment of him that concealeth, &c. it.*] It appeareth by Glanvill, and Bracton also, that *occultatio thesauri inventi fraudulosa* was such an offence, as was punished by death. But it hath been resolved, that the punishment for concealment of treasure trove, is by fine and imprisonment, and not \* of life and member.

authors agree thereunto. <sup>c</sup> Glanv. li. 1. c. 1. li. 14. ca. 2. 8 E. 2. Cor. 436. 22 Glanvil, ubi sup. Bracton and the other authors ubi supra. \* 22 Ass. p. 99.

Custum. de Nor. ca. 18.

\* Inter leges H. 1. ca. 11.

Pl. Com. in case de Mines per totum.

Vid. Bract. ff.

2. fo. 222. Audi fodina, et argenti fodina.

Fleta, lib. 4. c. 1.

19. Rot. Parl. 3

R. 2. nu. 42.

27 Ass. p. 19.

<sup>a</sup> Bract. l. 1. fo.

10. li. 3. 120.

Britton, fo. 3. b.

7. b. 26. b. 71. b.

Mir. ca. 1. § 3.

& § 13. ca. 3.

§. isto. Glanv. l.

1. ca. 1. li. 14.

ca. 2.

\* [ 133 ]

<sup>b</sup> In bundell in-

quisit. 32 E. 3.

in Abbathia

Sanctæ Mariæ

Eborum. Bract.

ubi supra. Non

refert in quo loco

hujusmodi the-

saurus invenia-

tur.

<sup>c</sup> 22 H. 6. Cor.

446.

<sup>d</sup> Bract. ubi su-

pra, and the

other ancient

E. 3. ibid. 241.

The ancient authors ubi supra, agree hereunto.

*To whom the charge thereof belongeth.*] It belongeth to the coroner, as appeareth by the statute *de officio coronatoris*, anno 4 E. 1.

## C A P. LIX.

## O F W R E C K .

SEE the second part of the Institutes W. 1. cap. 2. and the exposition upon the same.

## C A P. LX.

## O f F a l s e T o k e n s , o r L e t t e r s i n o t h e r M e n s N a m e s .

33 H. 8. ca. 1.

**I**F any person falsely and deceitfully obtain into his hands any moneys, goods, chattels, jewels, or other things of any person or persons, by colour or means of any false or privy tokens, or counterfeit letters made in any other mans name, &c. he shall suffer such correction by punishment of his body, setting upon the pillory, or other corporall pain (except pains of death) as shall be to him adjudged by the person and persons before whom he shall be convicted, with a saving to the party grieved by such deceit, such remedy by way of action, or otherwise, as he might have had by the common law.

Here it is to observed, that upon this statute, for this offence the offender cannot be fined, but corporall pain only inflicted.

[ 134 ]

## C A P. LXI.

## O F T H E F T B O T E .

Stat. Wall. anno 12 E. 1. Vet. Mag. Cart. pt. 2. fo. 6.

**T**H E F T B O T E (described by act of parliament) *est emenda furti capta sine consideratione curiæ domini regis*: and so much the word signifieth, *bote* being taken for amends: *theftbote*, that is, amends for theft.

See Rot. clauf. an. 1 E. 1. m. 7. 42 Ass. p. 5.

This offence is more then misprision of felony, for that is not a concealment of his bare knowledge only: but theftbote is when the owner



owner not only knowes of the felony, but taketh of the thief his goods again, or amends for the same to favour or maintain him, that is, not to prosecute him, to the intent he may escape: but in that case, if he receive the thief himself, and aid and maintain him in his felony, then is he accessory to the felony. And so note a diversity, *quando proprietarius recipit latrocinium, et quando latronem*, But if a man take his goods again that were stollen, it is no offence, unlesse he favour the thief, as is aforesaid.

The punishment of theftbote is ransome and imprisonment: and seeing the punishment of theftbote, which is greater then concealment of felony, is but ransome and imprisonment, it standeth with reason, that the punishment of \* misprision of felony should be but fine and imprisonment. Theftbote is sometimes taken *pro ipso latrocinio*, for the thing itself stollen from you.

You shall read in ancient authors of redoubbors, addoubors, derived of the French word *addoubeur*, they are in law patchers, botchers, or menders of apparell, that take \* theftbote of cloth (and change it into another fashion) and are dwelling out of burghs and cities; because in those days burghs and cities were so well governed, as such offenders were soon discovered: for they were not then commended, for that they were populous, but for that the governors were provident in preventing of offences.

## C A P. LXII.

## OF INDICTMENTS.

**C**ONCERNING Indictments we have spoken somewhat in the first part of the Institutes. Sect. 194. 208. And you may read in my Reports many resolutions concerning indictments, viz. lib. 4. fo. 40, 41, 42. &c. lib. 5. fo. 120, 121, 122, 123. li. 7. fo. 5, 6. 10. li. 8. fo. 57. 36, 37. li. 9. fo. 62, 63. 116. 118.

We will add one point adjudged in the case between Burgh and Holcroft before mentioned in the chapter of Appeals, which was, that where it is provided by the statute *de Artic super Cartas*, cap. 3. *En case de mort del home (deins le verge) ou office del coroner appent as vieus, et enquests de ceo faire, soit maunde al coroner del pais que emsembliment ove le coroner del hostel le roy face loffice que appent, &c.* And in that case one man was coroner both of the kings house, and of the county, and the indictment of manslaughter was taken before him as coroner both of the kings house, and of the county. And it was adjudged that the indictment was good, because the mischief expressed in the statute was remedied, as well when both offices was in one person, as when they were in divers: and therefore in this case the rule did hold, *Quando duo jura concurrunt in una persona, æquum est, ac si esset in diversis.*

Richard Weston, yeoman, late servant of Sir Gervase Elwys, lieutenant of the Tower, and under the lieutenant, keeper of Sir Thomas Overbury then prisoner in the Tower, was indicted: for that he the said Richard the 9 day of May an. 11. *Ja. regis*, in the

Mir. ca. 2. § 12.  
3 E. 3. Cor. 353.  
Stanf. pl. coron.  
40. b.  
42 Aff. ubi supra.

3 E. 3. Cor. 353.

\* See before in the chapter of Misprision of Treason, ca. 3. Mir. ca. 1. §. 17. Britton, fo. 33.

\* That is, stoln cloth.

See the 1 pt. of the Institutes, sect. 194, 195.

Holcrofts case. Artic. super Cart. ca. 10. The same was again resolved in Wrots case, ubi supra.

[ 135 ]

Sir Tho. Overburys case. Mich. 13 Jac. See before, ca. 7. Of murder more of this case.

the Tower of London, gave to the said Sir Tho. Overbury poyson called roseacre in broth, which he the said Sir Thomas received. *Et ut idē Rich. Weston præfatum Tho. Overbury magis celeriter interficeret et murdraret, 1 Junii anno 11 Ja. regis supradicti.* gave to him another poyson called white arsenick, &c. and that 10 Julii an. 11. *suprad.* gave to him a poyson called mercury sublimat' in tarts, *ut prædict' Tho. Overbury magis celeriter interficeret et murdraret:* and that a person unknown in the presence of the said Richard Weston, and by his commandment and procurement, the 14 of Septemb. *anno 11. supradicti.* gave to the said Sir Thomas a glyster mixt with poyson called mercury sublimat, *ut prædictum Thomam magis celeriter interficeret et murdraret. Et prædictus Thomas Overbury de severalibus venenis prædictis et operationibus inde, à prædictis severalibus temporibus, &c. graviter languebat usque ad 15 diem Septemb. anno 11. supradicto, quo die dictus Thomas de prædictis severalibus venenis obiit venenatus, &c.* And albeit it did not appear of which of the said poysons he died, yet it was resolved by all the judges of the kings bench, that the indictment was good; for the substance of the indictment was, whether he was poysoned or no. And upon the evidence it appeared, that Weston within the time aforesaid had given unto Sir Thomas Overbury divers other poysons, as namely the powder of diamonds, cantharides, lapis causticus, and powder of spiders, and aqua fortis in a glyster. And it was resolved by all the said judges, that albeit these said poysons were not contained in the indictment, yet the evidence of giving them was sufficient to maintain the indictment: for the substance of the indictment was (as before is said) whether he were poysoned or no. But when the cause of the murder is laid in the indictment to be by poyson, no evidence can be given of another cause, as by weapon, burning, drowning, or other cause, because they be distinct and several causes: but if the murder be laid by one kind of weapon, as by a sword, either dagger, stiletto, or other like weapon is sufficient evidence, because they be all under one classis or cause. And afterwards, Ann Turner, Sir Gervase Helwys, and Richard Franklyn a phylitian, (purveyor of the poysons) were indicted as accessories before the fact done: And it was resolved by all the said judges, that either the proofs of the poysons contained in the indictment, or of any other poyson were sufficient to prove them accessories: for the substance of the indictment of them as accessories was, whether they did procure Weston to poyson Sir Thomas Overbury: and because that not only Ann Turner, and Richard Franklyn, but some of the degree of nobility were indicted as accessories in another county, viz. in the county of Midd. divers notable points were resolved upon the statute of 2 E. 6. First, if the accessory be in the county of Midd. where the kings bench is, and the principall did the felony, &c. in another county, that the court of the kings bench is within the words of that act, viz. (and that the justices of gaol-delivery, or oier and terminer, or two of them, &c.) for the causes and reasons given in the lord Zanchers case, lib. 9. fo. 117, 118. &c. Secondly, if the indictment be taken in the kings bench, then the justices shall not write in their own names, *quia placita sunt coram rege.* Thirdly, divers presidents were shewed where the accessory was in the county of Midd. where the kings bench sat, and the principall was attainted in another county, that the

Vid. li. 9. fo. 67.  
Mackallies case  
acc.

2 E. 6. cap. 24.



the justices of the kings bench have removed the record of the attainder of the principall before them by *certiorari*, and so it was done in the lord Zanchers case, *ubi supra*. The like president was shewed in a case where the principall was attainted in the county of Oxon, and the accessory was in Midd. and the kings bench sitting there, the justices of the same court removed the attainder before them by *certiorari*. Fourthly, it was resolved, that the lord steward of England, who is a judge in case of high treason, or felony committed by any of the peers of the realm, is within these words, justices of gaol-delivery, or oier and terminer, because he is a justice of oier and terminer, for his authority is by commission, and the words of his commission be after divers recitals, *Et superinde, audiend', examinand', et respondere compellend', et sine debit' terminand'*: so as he hath power to heare and determine. And where the words be [or any two of them] that is to be intended, where there be two or more justices, and yet where there is but one, it extendeth to him. As the statute of Merton, cap. 3. power being given to the slieriffe in case of redisseisin, the words be, *assumptis tecum coronatoribus placitorum coronæ, &c.* in the plurall number. And yet where there is but one coroner in the county the statute extends thereunto, and the slieriffe shall take that one. Also the words of the statute are further, That then the justices of gaol-delivery or of oier and terminer, or other there authorized: within which words, [or other there authorized] the lord steward is included. Fifthly, if the record of the attainder were by writ of *certiorari* removed out of London into the kings bench, then there arose another doubt upon the said statute, if afterward any proceeding should be had against any peer, for that the words of the statute be, The justices, &c. shall write to the custos rotulorum or keeper of the record where such principall shall hereafter be attainted; and the attainder in this case was in London, and the kings bench was in Middlesex: so as if the record should be removed into the kings bench in Middlesex, the record should not be where the attainder was had; and consequently, the lord steward could not write to the kings bench. And therefore to prevent all questions, it was resolved, that in this case of the lord steward, no *certiorari* should be granted, but a speciall writ should be directed according to the words of the said act to the commissioners of oier and terminer in London, to certifie whether the principall was convict or acquitted: and they made a particular certificate accordingly, so as the record of the attainder of the principall, did notwithstanding that certificat, remain with the commissioners of oier and terminer in London: so as if any further proceeding should be had, the lord steward might write to them, as after he did in the case of R. earl of S. and F. his wife.

And it is to be observed, that the ancient wall of London (a mention whereof doth yet remain) extended through the Tower of London; and all that which is on the west part of the wall, is within the city of London, viz. in the parish of All Saints Barking, in the ward of the Tower of London: and all that is on the east part of the wall is in the county of Middlesex; and the chamber of Sir Thomas Overbury was within the Tower on the west part of the said wall, and therefore Weston was tried within the city of London.

And

[ 136 ]

39 H. 6. 42.  
23 Alf. p. 7.

<sup>a</sup> Mag. Cart. ca. 29. 5 E. 3. ca. 9. 25 E. 3. c. 4. stat. 5. 28 E. 3. ca. 3. 37 E. 3. cap. 18. 38 E. 3. cap. 9. 42 E. 3. cap. 3. <sup>b</sup> Rot. clauf. 18 H. 3. m. Rot. Parl. 15 E. 3. nu. 9, 10. & 15. 42 E. 3. nu. 29. Sir John A Lees case. 17 R. 2. nu. 37. 2 H. 4. nu. 60.

<sup>c</sup> 7 E. 3. fo. 26. 50. Vide 6 E. 3. fo. 33. & 8 E. 3. 30. 26 E. 3. 74. tit. Rescous 21. 43 E. 3. 32. per Knivet. 2 E. 3. fo. 7. John de Britains case, 3 E. 3. 19. 45 E. 3. Decies tantum 12. <sup>d</sup> 5 E. 2. Quar. Imp. 167. 33 E. 3. Bre. 916. <sup>e</sup> 17 E. 3. 50. 74 F. N. B. 48. f. 13 E. 3. Jurisd. 21. <sup>f</sup> 42 E. 3. 26. F. N. B. 107. D. <sup>g</sup> 19 H. 6. 47. 34 H. 6. 3. &c. <sup>h</sup> 39 H. 6. 26. 1 H. 4. 1. 15 E. 3. Corody 4. <sup>i</sup> Regist. fo. 165. a. F. N. B. fo. 7. b. 21 H. 3. Bre. 882. Britton fo. 28. b. cap. 18. <sup>k</sup> 16 E. 3. Bre. 651.

And where it is often said in many <sup>a</sup> acts of parliament, <sup>b</sup> records, and <sup>c</sup> book cases, that the king cannot put any man to answer, but he must be apprised by indictment, presentment, or other matter of record. True it is, in pleas of the crown or other common offences, nuisances, &c. principally concerning others, or the publick, there the king by law must be apprised by indictment, presentment, or other matter of record: but the king may have an action for such wrong as is done to himsele, and whereof none other can have any action but the king, without being apprised by indictment, presentment, or other matter of record, as a <sup>d</sup> *quare impedit*, <sup>e</sup> *quare incumbavit*, a writ of <sup>f</sup> attain, <sup>g</sup> of debt, <sup>h</sup> detinue of ward, <sup>i</sup> escheat, <sup>k</sup> *scire fac. pur repealer patent*, &c.

[ 137 ]

C A P. LXIII.

## Of Councill learned in Pleas of the Crowne.

See before cap. 2. Petit Treason. fo. 29. 34. 9 E. 4. 22. Stanf. pl. cor. 151. b. otherwise it is in an appeale which is the suit of the party.

1 H. 7. 22.

**W**HERE any person is indicted of treason or felony, and pleadeth to the treason or felony, not guilty, which goeth to the fact best known to the party; it is holden that the party in that case shall have no councill to give in evidence, or alleage any matter for him: but for as much as *ex facto jus oritur* it is necessary to be explained, what matters upon his arraignment, or after not guilty pleaded, he may alleage for his defence, and pray councill learned to utter the same in forme of law.

1. And first upon the arraignment what advantage he may take in case of high treason by the common law. If it be for compassing the death of the king, he may alleage, that in the indictment there is no such overt or open act set down in particular, as is sufficient in law or the like. For it is to be observed, that in no case the party arraigned of treason or felony, can pray councill learned generally, but must shew some cause.
2. Secondly, in case of high treason by force of any statute, he may alleage, that the indictment being grounded upon a statute, the statute is either mistaken or not pursued.
3. Thirdly, of what matters he may take advantage equally concerning them both. He may alleage, that there was not at the time of the indictment of high treason, two lawfull accusers, that is, two lawfull witnesses.
4. Fourthly, of what matters he may generally take advantage in all cases of treason and felony. He may alleage, that the offence is not certainly alleaged in respect of the matter, time, and place, or that he



he is not rightly named, or have not a right addition, or that the offences were done before the last generall pardon.

Fifthly, after he hath pleaded not guilty, what advantage he may take upon the evidence: he may alleage, that he ought to have two lawfull witnesses in case of high treason to prove the fact against him. 5.

Sixthly, he may take advantage in arrest of judgement, if the verdict be found against him, that the triall came not out of the right place: as it fell out in Arundels case, convicted by a jury of wilfull murder; he informed the court that the jury that tried him came out of a wrong place, and thereupon he had counsell learned assigned him; who indeed found, that the *venire facias* was mis-awarded, and the court thereof by the counsell being informed, judgement was stayer. And that the prisoner may alleage these or the like matters, it is evident, because for every matter in law rising upon the fact, the prisoner shall have counsell learned assigned him. Also it is lawfull for any man that is in court, to informe the court of any of these matters, lest the court should erre, and the prisoner unjustly for his life proceeded with. And the reason wherefore regularly in case of treason and felony, when the party pleads not guilty, he was to have no counsell, was for two causes. First, for that in case of life, the evidence to convince him should be so manifest, as it could not be contradicted. Secondly, the court ought to see, that the indictment, triall, and other proceedings be good and sufficient in law; otherwise they should by their erroneous judgement attaint the prisoner unjustly. 6.

Lib. 6. fo 14.  
Arundels case.

9 E 4. 22.

Stanf. ubi sup.  
7 H. 4. 37, &c.  
See before fo. 19.

Robert Chirford counselled the prior of the priory of Bingham in Norfolke, that John of Leicester the kings serjeant at armes, coming to the priory with the kings writ of privie seale, should not be admitted to the priory: for which counsell he was indicted in the kings bench, and depending the proces upon the indictment, the king doth pardon him: and in the pardon is contained a *supersedeas* to the justices, commanding them to proceed no further.

Rot. clauf.  
14 E. 2. 17.  
27 Octob.

C A P. LXIV.

[ 138 ]

Of Principall and Accessory.

ALBEIT justice Stanford hath well collected the books concerning principall and accessory, yet *diversa desiderantur*: and necessary it is, that some things touching the same should be added, which are very necessary to be knowne.

It is a sure rule in law, that *in alta proditione nullus potest esse accessorius, sed principalis solummodo*. This rule being well understood, will open the reason of divers cases, which yet are involved in darknesse.

High treason is either by the common law, or by act of parliament: we will set downe examples (which ever do illustrate) of both.

I<sup>H</sup>. INST.

M

A. doth

Mich. 12 & 13  
Eliz 296. Dier,  
Conyers case.

A. doth counterfeit the kings coine, viz. shillings, and C. knowing the same doth receive A. and comfort and aide him: this counterfeiting is high treason by the common law in A, as hath been said: and yet it hath beene holden that in this case C. hath not committed treason: for say they, in case of felony, a receiver of a felon after the felony done, knowing him to be a felon, is no principall, but an accessory: and for that there is no accessory in treason, therefore C in the case before committeth no treason; for then in judgement of law he must be a counterfeiter of the kings coine within our statute of 25 E. 3. which he is not: and therefore they say, this is *casus omissus*, and not within any of the classes or heads of the said act of 25 E. 3. But all agree, that procurors of such treason to be done before the fact done, if after the fact be done accordingly, in case of treason, are principals, for that they are *participes criminis* in the very act of counterfeiting.

<sup>a</sup> 19 H. 6. 47.

<sup>3</sup> H. 7. 10.

Starf. fo. 3. See before cap. Treason. Verbi. Si homo counterface le grand seale.

<sup>b</sup> Pasch. 4. Jac. Abingdons case resolved by the justices.

<sup>c</sup> M. 12 & 13 El. ubi supra.

See before ca. 3. Of Misprision of Treason.

<sup>d</sup> 7 H. 4. 27.

21 E. 4. 71.

13 H. 7. 10.

Pl. com.

Lib. 4. fo. 42 in Heydons case.

Lib. 9. fo. 67.

Mackallyses case.

& lib. 11. fo. 5.

<sup>e</sup> Lib. 4. fo. 44.

Vauxes case.

Pl. com. fo. 474.

Sunders case.

Lib. 9. 81. Agnes Gores case.

See Pasch. 32.

E. 3. coram

rege rot. 62. Ph.

Cliftons case.

<sup>f</sup> 25 E. 3. 39. b.

cor. 126.

26 Aff. 47.

9 H. 4. 1.

7 H. 6. 42.

\*[ 139 ]

<sup>a</sup> 26 Aff. ubi

sup.

<sup>b</sup> Mic. 7 R. 2.

coram rege rot.

23. Cant.

7 H. 4. 27.

<sup>a</sup> But saving reformation we hold, that if any man committeth high treason, and thereby becommeth a traytor, if any other man knowing him to be a traytor, doth receive, comfort, and aide him, he is guilty of treason, for that there be no accessories in high treason.

<sup>b</sup> And so it was resolved in the case of Abingdon, who received, comforted, and aided Henry Garnet superior of the jesuits, knowing him to be guilty of the powder treason, and accordingly Abingdon was indicted and attainted of high treason.

<sup>c</sup> And where it is said, that the said offence in Conyers case was misprision of treason, that cannot be, because there was a concealment, and not a concealment only: otherwise, high treason being the highest offence, should have more favour, then felony: for receiver and comforter in case of felony is punished by death, and so is not he that committeth misprision of treason. And lastly, this is no new treason, but a partaking and a maintaining of the old.

In case of felony there are principals and accessories, and accessories be of two sorts, either before the offence be committed, or after. See the second part of the Institutes, W. 1. cap. 14. And concerning this, there be also certaine rules, <sup>d</sup> *Nullus dicitur feli principalis, nisi actor, aut qui praesens est abettans, aut auxilians actor ad feloniam faciendam.* But this rule hath his exception: for <sup>e</sup> in case of poysoning, if one layeth poyson for one, or infuse it into broth, or the like, albeit he be not present when the same is taken; and either the party intended, or any other is poysoned, yet is he a principall: and in that case, both the principall and procurer, or accessory may be absent. See the bookes aforesaid for accessories before the felony committed, and where and in what manner the procurement shall be said in law to be pursued: the learning whereof is so plainly set downe, as the same need not herein to be repeated. <sup>f</sup> *Nullus dicitur accessarius post feloniam, sed ille qui non principalem feloniam fecisse, et illum recepit \* et confortavit.* <sup>a</sup> And therefore if a man write letters for his deliverance, or in favour of him, or the like; he is no accessory, for that he received not the felon.

<sup>b</sup> A vicar, which instructed an approver which could not reade, whilest he was in prison, to reade, whereby he escaped, was adjudged no accessory to the felony.



Catlyn and Browne justices of affise in the county of Suffolke put this case to all the judges. <sup>c</sup> A man committed felony in the county of Suffolke, for which he was committed to the gaole; and R. an attorney advised the friends of the felon to perswade the witnesses not to appeare to give evidence against him, which was done accordingly. And it was resolved, that neither the friends nor the attorney were accessaries to the felony, but that it was a great contempt and misprifion, for which they might be fined and imprisoned.

<sup>c</sup> M.c. 11 & 12  
E the c. 11 of  
Roberts the at-  
torny.

<sup>d</sup> The accessory cannot be guilty of petit treason, where the principall is guilty but of murder. For *accessarius sequitur naturam sui principalis*.

<sup>d</sup> See before cap.  
Petit Treason.

<sup>e</sup> If divers commit any murder, or other felony, one man may be both principall and accessory to the other.

<sup>e</sup> 7 H. 4. 27.

See before cap. Clergie, that if the principall before attainder hath his clergie, the accessory is discharged. And note generally, where the principall before attainder is pardoned, or his life otherwise saved, the accessory is discharged.

<sup>f</sup> 2 H. 4. 16.

C A P. LXV.

Of Misprifions divers and severall: and first of Misprifion of Felony, &c.

OF misprifion of treason we have already spoken, and of the etymologie of the word. It remaineth now that we speak of other misprifions.

Misprifion is twofold: one is *crimen omissionis*, of omission, as in concealment, or not discovery of treason or felony: another is *crimen commissionis*, of commission, as in committing some heynous offence under the degree of felony.

Or misprifion is of two sorts, viz. passive and active: passive is of the nature of concealment, whereof some be by the common law, and some by statute. By the common law, as passive misprifion, that is concealment of high treason whereof we have spoken; and passive misprifion, that is concealment of felony, whereof we are now in this chapter to speak. Some by statute: as if any be moved to make commotion or unlawfull assembly, and do not within twenty four houres declare the same to a justice of peace, sheriffe, maior, or bailiffe, &c. concealment by juries, 3 H. 7. ca. 1. 33 H. 8. ca. 6, &c.

<sup>1</sup> Mar. 1. Parl.  
ca. 12.

<sup>1</sup> Eliz. cap. 17.  
See the second  
part of the In-  
stitutes. W. 1.  
cap. 9.

Now are we speak of concealment or not discovery of felony. As in case of high treason, whether the treason be by the common law, or statute, the concealment of it is misprifion of treason. So in case of felony, whether the felony be by the common law, or by statute, the concealment of it is misprifion of felony.

If any be present when a man is slaine, and omit to apprehend the slayer, it is a misprifion, and shall be punished by fine and imprisonment.

<sup>8</sup> E. 2. cor. 395.

And as the concealment of high treason is higher by many degrees then the concealment of felony, so the punishment for the concealment of the greater is heavier then of the lesser, and yet the

W. 1. ca. 9. See  
the exposition  
thereof, ubi sup.

the concealment of felonies in sheriffs, or bailiffs of liberties is more severely punished then in others, viz. by imprisonment by one year, and ransome at the will of the king. From which punishment \* if any will save himself he must follow the advice of Bracton, to discover it to the king, or to some judge or magistrate, that for administration of justice supplieth his place, with all speed that he can.

Bract. lib. 3. fo. 118. a.

*Non enim debet morari in uno loco per duas noctes, vel per duos dies, nec debet ad aliqua negotia, quamvis urgentissima, se convertere, quia eis permittitur ei ut retrospiciat.*

And this is intended of a concealment, or not discovery of his meer knowledge: for if in case of high treason, he that knoweth it, before it be done, and assenteth to it, is *particeps criminis*, and guilty of treason: and in case of felony, he that receiveth the thief, and assenteth to it, is accessory.

See before the chapt. of Misprision of Treason, fo. 36. and of Principall and Accessory, fo. 138.

\* Ecclesiastes. ca. 10. v. 20.

a See the second part of the Institutes, W. 1. ca. 33. 25 E. 3. ca. 1. It is high treason to kill any of them in their places

b 22 E. 3. 13. 19 E. 3. Judgment 174. Mich. 6 E. 3. coram rege Rot. 55. Eborum.

c 41 E. 3. cor 280. Nota the forfeiture of his lands is but during his life 41 E. 3. 25.

c Int. leges Alveredi. cap 34. 3 El. Dier, 188. 2 Ja. Bellinghams case coram rege with his elbow and shoulder.

d 33 H. 8. ca. 12.

See before in the chapter of misprision of treason, that every treason and felony doth include in it misprision of treason and felony. See the statute of 23 El. ca. 1. of misprision, that is, *crimen commissum*.

Compassings, or imaginations against the king, by word, without an overt act, is an high misprision, as before is said. \* *In cogitatione tua ne detrahas regi, &c. quia aves cæli portabunt vocem tuam, et qui habet pennas annuntiabit sententiam.*

a If any man in Westminster hall, or in any other place, sitting the courts of chancery, the exchequer, the kings bench, the common bench, or before justices of assise, or justices of oier and terminer. (which courts are mentioned in the statute of 25 E. 3. *De predictiombus*) shall draw a weapon upon any judge, or justice, though he strike not; this is a great misprision, b for the which he shall lose his right hand, and forfeit his lands and goods, and his body to perpetuall imprisonment: the reason hereof is, because it tendeth *ad impedimentum legis terre*. c So it is, if in Westminster hal or any other place, sitting the said courts there, or before justices of assise, or oier and terminer, and within the view of the same, a man doth strike a juror, or any other with weapon, hand, shoulder, elbow, or foot, he shall have the like punishment; but in that case, if he make an assault, and strike not, the offender shall not have the like punishment.

d If any strike in the kings palace, where the kings royall person resideth, he shall not lose his right hand, unlesse he draw blood; but if he draw blood, then his right hand shall be stricken off, he shall be perpetually imprisoned, and fined and ransomed.

Note the law makes a great difference between a stroke or blow, in or before any of the said courts of justice, where the king is representatively present, and the kings court, where his royall person resideth. For in the kings house (as hath been said) blood may be drawne, which needeth not in or before the courts of justice, but a stroke only sufficeth. Again, the punishment is more severe in the one case, then in the other: such honour the law attributeth to courts of justice, when the judges or justices are doing of that which to justice appertaineth: and the reason is, *Quia justitia formatur solium*.

But note that by the ancient laws of this realm, striking only in the kings court was punished by death. *Vide Lambard inter leges Inca ca. 6. Si quis in regia pugnarit, rebus suis omnibus mulcetur. sine morte etiam pleclendus, regis arbitrium et jus esto. Inter leges*



*muti, cap. 56. Si quis in regia dimicarit, capitale esto, &c. Inter leges Alveredi, cap. 7. Qui in regia dimicarit, ferrumve distrinxerit, capitor, et regem penes arbitrium vitæ necisque ejus esto, &c.*

<sup>c</sup> Peter Burchet prisoner in the tower, stroke within the tower John Longworth his keeper (who stood in a window reading of the Bible) with a billet on the head behind, whereby blood was shed, and death instantly ensued: this being without any provocation was adjudged murder, for which he was attainted, and before his execution (which was in the Strand over against Somerset house) his right hand was first stricken off, by force of the statute of 33 H. 8. for that the tower was one of the queens standing houses or palaces.

The kings palace at Westminster hath this liberty and priviledge, viz. *Nullæ citationes, aut summonitiones, liceant fieri cuicumque infra palatium regis Westm.*

Like priviledge hath Westminster hall, or other place, where the kings justices, &c. sit, as by these following records appeareth.

<sup>a</sup> *Quia bedellus universitatis citari fecit W. il. de Wivelingham infra ostium aulae Westm. justiciariis sedentibus, ad comparand' coram cancellario, &c. pro quo se posuit in gratiam regis, committitur gaolæ, et Henricus de Harwood, ad cujus sectam persecutus fuit, committitur marischal. et finem fecit 40. s.*

<sup>b</sup> *Matilda de Nyerford, filia Willielmi de Nyerford militis defuncti, did libell against John earl of Warren, and c Johan de Barro countes of Warren the kings niece (in camitina dominæ reginæ consortis domini regis) in a cause of matrimony and divorce, and the same Johan de Barro was cited in the kings palace at Westminster, &c. It was upon full examination of the cause, adjudged in parliament in these words, Quòd prædictum palacium domini regis est locus exemptus ab omni jurisdictione ordinaria, tam regie dignitatis et coronæ suæ, quam libertatis ecclesiæ Westm', et maximè in presentia ipsius domini regis tempore parlamenti sui ibidem: ita quòd nullus summonitiones, seu citationes ibidem faciat, et præcipuè illis, qui sunt de sanguine domini regis, quibus maior reverentia, quam aliis fieri debet, &c. Consideratum est, quòd officiar' committatur turri London, et ibidem custodiatur ad voluntatem domini regis.*

Here two things are principally to be observed: first, that this royall priviledge is not only appropriated to the palace of Westminster, but to all the kings palaces, where his royall person resides. Secondly, that this priviledge is to be exempted from all ecclesiasticall jurisdiction, *regie dignitatis et coronæ suæ ratione, &c.*

If any doe rescue a prisoner in or before any of the abovesaid courts committed by any of the aforesaid justices, it is a great misprifion, for which he and the prisoner assenting to it, shall forfeit their lands and goods, and their bodies to perpetuall imprisonment, but shall not lose his hand, because no stroke or blow was given.

But it was resolved by all the judges, that where Thomas Oldfield, sitting in the court of the dutchy of Lancaster, with a knife stabbed one Ferror a justice of peace in the view of the said court, that the court of the dutchy was none of the courts to make it a misprifion to lose his right hand, &c. but the offender was to be indicted, and grievously fined.

And in 9 El. one Guirling stroke another in the Whitehall, sitting

<sup>c</sup> Mich. 15 El. in the case of Peter Burchet esquire of the Middle Temple.

Pasch. 8. E. 2. Coram rege Rot. 23 Norff.

[ 141 ]

<sup>a</sup> Mich. 12 E. 3. Coram rege Rot. 101. Cant.

<sup>b</sup> Placita coram domino rege in parlamento suo apud Westm' in præsentia domini regis, an. 21 E. 1.

<sup>c</sup> Ellanor daughter of E. 1. married with William earl of Barry alias Barro in France, and had issue the said Johan who married John earl Warren.

22 E. 3. 13.

Trin. 8 Jac. regis Oldfields case.

Pasch. 9 Eliz. Guirlings case.

sitting the masters of requests, and it was then resolved by the court of kings bench, that it was not any misprision, for the which he should lose his right hand, &c. but he was indicted and fined.

Hil. 13 E. 3.  
Coram re Rot.  
104. Sulf.

*Quia Thomas de Hibroke manus violentas imposuit super Johannem de Lovak, &c. ad sessionem suam sedentem apud Cipricum, et cum deventur, &c. committitur in parlamento turri London, et finitur 20. lib. et invenit sex milites non captos pro bono gesto suo.*

And where some of the books abovesaid say, that the offence shall forfeit his lands, and force that he shall be ditherited, yet the forfeiture of his lands is only for term of his life, (as before is said:) for being no felony, the blood is not corrupted, nor the heir disabled to inherit. And this severe punishment is at the suit of the king, and the party may have his action, and it shall be tried by the officers and criers. And for such a stroke Thomas of Whiteley recovered five hundred pounds, Trin. 9 E. 3. Rot. 154. Midd.

Trin. 9 E. 3.  
Rot. 154 Midd.

Brit. ca. 25. fo.  
47.

Nota for the  
dignity of  
knights.

Britton saith, *Aucuns trespasses sont nequedent plus punissable, si ce n'est un trespas fait en temps de peccie a chevaliers, ou autres gents honnables par ribawes, ou autres viles perjons; en quel case nous volons, que si ribawes soit atteint al fait de chescun chevalier, que il est for se per felony sans desant del chevalier que le ribawes per son pome dont il est jaisa: so que a respect in those days was had of honour and order. Ribawes is taken here for a rascall ruffian. There is a great misprision when any revenge is fought against a judge, justice, officer, juror, sejeant, councillor, minister, or clerk, for that, which they doe in discharge of their severall duties, offices, and places, concerning the administration of justice.*

[ 142 ]

Mich. 33 & 34  
F. 1. Coram re-  
ge, Rot. 75.

Roger de Hegham and others being justices of oier and terminer, and sitting in the exchequer chamber, gave judgement for Marvlate the wife of William Brewse plaintiff, against William le Brewte defendant, which judgement was pronounced by Roger de Hegham. William de Brewse demanded of Roger de Hegham if he would avow the judgement, and said, Roger, Roger, now thou hast thy will which of long time thou hast fought: of whom Roger de Hegham demanded, What is that? to whom William de Brewse said, My shame, and my losse, and this I will reward or recompence, or I will think of it. Whereof he being indicted and arraigned, and confessing the offence, the record saith, *Et quia sunt honor, et reverentia, qui ministris domini regis ratione officii sui faciunt, ipsorum i attribuantur; sic dedecus et contemptus ministris suis facti eius domino re i inferuntur; consideratum est quod præd. Wilielmus de Brewse, defendens in corpore, capite nudo, terra depicta, cat e banco domini regis ubi placita tenentur in aula Westm., per medium aule præcedens, cum curia plena fuerit, usque ad straccium (ubi deliquit) et ibidem remoratur a præfato Rogero, &c. et postea committitur turri London, ibidem moratur, ad voluntatem regis.*

Nota.

Bract. lib. 2.  
105. These  
words were given  
to the treasurer  
of England by  
the procurement  
of Pierce of  
Gaveston.

Note this exemplary judgement against a gentleman of a great and honourable family. *Qualibet pars corporalis, quamvis minor, maior est qualibet pars pecuniaria.* And in that record it is said, *Quod dominus rex filium suum primogenitum, et charissimum Edwardum principem Wallie, pro eo quod quaedam verba grossa cuidam ministro suo dixerat, ab hospitio suo ferè per dimidium anni amovit, nec ipsum filium suum in conspectu suo venire permisit, quousque dicto ministro de dicta transgressione satisfecerat.*

Qui



*Quia Petrus de Scales minatus fuit Ricūm de Worlingworth, qui fuit de consilio Johannis de Moten, de vita et membris, dictus Petrus invenit plegios de b. no gestu suo.*

Hil. 20 E. 3.  
Coram rege Rot.  
160.

There be many records for abusing of jurors, viz. Pasch. 10 E. 3. Coram rege, rot. 87. Gilbertus Twitt. Pasch. 26 E. 3. ibidem, rot. 22. Essex, Tho. Hubberd, Hil. 7 H. 5. ibidem, rot. 24. Ricūs Cheddre. Mich. 17 E. 2. Coram rege rot. 63.

*Percussio clerici curie in veniendo versus curiam, &c.* Trin. 11 E. 2. Coram rege, rot. 42. London. Not only these particular revenges abovesaid, but all other of what kind soever are great misprisions.

Also when any revenge is sought against any man for complaining in any of the kings courts, *super gravaminibus, &c.* for grievances, &c. *Quia deterret homines à querelis super gravaminibus in forma juris. De hiis qui vindictam fecerint, eo quod aliquo modo super predictis gravaminibus in curia domini regis conquesti fuerunt.*

Cap. Itineris §. ultimo.

*Iusticiarii taxaverunt damna 2 marc' super Willielmum Botesford, eo quod minabatur quendam Herwysiam de vita et membris, eo quod ipsa prosequeretur ipsam in placito transgressionis.*

Pasc. 10 E. 3.  
Coram rege Rot.  
86. Linc.

We will conclude this point for private revenge with an ancient law before the conquest. *Si quis privato consilio illatam sibi injuriam vindicavit, antequam jus æquum sibi dari postulaverit, quod nomine vindictæ eripuit reddito, integrum rei pretium preestato, et 30 solidis dependito.*

Inter leges Inæ,  
cap. 6. Lamb.  
See the 4. part  
of the Instit.  
cap. Chancery.,  
Artic. v. s. Card-  
inal Woolsey.  
Art. 4. 5. 6. 11.  
41.

See in the fourth part of the Institutes, cap. Of the chancery, in the articles against Cardinall Woolsey. Artic. 4, 5, 6. 11. 41.

[ 143 ]

C A P. LXVI.

O F C O N S P I R A C I E.

**C**ONSPIRACIE<sup>a</sup> is a consultation and agreement between two or more, to appeale, or indict an innocent falsely, and maliciously of felony, whom accordingly they cause to be indicted or appealed; and afterward the party is lawfully acquitted by the verdict of twelve men: the party grieved may be relieved, and the offender punished two wayes. First, by a writ of conspiracy, which is a civill or common action at the suit of the party, wherein the plaintife shall recover damages, and the defendant shall be imprisoned. Secondly, by indictment at the suit of the king, the judgement whereof is criminall: of which we are now to speak.

<sup>b</sup> Upon this suit of the king, if the offenders be convicted, the judgement is grievous and terrible, viz. That they shall lose the freedom or franchise of the law, to the intent that he shall not be put or had upon any jury or assise, or in any other testimony of truth: and if they have any thing to do in the kings courts, they shall come <sup>c</sup> *per solem, id est*, by broad day, and make their attorney, and

Vide statut. de  
conspiratoribus,  
anno 21 E. 1.  
vet. Mag. Cart.  
part 1. fo. 111.  
& definition  
conspir.  
33 E. 1. ibid.  
fo. 90. b.  
Artic. sup. Cart.  
cap. 10. F. N. B.  
114, 115.  
Stanf. pl. cor.  
172, &c.  
Lib. 4. fo. 45.  
Lib. 9. fo. 16,  
56, 57. 78.  
<sup>b</sup> 24 E. 3. 45.  
27. ass.  
43 E. 3. Con-  
spiracy, 11. 59.  
4 H. 5. Judg-  
Sect.

ment 120. the like judgement as in attain. See the first part of the Institutes.

<sup>c</sup> Trin. 18 E. 3. Coram rege, Rot. 148. Pasch. 32 E. 3. Coram rege. Rot. 58.

forthwith return by broad day: and their houses, lands, and goods, shall be seized into the kings hands, and their houses and lands estrepped and wasted, their trees rooted up and errased, and their bodies to prison: all things retrograde, and against order and nature, in destroying all things that have pleased or nourished them; for that by falsehood, malice, and perjury, they sought to attain and overthrow the innocent. Which judgement in our books is called, a villanous judgement. First, in respect of the villainy and shame, which the party hath which receiveth it. Secondly, for that by the judgement he loseth the freedom and franchise of the law, and therefore undergoeth a kinde of bondage and villany. And the reason of this heavy and terrible judgement is: 1. For that the offenders have conspired and plotted the death and shedding of the blood of an innocent. 2. That they do it under faire pretence of justice and by course of law, which was instituted for the protection and defence of the innocent. 3. That if they had attained the innocent, he should have lost his life, (by an infamous death) his lands, his goods, and his posterity: for his blood thereby should have been corrupted, &c. 4. All this falsehood, malice, and perjury is committed *in placito coronæ*, in a suit for the king, which aggravateth and increaseth the offence; for that the king is the head of justice, and a protector of the innocent: and therefore at the kings suit, and not at the suit of the party, this villanous judgement shall be given. So as the law hath excellently distributed the remedies; the private action of the party to give him damages, &c. and the suit of the king for exemplary punishment. And it is to be observed, that this villanous judgement is given by the common law, (as in the case of attain) and not by force of any statute.

27 Lib. ass. p. 12.

King E. 3. demanded of his justices and serjeants, whether divers men being indicted of conspiracy for the indicting of R. of felony, were mainpernable or no? and they answered the king expressly, that they were not, in respect of the odiousnesse of the offence.

[ 144 ]

## C A P. LXVII.

### Of Pensions, &c. received by Subjects, of Foraine Kings, &c.

See the fourth part of the Institutes, cap. the Chance y Artic. against Cardinall Woolsey, art. 27. Vide p. ri. 7 R. 2. nu. 16. Mat. ca. 26. v. 24. *Nemo potest duobus dominis servire: aut enim unum odio habe-*

**I**T is not lawfull for any subject of the king of England to take a pension, &c. of any foraine king, prince, or state (without the kings license) albeit they be in league with the king of England; both, for that they may become enemies, and for that also it is mischievous and dangerous to the king himself and his state, as it appeareth by this distichon,

*Principe ab externo veniunt lethalia dona,  
Quæ studii specie, fata, necemque ferunt.*

And this was (say they) the case of the lord Hastings chamberlaine to king E. 4. who in the fifteenth year of his raign, received a pension



a pension of two thousand crowns yearly from the French king: who being informed by just. Catesbye his inward friend, and others learned in the law, that the receiving hereof was an offence against law, being desired by Pierce Clerett a Frenchman (who paid the pension) to make him an acquittance for receipt thereof for his discharge, utterly refused the same. This report I do the rather hold to be true, for that all our English historians, (who for the most part rehearse but the carkasse or outside of any point in law) give great credit hereunto. And what ill consequence this and other like pensions, and others of the councill of king E. 4. had, you may reade in our histories.

See the case in 7 R. 2. of <sup>a</sup> Spencer bishop of Norwich; and there also the case of <sup>b</sup> Pierce Cressingham, and others: and of <sup>c</sup> sir William Ellingham and others, punished for receiving of money, &c. of the French king, which drew them without the kings license, to yeeld up castles and forts in France committed to their custody, punished by fine and imprisonment.

See the fourth part of the Institutes, cap. of the Chancery, artic. 27. against Cardinal Woolsey.

*bit, et alterum diligit, aut unum sustinebit, et alterum contemnet.*  
 4 Regum, ca. 5. v. 26, &c. Ge. h. ii. See 3 J. c. ca. 5. concerning the service of a sabbje as a souldier or captain to a toman prince, hereafter cap. Fugitives. *Polydor. Hall. Hollingshed. Steene, &c.*  
<sup>a</sup> Rot. Parl. 7 R. 2. nu. 15. 18. 20. 21, 22, 23.  
<sup>b</sup> Ibid. nu. 17.  
<sup>c</sup> Ibid. nu. 24.

C A P. LXVIII.

[ 145 ]

Of Bribery, Extortion, Exaction, &c.

And first of Bribery.

**B**RIBERY is a great misprison (1), when any man in judiciall place (2) takes any fee or pension, robe, or livery, gift, reward (3) or brocage (4) of any person, that hath to do before him any way (5), for doing his office, or by colour of his office, but of the king only, unlesse it be of meat and drink, and that of small value, upon divers, and grievous punishments.

Fortescue, ca. 51.

This word [bribery] commeth of the French word *briber*, which signifieth to devoure, or eat greedily, applyed to the devouring of a corrupt judge, of whom the Psalmist speaking in the person of God, saith, *Qui devorat plebem meam sicut escam panis. Qui cognoscit faciem in judicio, non bene facit: iste pro buccella panis deserit veritatem.*

Psalm 12. 4.  
 Prov. 28. 21.

But let us peruse the branches of this description.

(1) *A great misprison.*] But it may be objected, that bribery in a judge was sometime adjudged a higher offence. For whereas at the assizes holden at Lincolne in the 23 yeare of E. 3. an exigent was to have been awarded against Richard Saltley, Hildebrand Boreward, Guilbert Holliland, Thomas Derby, and Robert Dalderby, who formerly had been indicted of divers felonies before sir William Thorpe, chiefe justice of the kings bench, and one of the justices of assize of the said county of Lincolne, he the said sir William

Rot. Pat. anno 24 E. 3. part 3. m. 2. and Rot. Pat. anno 25 E. 3. part 1. m. 17. Rot. Parl. 25 E. 3. nu. 10. 23 E. 3.

William Thorpe, to stay the said writ of exigent against them, *munera contra juramentum suum*, viz. of Richard Saltly, 10 li. of Hildebrand, 20 li. of Holliland, 40 li. of Derby, 10 li. and of Derby, 10 li. King Edward the third appointed the earles of Arundell, Warwick, and Huntingdon, and two lords, the lord Grey and the lord Burgiers' to examine this matter. Before whom William Thorpe being charged with the said bribery, *Non se dicitur, &c.* Now the record saith, *Consideratum est per iusticiarios assignatos ad iudicandum, secundum voluntatem domini regis, secundum regale posse suum, quod quia praedictus Willielmus de Thorpe, qui faciam nunc domini regis, quod eorum po. ulum suum: habuit extorandum, fuit et maliciose, falsus, et rebeliter in quantum in ipso fuit, et in causis repetitis per ipsum Willielmum, ut praedictum est, extorserunt, et quod omnia terrae, et tentae, bona et catalla sua mancant forisfacta.* This sentence seemeth to have his foundation as well upon the oath of the judges, (for the record saith *juramentum suum*, and the conclusion of the oath, and in case he be found in any default in any of the points aforesaid, ye shall be *ad voluntatem regis*, of body, lands, and goods, thereof to be done as pleaseth him: as also for that this last clause is enacted by authority of parliament (as they say) in *anno 20 E. 3.* And hereupon they the said lords were appointed to judge *secundum voluntatem domini regis, et regale posse suum*, according to the words of the oath and act of parliament. And this judgement was repeated in *anno 25.* to the lords, and affirmed by them.

This precedent is not to be followed at this day for divers causes. First, it seemeth by the violation of the kings oath, and of his word [*rebeliter*] and by the forfeiture of all his lands and tenements to the king, that this offence should be treason against the king, and then it being either high treason, or petit treason it is taken away by the statute of 25 F. 3. *De preditionibus*, the same being none of them, that are there expressed. And in all the records this word [*felonice*] is not to be found, as it ought to have been, if it had been felony.

Neither by the words of the oath, or of the supposed act of 20 E. 3. can the judgement (*quod suspendatur*) be warranted: for these words [to be at the kings will for body, &c.] cannot be extended to losse of life, no more then the statute of Carlisle (*substantive a mortem, quae in peccato sua obtinet*) extendeth not to forfeiture of life, but to imprisonment, &c. viz. losse of liberty, &c.

But at this parliament, viz. in *anno 20 E. 3.* taking in hand of quarrels, other then their own, and maintenance of them is prohibited upon the paines aforesaid, viz. the paines contained in the said supposed act of 20 F. 3. cap. 1. upon paine to be at our will, body, lands, and goods, to do thereof as shall please us: which without question was never extended to losse of life, &c. but to imprisonment, as common experience daily teacheth. For *hinc voluntas regis, viz. per iusticiarios suos et per legem, &c.* Therefore by the record appeareth, sir William Thorpe was pardoned and restored to all his lands. And we were desirous to see the record of the act of 20 E. 3. cap. 1. but there is no record of any such act in the parliament roll. And the very frame and composition of it seemeth to be but a rehearfall of a commandment from the king: for the letter of it beginneth. First, we have commanded all our justices

Anno 24 E. 3.

The oath of the justices anno 18 E. 3.

20 E. 3. cap. 1.

[ 146 ]

Anno 25 E. 3. the stat. of Carlisle.

20 E. 3. cap. 4.

2 R. 3. fo. 11.

See 8 R. 2

cap. 3. Rot.

Pa. 1. fo. R. 2.

11. 24.



justices, that they shall from thenceforth do equall law, &c. and therefore justly omitted out of the parliament roll of acts of parliaments: and yet the imprinting of it necessary, for that the fourth chapter of this parliament hath reference to the paynes contained in it.

It is enacted by Parliament anno 11 H. 4. in these words.

Vid. 1 H. 4. no. 99. & Nota.

Item, *Que nul chancelor, tresorer, garden del privie seal counselor le roy, sernts. a counsell del roy, ne nul auter officer, judge ne minister le roy, pernans fees ou gages de roy pur lour ditz offices ou services, preigne en nul manner en temps à vener aucun manner de done ou brocage de ulluy pur lour ditz offices et services a faire, sur peine de responder au roy de la treble que issint preignent, et de satisfaire la partie, et punys al volunt le roy, et soit discharges de son office, service, et counsell pur tous jours, et que chescun que voiera persuer en la dit matter, eyt la suite sibien pur le roy, come pur luy mesme, et eit la tierce part del somme, de que la partie est duement conviēt.*

Rot. Parl. anno 11 H. 4. nu. 28. never imprinted.

By this act of parliament, which is the judgement of the whole parliament, it appeareth, that, if that which is imprinted as the first chapter of 20 E. 3. had been an act of parliament, then this statute of 11 H. 4. would never have inflicted this kinde of punishment, which is other, and farre lesse, then that which is mentioned in 20 E. 3. and where it is said in this act of 11 H. 4. (*et punis al volunt le roy*) that is, by fine and imprisonment by the court where the conviction shall be; for, as hath been said, *hæc est voluntas regis, viz. per justiciarios suos, et legem suam, et non per dominum regem in camera sua, vel aliter.*

2 R. 3. 11. a.

So as by warrant of this act of parliament we have said, that bribery is a misprision; for that it is neither treason, nor felony; and it is a great misprision, for that it is ever accompanied with perjury.

True it is, that sir Thomas Weyland, chief justice of the court of common pleas, was attainted of felony, but it was not for bribery, but being guilty of † being accessory to murder, for the which by the common law he was abjured the realm.

\* Plac. de parl. apud Atherog in Cio. Ep. anno 19 E. 1. Et Hollingsh. Chron. pag. 284, 285. he confessed felony, and abjured.

Likewise Adam de Stratton chief baron of the Exchequer a man of great possessions and riches was attainted of felony by him committed, all which I collect upon records of parliament the surest guides. For in the parliament holden in 18 E. 1. in the same year when he was attainted, I find two petitions, one preferred by himself in these words, *Adam de Stratton petit gratiam regis, quòd restituatur ad aliquam partem terrarum suarum, et de bonis suis quæ habuit tempore quo fuit.\* viz. 26000 li.*

[ 147 ]  
Rot. Parl. 18 E. 1. fo. 5. nu. 61.  
\* There is a space left in the record.  
Et ibid. nu. 69.

The other by Margaret de Boteler in these words, *Margarita quæ fuit uxor Joh. de Boteler, de qua Adam de Stratton tenuit 12 li. 10. s. in London, clamat habere ut eschaet'. Responss. Rex non concessit: quia in civitate nulla est eschaeta nisi regis.* And at the same parliament, fo. 3. it is resolved, *non sunt nisi tres formæ brevis de eschaeta;*

*eschæta; quia utlagatus, vel suspensus, vel abjuravit regnum.* And by consequence Adam de Stratton seeing his lands escheated, must have the judgement of one of these three. Which we have added to answer secret objections that might be made out of the mistakings of our Chronicles.

Rot. Parl. 20  
E. 1. f. l. 5.

The rest of the justices were removed, fined, and imprisoned, saving Johannes de Mettingham, and Elias de Beckingham, who to their eternall memory and honour were found upright, and free from all bribery and corruption.

10 R. 2 nu. 24.

It was petitioned in parliament, that the statutes whereby the justices of the one bench or the other should take no reward, nor of any mans fee, may be observed. The kings answer was, [The king hath and will charge such justices to minister right, and will punish the contrary, and therefore willet that all statutes made touching them and the barons of the exchequer, be made void.]

(2) *When any man in judiciall place, &c.* For the difference between bribery and extortion is, that bribery is only committed by him, that hath a judiciall place, and extortion may be committed both by him that hath a judiciall place, or by him that hath a ministeriall office.

Deut. 16. 19

And this offence of bribery may be committed by any that hath any judiciall place either ecclesiasticall or temporall. *Non accipit personam nec munera,* (and the reason is expressed by the Holy Ghost) *quia munera cæcæcant oculos sapientum, et mutant verba iustorum.*

If bribery hath so great force, as to blinde the eyes of the wise judge, and to change the words of the just, *Beatus ille, qui exivit manus suas ab omni munere. Judex debet habere duos sales; salem sapientie, ne sit insipidus, et salem conscientie, ne sit diabolus.*

Pasch. 17 E. 2.  
Coram reg.  
Rot. 130. Effex.  
John Berners  
case.  
Rot. Parl. 7 R.  
2. nu. 12, 15.

Though the bribe be small, yet the fault is great: and this appeareth by a record in the reign of E. 3. *Quia diversi justiciarii ad audiendum et terminandum assignati ceperunt de Johanne Berners qui indultatus fuit, 4. li. pro favore habendo die deliberationis sue, finem se erant domino regi per iiii M. marcas,* so as they paid for every pound a thousand marks. See before sir William Thorps case. Rot. Parl. 7 R. 2. the chancellour was accused of a bribe of ten pound, and his man four pound and certain fish, which, though the things were small, yet it had been punished, if it had been proved.

Anno 18 E. 3.

(3) *Take any fee, robe, gift, or reward.*] This is warranted by the oath above said.

\* Since these Institutes so was it resolved in the Star-chamber, Trin. 6 Car. Reg. in an information against Bonham Norton and others.

But admit the party \* offereth a bribe to the judge, meaning to corrupt him in the cause depending before him, and the judge taketh it not, yet this is an offence punishable by law in the party that doth offer it.

(4) *Brocage.*] There is good warrant for this word by the said act of 11 H. 4.

(5) *Of any person that hath to doe before him any way.*] This hath his ground upon the oath aforesaid, so as bribery may be committed not only when a suit dependeth *in foro contentioso* (as it was in the case of sir Fr. Bacon lo. of S. Alban lo. chancellour of England, who for many exorbitant and sordid briberies was sentenced by the lords of parliament, which you may reade Rot. Parl. anno 19 Jacobis regis) but also when any in judiciall place doth any thing *virtute or colore officii*, though there be no suit at all. For example, if the



the lord treasurer for any gift or brocage, shall make any customer, controller, or any officer or minister of the king, this is bribery, for he ought to take nothing in that case by the statute of 12 R. 2. but that he make all such officers and ministers of the best, and most lawfull men, and sufficient for their estimation and knowledge. (An excellent law tending greatly to his majesties advantage, to the good usage and encouragement of merchants, &c. and generally to the advancement of commerce, trade, and traffique, the life of this island.) Reade this statute, for it is of a large extent, and the statute of 5 E. 6. for they are laws made *contra ambitum*, and worthy to be put in execution, for they prevent bribery and extortion; for they that buy will sell.

2 R. 2 ca. 2.  
See the statute of  
5 E. 6. ca. 16.

*Vendit Alexander claves, altaria sacra :  
Vendere jure potest, emerat ille prius.*

And that the statute of 5 E. 6. doth extend as well to ecclesiasticall offices, as temporall, which concern the administration and execution of justice. And it was resolved in the case of doctor Trever chancellour of a bishop in Wales, that both the office of chancellour and register of the bishop are within that statute, because they concern the administration of justice.

Hil. 8 Ja. in  
communi banco  
D. Trevers case.  
See hereafter ca.  
of Simony, and  
the 1. part. of  
the Instit. sect.  
378. fo. 234.  
\* Rot. Parl. 21  
Ja. regis.

\* L. Earl of M. lord treasurer of England took *colore officii* divers bribes, &c. And namely where the farmers of the customes exhibited a petition to have certain just allowances, which his majesty referred to the said lord treasurer, who long delayed the petitioners, untill they gave him severall bribes, and then he gave way to relieve them. For this, and other his briberies, extortions, oppressions, and other grievous misdemeanours in his severall offices of the lord treasurer, and master of the court of wards (no suit being in any of those cases depending) upon complaint, and charge of the commons in this parliament, and after evident proof and often hearing of the cause, the lords of parliament (the lord treasurer being brought to the bar by the gentleman usher and serjeant at arms, and kneeling till he was commanded to stand up) upon the petition of the commons by the speaker gave this judgment against him by the mouth of the lord keeper in these words. This high court of parliament doth adjudge. First, that you L. Earl of M. now lord Treasurer of England shall lose all your offices which you hold in this kingdome. 2. And shall be for ever incapable of any office, place, or employment in this state and common-wealth. 3. And that you shall be imprisoned in the tower of London during the kings pleasure. 4. And that you shall pay to our soveraign the king the fine of 50000. li. 5. And that you shall never sit in parliament any more. 6. And that you shall never come within the verge of the kings court, as by the said roll of the parliament appeareth, which is worthy of your reading at large.

In anno 21 H. 8. by articles under the hands of all the lords of the privy councell, (whereof sir Thomas Moor then lord chancellour was one) and of the principall judges of the realm, which I have seene, cardinall Woolsey was charged with divers briberies, namely in the eighteenth article, in these words. Also the said lord cardinall constrained all ordinaries in England, yearly to compound with him, or else he would usurp half, or the whole of their jurisdiction by prevention, not for good order of the dioceses, but to extort treasure: for there is never a poor archdeacon  
in

Anno 21 H. 8.  
Artic. 18

in England but that he paid to him a yeerly portion of his living.

21 H. 8. ca. 5.  
Vide 2 R. 2.  
Rot. Parl.  
nu. 46.

If any ordinary, &c. having power by the act of 21 H. 8. to grant the administration of the goods of him that dieth intestate, or as intestate, to the widow or next of kin, &c. take any reward for preferring of any person, before another, to the administration, it is bribery.

*Si quis contra fas et leges administrarit, vel pro odio, quod in alium habuerit, judicavit perperam, aut denique nammantum se judice. ut buerit, proprii capitis aestimatione Anglorum jure regi damnatur, nisi quidem legum id accidisse inscitia, &c.*

[ 149 ]  
The law before  
the conquest.  
Inter leges Can-  
nuti, cap. 13.

## C A P. LXIX.

## Of Extortion, Exaction, &amp;c.

<sup>a</sup> Lib. 10. fo.  
101. & 102.  
Beawfages case.  
See the 1. part  
of the Institutes  
sect. 701. verb.  
[Extortioners]  
2. part of the In-  
stit. W. 1. ca.  
26. The 4. part  
of the Institutes.  
ca. Chancery in  
the articles  
against Cardinal  
Woolsey, art. 3.  
<sup>b</sup> Trin. 28 E. 3.  
Coram rege.  
Rot. 37 Eborum.  
<sup>c</sup> Hil. 20 E. 3.  
Coram rege.  
Rot. 159. Norff.  
<sup>d</sup> Ibidem in the  
same roll.  
<sup>e</sup> 1 E. 1. stat. 2.  
ca. 15.  
<sup>f</sup> Nota.

**T**HIS is another great <sup>a</sup> misprision because it is accompanied with perjury. Hereof you may read in the first part of the Institutes, sect. 701. See also in the second part of the Institutes, W. 1. cap. 26. and cap. 10. And in the fourth part of the Institutes, cap. Chancery, in the articles against cardinal Woolsey, article 3. Extortion of Ordinaries. <sup>b</sup> *Ranunciatores hominum, extortores hominum*: a rancunnier, an extortioner of men.

<sup>c</sup> The collectors of the fifteens were committed to prison, for that they took of every town eighteen pence for an acquittance.

<sup>d</sup> A coroner was committed to prison, because he would not take the view of the dead body, before he had received for himself six shillings eight pence, and for his clerk two shillings, and was fined at forty shillings.

<sup>e</sup> If any of the kings councill or his ministers doe exact a bond of any of his subjects, to come to the king with force and arms, &c. when they should be sent for, such writings are to the kings dishonour; for that every man is bound to do to the king as to his liege lord, <sup>f</sup> al that appertaineth to him, without any manner of writing, (note the generality hereof) and such writings are to be cancelled, as by the act appeareth.

Hereupon (by authority of this parliament) these conclusions doe follow. First, whatsoever any subject is bound to doe to the king, as to his liege lord, no bond or writing is to be exacted of the subject for doing thereof. Secondly, whatsoever bonds or writings are to the kings dishonour, are against law. Thirdly, whether such bonds or writings be made to the king or any other, the bonds or writings be void.

<sup>g</sup> If a bishop or other ecclesiasticall judge, or minister, doth exact a bond or oath of any person in any case ecclesiasticall, not warrantable by law, the bond is void, and this exaction is punishable by fine, &c. the record is very long, but worthy to be read. See Rot. Parl. anno 8 H. 4. nu. 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20. excellent matter

<sup>g</sup> Int. Inquisit.  
apud Lancelton  
Coram Rogero  
Loveday, and  
Waltero de  
Wynbern, an.  
6 E. 1. Cornub.



matter concerning fees in courts of justice, and in the kings household.

*h Officialis indictatus de citando, et affligendo plurimos, non potest decidere, et petit quod admittatur ad finem.*

*i Contra sequestratores, commissarios, et alios offic' episcoporum pro catione feodorum, priusquam debent pro testamentis probandis.*

*k* The extortion of the clergy, and of their ministers to be enquired of by justices of peace.

Resolutions upon the statute of 21 H. 8. ca. 5.

*l* If a man makes his testament in paper, and dieth possessed of goods and chattels above the value of forty pound, and the executor causeth the testament to be transcribed in parchment, and bringeth both to the ordinary, &c. to be proved: it is at the election of the ordinary whether he will put the seal and probate to the original in paper, or to the transcript in parchment: but whether he put them to the one or the other, there can be taken of the executor, &c. in the whole but five shillings, and not above, viz. two shillings six pence to the ordinary, &c. and his ministers, and two shillings six pence to the scribe for \* registring the same: or else the said scribe to be at his liberty, to refuse those two shillings and six pence, and to have for writing every ten lines of the same testament, whereof every line to contain ten inches, one penny.

If the executor desire that the testament in paper may be transcribed in parchment, he must agree with the party for the transcribing; but the ordinary, &c. can take nothing for it, nor for the exhibition of the transcript with the original, but only two shillings six pence for the whole copy belonging to him. Where the goods of the dead doe not exceed an hundred shillings, the ordinary, &c. shall take nothing, and the scribe to have only for writing of the probate six pence, so the said testament be exhibited in writing with wax therunto affixed ready to be sealed. Where the goods of the dead doe amount to above the value of an hundred shillings, and doe not exceed the summe of forty pound, there shall be taken for the whole but three shillings six pence, whereof to the ordinary, &c. two shillings six pence, and twelve pence to the scribe for registring the same. Where by custome lesse hath been taken in any of the cases aforesaid, there lesse is to be taken. And where any person requires a copy, or copies of the testament so proved, or inventory so made, the ordinary, &c. shall take for the search, and making of the copy of the testament or inventory, if the goods exceed not an hundred shillings, six pence, and if the goods exceed an hundred shillings, and exceed not forty pound, twelve pence. And if the goods exceed forty pound, two shillings six pence, or to take for every ten lines thereof of the proportion before rehearsed, a penny.

When the party dies intestate, the ordinary may dispose somewhat in pious uses, notwithstanding the said act of 31 E. 3. but with these cautions, 1. That it be after the administration granted, and inventory made, so as the state of the intestate may be known, and thereby the sum may appear to be competent. 2. The administrator must be called to it. 3. The use must be publique and godly. 4. It must be expressed in particular. And, 5. There must be a decree made of it, and entred of record: so in case of commutation

*h* Mich. 22 E. 3. Coram rege Rot. 181. Eborum.

*i* Hil. 23 E. 3. Coram rege.

*k* Rot. Parl.

3 R. 2. nu. 38, 39. 1 H. 5. nu. 23, 24.

*l* Mich. 6. Jacobi Rot. 1301 in comuni banco. int. Edm. Neave informer, &c. et Jac bñ Rowle official' intra Archidiaconat' de Huntington defendant per le chief justice Wamesly, Warburton, Daniell, and Foster.

\* [ 150 ]

For punishment of ecclesiasticall judges for extortion. See Rot. de Inquisit. in Com. Eborum, Somerset, &c. anno 4 E. 1. in Thesuro. De iudicibus ecclesiasticis dicunt, &c. Rot. Parl. 8 E. 3. nu. 9.

The statute of 31 E. 3. cap. 4. Patch. 32 E. 3. Coram rege. Rot. 27. Rot. Parl. 50 E. 3. nu. 9.

1 R. 2. nu. 109. 2 R. 2. nu. 40. 13 R. 2. nu. 38, 39. 7 R. 2. nu. 53. The statute of 3 H. 5. ca. 4.

Mich. 20 Jacobi in Camera Stellata, in Sir Jo. Bennets case.

commutation of penance, it must be after sentence, and *mutatis mutandis, ut supra.*

2 H. 4. ca. 10.

Whereas twenty, forty, or an hundred be indicted of one felony, or one trespass, and all plead to an issue, as not guilty, the clerk of the crown of the kings bench, ought not to take for the  *venire facias*, or for the entring of the plea, above two shillings, but the said clerk did take for every such name by extortion two shillings. It is ordained and established, that the said clerk of the crown, shall take no more then hath been duly used of old time. And moreover our soveraign lord the king hath charged the said justices of the kings bench, that no extortion be done in this behalf in the bench aforesaid.

2 H. 4. ca. 8.

The chirographer of the king in the common bench for making and writing of every fine levied four shillings, and no more, upon pain (if he take more) to lose his office, be expelled the court, one years imprisonment, and to pay to the party grieved his treble damages.

2 H. 4. ca. 23.

The fees to the marshall of the marshalsea of the kings house, you may read in the statute of 2 H. 4. Vide 9 R. 2. cap. 5.

33 H. 8. ca. 39.

If any auditor of the exchequer, dutchy of Lanc', or court of wards take more then three shillings four pence, for the enrolment of any letters patents, decree, grant, or indenture of lease, he shall forfeit, for every penny so taken, six shillings eight pence.

*Munera ne capias, uncus latet hamus in esca:*  
*Nulla carent visco munera, virus habent.*

[ 151 ]

C A P. LXX.

OF USURY.

17 H. 8. ca. 9.  
13 Eliz. ca. 8.

**U**SURY is a contract upon the lene of money, or giving daves for forbearing of money, debt, or duty, by way of lene, chivifance, shifts, sales of wares, or other doings whatsoever. *Usura dicitur ab usu et ære, quia datur pro usu æris: or usura dicitur, quasi ignis urens.*

Deut. cap. 21.  
Exo. 22. Levit.  
25. Ezech. 2.  
Psal. 15.

And first, usury is directly against the law of God. And the reason wherefore it was permitted by the law of God for an Hebrew to an infidell, was; because it was a mean either to exterminate, or to depauperate them, as they should not be able to invade, or injure Gods people.

13 Eliz. cap. 8.  
21 Jac. cap. 17.

And it is adjudged by authority of parliament, that all usury being forbidden by the law of God, is sinne, and detestable. And it is also enacted by parliament, that all usury is unlawfull, that is to say, against the lawes of the realme. Let us therefore see what former laws have provided herein.

d See the Cout. de Norm. cap. 20.  
Int. leges S. Edw.  
e Glouvil. lib. 7. cap. 16.

*d Siquis de usura convictus fuerit, omnes res suas amittat.*  
*e Usurarii omnes res, sive testatus, sive intestatus decesserit, domini regis sunt: vivus autem non solet aliquis de crimine usuræ appellari, nec concenci, sed inter ceteras regias inquisitiones solet inquiri, et probari aliquē in tali crimine decessisse per 12 legales homines de vicineto et per eorum*



*eorum sacramentum. Quo probato in curia, omnes res mobiles, et omnia catalla, quæ fuerunt ipsius usurarii mortui, ad usus domini regis capiuntur, penes quemcumq; inveniantur res illæ. Hæres quoq; ipsius, hac eadem de causa exheredatur secundum jus regni; et ad dominum, vel dominos revertetur hæreditas. Sciendum tamen, quod si quis aliquo tempore usurarius fuerit in vita sua, et super hoc in patria publice defamatus, si tamen à delicto ipso ante mortem suam destiterit, et penitentiam egerit; post mortem ipsius, illæ, vel res ejus lege usurarii minime cessantur. Oportet ergo constare quod usurarius d'cesserit aliquis ad hoc, ut de eo tanquam de usurario post mortem ipsius judicetur, et de rebus ipsius, tanquam de rebus usurarii disponatur.*

*Vide statute de Merton. cap. 5. et Fleta, lib. 2. ca. 50. <sup>f</sup> Manifestus usurarius est intestabilis.*

*Et inter les constitutions ordeins p. les e. Is royes Alfred. 3c. ordeine fuit que les chettels des usurers fuissent al roy, et que les heritages des usurers remeissent escheats al seigniors des fees, et ne fere interre in sanctuary.*

*Item, atrox injuria est, quæ omnium mobilium amissionem confert et legem liberam aufert, quæ locum habet in usurariis Christianis.*

*Ad 16 Artic. de usuris responderet: quod licet episcopis pro peccato illo penitentiam usurario injungere salutarem. Sed quia committendo usuram, usurarius furtum committit, et super hoc est convictus, catalla et res usurarii, sicut catalla furis, sunt regis, et si qui sequi voluerint contra huiusmodi usurarium, restituantur eis bona sua, quæ ipsi usurarii per vim extorserunt.*

*And it appeareth by Bracton, that it was an article of the charge of inquiry by justices in cire de usurariis Christianis mortuis, ad fuerunt, et quæ catalla habuerunt, et quis ea habuerit. Et quod nullus cepit usuram ante vel ingenio. And divers were indicted for taking of usury before justices in cire, and some were pardoned by the king, and others not.*

In ancient time a great revenue by reason of the usury of the Jews came to the crown: for between the 50 year of H. 3. and the 2 yeare of E. 1. which was not above seven yeares compleat, there was paid into the kings coffers four hundred and twenty thousand pounds of and for the usury of the Jews. And yet that excellent king for divers weighty reasons worthy to be written in letters of gold, did by authority of parliament utterly prohibit the same, in these words. Forasmuch as the king hath perceived that many evils and dishonors of the good men of his land had come to passe by the usuries which the Jews have done in times past, and that many sins and offences have risen thereupon: albeit he and his auncesters have had great profit thereby of the Jews; notwithstanding for the honour of God, and for the common profit of his people, the king hath ordained, and established, that no Jew shall take usury, &c. Before this time Jews were divers times banished this realm, but still they returned again. But this wise and worthy king by authority of parliament banishing their usury, put the Jews into perpetuall exile into forain countries, where usury was tolerated. By which act it appeareth that the suppression of usury tendeth to the honour of God, and the common profit of the people.

By which authorities and records, and by many others that might be remembred, it appeareth that by the ancient laws of this realm usury was unlawfull, and punishable, although the punish-

Merton cap. 5.

<sup>f</sup> Fleta, lib. 2.

cap. 50.

<sup>g</sup> Mirror, cap. 1.

§ 3. & cap. 5. §.

1. Parl. 50 E. 3.

no. 58.

<sup>h</sup> Fleta, lib. 2.

c. 1.

<sup>i</sup> Rot. Parliam.

51 H. 3. Peti-

tiones cleri.

<sup>k</sup> Bract. lib. 3.

fo. 116, 117.

Fleta, lib. 2. ca.

1. Cap. stinensis.

vet. Mag. Cart.

part. 1. fo. 151.

Rot. pat. 3 E. 1.

m. 10. 19, 20,

21, 22. 36 Rot.

claus. 2 E. 1.

m. 1.

<sup>l</sup> Rot. pat. 3 E.

1. no. 14. 17. 26.

Wilhelm. Mid-

dleton reddit

comptum.

[ 152 ]

Vet. Mag. Cart.

2. part, fo. 58.

59. Stat. de Ju-

daismo. See the

2. part of the In-

stitutes, stat. de

Judaismo and

the Exposition

upon the same.

15 E. 3. ca. 5.

ment was not always one, but sometime greater, and sometime lesser: and therefore at the parliament holden in the fifteenth year of E. 3. It was enacted, and declared, according as it had been sometime holden, that the king and his heirs should have conuſance of uſurers after their death, and that the ordinary of holy church should have conuſance of uſurers alive, for as much as to them it appertains, to compell them by the cenſures of holy church, for the ſin, to make reſtitution of uſuries taken againſt the law of holy church. But this ſtatute was afterward repealed, as hereafter ſhall appear.

III<sup>o</sup> 6 E. 3.  
Coram rege rot.  
130. N. r. h.  
Vide 26 E. 3.  
fo. 71. Moignes  
caſe.

*Johannes Hopd convictus per juratores pro uſura capiend' 11 s. 8 d. pro 20 s. praſtand', et ſic de ſimilibus.*

Rot. Parl. 50 L.  
3. nu. 158.  
Vide Rot. Parl.  
6 R. 2. nu. 57.  
14 R. 2. nu. 24.

Many of the citizens of London giving over trade and traffick (which is the life of the common-wealth, and ſpecially of an iland) and betaking themſelves to live upon uſury, Sir William Walthorth being lord maior, by the advice of the aldermen his brethren, took ſuch good and ſtrict order for the execution of laws, and for ſuppreſſion of uſury within the city of London, as the commons in parliament put up a petition to the king in theſe words, [That the order that was made in London againſt the horrible vice of uſury, might be obſerved throughout the whole realm] whereunto the king answered; that the old law ſhould continue.

Rot. Parl. 14  
R. 2. nu. 14.

After this Sir John Northampton, maior of the city of London, by the advice of the aldermen his brethren, took more ſtrict order for the ſuppreſſion of unlawfull uſury within the city of London: which had ſo good ſucceſſe, as the commons in parliament petitioned the king in theſe words. The commons pray, that againſt the horrible vice of uſury (then termed ſchefes) and praſticed well by the clergy as laity, the order made by John Northampton late maior of London, may be executed through the realm. Whereunto the king answered, The king willethe thoſe ordinances to be viewed, and if they be found to be neceſſary, that the ſame be then affirmed. And here it is to be obſerved, that of ancient time the notable merchants of London deteſted uſury, and dry exchange.

3 H. 7. ca. 5, 6.  
11 H. 7. ca. 3.  
Vide 5 E. 6.  
c. 20.

By the ſtatutes of 3 H. 7. and 11 H. 7. all uſury is damned and prohibited, and there it is called dry exchange. So as uſury is not only againſt the law of God, and the laws of the realm, but againſt the law of nature. *Uſura contra naturam eſt, quia uſura ſua natura ſterilis, nec fructum habet.*

27 H. 8. ca. 9.  
13 Eliz. ca. 8.  
21 Jac. ca. 17.

But now by the ſtatutes of 37 H. 8. and 13 Eliz. all former acts, ſtatutes, and laws ordained and made, for the avoiding or puniſhment of uſury are made void, and of none effect. So as at this day, neither the common law, nor any ſtatute is in force, but only the ſtatutes of 37 H. 8. 13 Eliz. and 21 Jac. And the eccleſiaſticall jurisdiction is ſaved by the ſaid ſtatute of 13 Eliz. as thereby it appeareth. For the expoſition of which ſtatutes of 37 H. 8. and 13 Eliz. ſee in my Reports, viz. lib. 3. fo. 80, 81. lib. 5. fo. 69, 70. lib. 9. 26.



C A P. LXXI.

Of Simony and corrupt Presentations.

**S**IMONY. *Simonia est res ecclesiastica, à Simone illo Mago deducta, qui deum spiritus facti sequutus esse putavit.*

Against simony, &c. the statute of 31 Eliz. is made in these words.

Be it enacted that if any person or persons, bodies politique or corporate, shall or doe for any summe of mony, reward, gift, profit or benefit, directly, or indirectly, or for, or by reason of any promise, agreement, grant, bond, covenant, or other assurance, of or for any summe of money, reward, gift, profit or benefit whatsoever, directly, or indirectly, present, or collate (1) any person to any benefice with cure of soules, dignity, prebend, or living ecclesiasticall; or give, or bestow the same for, or in respect of any such cause or consideration: \* That then every such presentment, collation, gift, and bestowing, and every admission, institution, investiture, and induction thereupon shall bee utterly voyde, frustrate, and of none effect (2) in law; and that it shall, and may be lawfull to and for the queenes majestie, her heires and successors, to present, collate unto, or give, or bestow every such benefice, dignity, prebend and living ecclesiasticall for that one time, or turne onely, and that all and every person and persons, bodies politique and corporate, that shall give or take any such summe of mony, reward, &c. shall forfeit and lose the double value of one yeares profit (3) of every such benefice, dignity, prebend and living ecclesiasticall. And the person so corruptly taking, procuring, seeking, or accepting any such benefice, dignity, prebend, or living, shall thereupon, and from thenceforth be adjudged a disabled person in law (4) to have, or enjoy the same benefice, dignity, prebend, or living ecclesiasticall.

vill or canon law; whereof the judges of the common law in these cases

This is the text of this part of the act, now let us proceed to the exposition hereof, being a necessary law to be put in execution.

(1) *Present or collate.*] This is not onely intended, where the person presenting or collating hath right to present, or collate; but also where any person or persons, bodies politique and corporate, doe usury, and have no title to present or collate. And so it was adjudged in case where the usurpation was to a church of the king.

N 2

Sed

Simony described by the act following.

Stat. de 31 Eliz. cap. 6.

See the 2. part of the last in the exposition of the statute of 31 Eliz. *Item si illa remaneat gratis distribui debet* vide Meth. ca. 12. ver. 8.

\* Nota, the statute doth not make the bond, promise, covenant, or other assurance void, but the presentment, and so it was adjudged, Page 140

Eliz. Rot. 1745, in comment banco, between Gregory plaintiff and Oldbury defendant. Nota differentiam inter malum in se against the common law, et malum prohibitum by statute law; et malum in se against the common law, and malum prohibitum by the statute take no notice.

Mic. 13. Ja. in quæ re non dicitur betweene the

king and the b.  
of Norwich.  
Tho. Cole and  
Robert Secker,  
which began  
Pasch. 13 Jac.  
Rot. 21. for the  
vicarage of Ha-  
verell in Suffolk.

[ 154 ]

Mich. 13 Jac.  
ubi supra.

Mich. 41 & 42  
El. in Communi  
banco between  
Baker and  
Roger.

24 E. 3. fo. 25.  
38 E. 3.  
7 Eliz. Dic. 257.

3 E. 3. 20.  
11 H. 3. 25.  
2 H. 7. 6. 11 H.  
7. 11. 13 H. 7.

*Sed quando presentatio et jus patronatus sunt temporalia, queritur quomodo fit simonia per donum pecuniæ pro illis: respondendum est quod jus patronatus et presentatio dicuntur spiritualia, respectu rei, ad quam presentatur, quæ spiritualis est. Vide Linwood cap. de Jurejurando, fo. 80.*

(2) *Shall be utterly void and of none effect.*] But here is to be observed a diversity between a presentation, or collation made by a rightfull patron, and an usurper. For in case of a rightfull patron, which doth corruptly present, or collate, by the expresse letter of this act the king shall present: but where one doth usurp, and corruptly present or collate, there the king shall not present, but the rightfull patron: for the branch that gives the king power to present, is onely intended, where the rightfull patron is in fault, but where the rightfull patron is in no fault, there the corrupt act, and wrong of the usurper maketh the benefice, &c. void, but taketh not away the lawfull title to present from the rightfull patron, and so it was adjudged in the case above said.

Also upon these words, [If any patron without the notice of the person so presented, or collated, doth take reward, &c.] yet by the expresse letter of this branch the church, &c. is void, for both the letter and intention of this act is to make the admission, institution, and induction of any presentee, that commeth in by a corrupt patron void. And so was it resolved in the case above said, as hath been formerly adjudged in the common place. But where the presentee is not privy, nor consenting to any such corrupt contract, as is prohibited by this act, because it is no simony in him, there the presentee shall not be adjudged a disabled person within this act: for the words of that branch be, And the person so corruptly giving, &c. so as he shall not be disabled, unless he be privy to the corrupt contract: and upon the severall penning of these severall branches, the diversity above said was resolved Mich. 13 Jac. *ubi supra*.

(3) *Shall forfeit and lose the double value of one yeares profit.*] This double value shall be accounted according to the very, or true value, as the same may be letten, and shall be tryed by a jury, and not according to the extent, or taxation of the church: whereof one was made both of the spiritualities and temporalities in 20 E. 3. 1292. in the time of pope Nicholas: of that *vide* 11 H. 4. fo. 35. F. N. B. 176. and Polichron, lib. 7. cap. 38. Rot. Parl. 18 E. 3. nu. 44. sta. 2. 1 R. 2. nu. 102. 8 H. 6. nu. 15. And the other taxation was made in 26 H. 8.

(4) *Be adjudged a disabled person in law.*] It was resolved in the case of Mich. 13 Jac. *ubi supra*, that the king could not dispense with this disability by a *non obstante*: for when an act of parliament is made that disableth any person, or maketh any thing void, or tortious for the good of the church, or common-wealth, in this law all the kings subjects have an interest, and therefore the king cannot dispence therewith no more then with the common law: but where a statute prohibiteth any thing upon a penalty, and giveth the penalty to the king, or to the king and informer, there the king may dispense with the penalty, and this diversity is warranted by our books.

S. b. 27 H. S. F. N. B. 211. b. Placita com. 502.



\* King James referred this case unto Sir Thomas Egerton lord chancellor of England, and to the chiefe justice of the kings bench. Sir Robert Vernon being coferer of the kings house, by reason of which office, he hath the receipt and payment of 40,000 li. of the kings treasure yearely, and payeth the wages beneath the stayres, &c. did bargaine and sell the said office for a great summe of money, and for certaine annuities to be paid, to Sir Arthur Ingram knight. The first question was whether the said office were void by force of the statute of 5 E. 6. ca. 16. The second was, seeing the words of this act be [shall be adjudged a disabled person in law, to all intents and purposes to have and occupy any such office, &c.] whether the king might dispense with that [disabled] and upon mature deliberation and hearing of counsell learned, they resolved, and so certified the king, that the said office was void by the said bargaine and sale, and that the king could not dispense with the said disability, for the reason and cause above said; and thereupon Sir Marmaduke Darrell was preferred to that office.

\* Anno 12 Jac. regis Sir Arthur Ingrams case upon the statute of 5 E. 6. cap. 16.

Likewise by the statute of 5 Eliz. every person which shall be elected a knight, citizen, burges, or baron of the cinque ports for any parliament, before he shall enter into the parliament house, shall take the oath of supremacy appointed by the act of 1 Eliz. and that he that entred into the parliament without taking the said oath, shall be deemed no knight, citizen, burges, or baron, nor shall have any voice, but shall be, as if he had been never returned, or elected. Here be words that amount to a disability, and therefore that according to the former resolutions the king cannot dispense with the same.

5 Eliz. cap. 2

It is further enacted, that if any person shall for any sum of money, reward, &c. (*ut supra*) other then for usuall fees, admit, institute, install, induct, invest, or place any person in or to any benefice with cure of souls, dignity, prebend, or other living ecclesiasticall: that then every person so offending shall forfeit and lose double value, *ut supra*; and that thereupon immediately from and after the investing, installation, or induction thereof had, the same benefice, &c. shall be estfoons meerely void, &c.

[ 155 ]

The reason of this clause (for I was of this parliament, and observed the proceedings therein) was to avoid hasty and precipitate admissions, institutions, &c. to the prejudice of them that had right to present, by putting them to a *quare impedit*, and no such hast or precipitation is used, but for reward, &c. as it is to be presumed.

There be two great enemies to justice and right, viz. *precipitatio*, *et morosa cunctatio*.

And albeit the church is full by the institution, &c. against all, but the king, yet the church becommeth not void by this branch of this act, untill after induction.

*And that the patron, &c. shall and may present, &c.*] This is intended of the rightfull patron, or of him that hath right to present.

Vid. 14 H. 4.  
19.

And be it further enacted, that if any incumbent of any benefice with cure of soules shall corruptly resigne, or exchange the same, or corruptly take for or in respect of the resigning or exchanging of the same, directly or indirectly, any pension, sum of money, or benefit whatsoever: That then as well the giver as the taker, &c. shall lose double the value of the money so given, and double the value of one years profit.

By another branch of this act it is provided,

That if any person or persons shall or doe receive, or take any money, reward, &c. *ut supra*, (ordinary and lawfull fees only excepted) for or to procure the ordaining or making of any minister, or giving any orders, or licence to preach, shall for every offence forfeit and lose the summe of forty pound, and the party so corruptly made minister, shall forfeit and lose the sum of ten pound, and if at any time within seven years after such corrupt entring into the ministry, he shall accept or take any benefice, living, or promotion ecclesiasticall, that then immediately, from and after the induction, investing, or installation thereof, or thereunto had, the same benefice, living, and promotion ecclesiasticall shall be estoons meerly void, &c.

33 E. 1. tit. An-  
nuiti 51.  
V. c. C. 10. 40.  
1 Jac. 1. c. 3.  
The oath against  
simony, &c.  
\* 9 E. 3. 22.  
10 E. 3. 1.  
20 F. 3. 44.  
Regul. 58.  
21 H. 8. ca. 13.  
ven. licent.

*Take a benefice.*] This word *beneficium ecclesiasticum* extendeth not only to benefices of churches parochiall; but to dignities and other ecclesiasticall promotions; as to deaneries, archdeaconries, prebends, &c. And it appeareth in our \* books that deaneries, archdeaconries, prebends, &c. are benefices with cure of souls; but they are not comprehended under the name of benefices with cure of souls within the statute of 21 H. 8. by reason of a speciall proviso; which they had been, if no such proviso had been added, viz. deans, archdeacons, chancellours, treasurers, chanters, prebend, or a parson where there is a vicar indowed.

[ 156 ]

If any person or persons, bodies politique or corporate, which have election, nomination, voice, or assent in the choice, election, presentation, or nomination of any scholar, fellow or any other person to have room, or place in any church collegiat or cathedrall, colleges, schools, hospitals, halls, or societies, shall take or receive any money, fee, or reward, &c. the place, room, office, &c. of the offender shall be void, &c.

Like cases in Pl.  
com. 176. upon  
the statute of  
32 H. 8. c. 1.  
Co. d. Dier, 20  
E. 12. upon the  
statute of 27 H.  
8. Of Utes.

*Which have election, presentation, &c.*] This act being a law perpetuall, these words extend not only to such person and persons, &c. as at that time had election, presentation, &c. but to all and every person and persons, that at any time hereafter should have election, presentation, &c. otherwise the law should be but temporary, which should be directly against the meaning of the maker of the act. And by the same reason this act extendeth not only to churches.



churches, colledges, schools, hospitals, hals, and societies founded at the time of the making of the act, but to all such as should be erected or founded after.

And if any fellow, officer, or scholar in any of the churches, colleges, &c. *ut supra*, contract or agree for any money, reward, &c. for the leaving, or resigning up of the same his room or place to any other, &c. shall forfeit and lose double the sum of money, &c. so received, and every person by whom or for whom any money, &c. shall be given, &c. shall be incapable of that place or room for that time or turn, &c. And it is further enacted, that at the time of every such election, presentation or nomination, as well this present act, as the orders, and statutes of the same places concerning such election, presentation or nomination shall then and there be publicly read, upon pain to forfeit and lose the sum of forty pound, &c. whereof, the one moiety to him that will sue, and the other moiety to the church, colledge, &c.

I have read ancient verses concerning simony, and other corrupt entries into churches, which are not unnecessary, in detestation of them, to remember.

*Quatuor ecclesias portis intratur in omnes,  
Cæsaris et simonis, sanguinis, atque Dei.  
Prima patet magnis, nummo patet altera, charis  
Tertia, sed paucis quarta patere solet.*

Four doors hath every church, and all but one forebod,  
(Whereof unseen some may be peradventure)  
Of Cesar, simonie, of kindre, and of God:  
And each church man by one of these doth enter.  
Great mens command doth open wide the first,  
At next by money enter many one,  
The third to weak allies, but (for the church the worst),  
Gods dore doth open to a few or none,

To conclude this chapter with this, that simony is odious in the eye of the common law: for a garden in focage of a mannor, whereunto an advowson is appendant, shall not present to the church, because he can take nothing for the presentation, for the which he may account to the heir; and therefore the heir in that case shall present of what age soever. And if an heir of tenant *in capite*, hath livery *cum exitibus*, yet shall the heir not present to an advowson, because no issues or profit can be taken thereof.

\* *Latro est qui aurum ex religione sectatur.*

And the common law would have the patron so far from simony, as it denied him to recover damages in a *quare impedit*, or assise of *darrein presentment*, before the statute of W. 2. cap. 5.

\* Simony is the more odious, because it is ever accompanied with perjury, for the presentee, &c. is sworn to commit no simony.

7 F. 3. 39. 4.  
27 E. 3. 89.  
29 E. 3. Present.  
al eglise. Fitz.  
17. 8 E. 2. Pre-  
sent. 10. Fitz.  
N. B. 33. S.  
24 E. 3. 29.  
\* *Jerome.*  
3 H. 6. tit. Da-  
mages. 17 ad-  
judge. See the 2.  
pt. of the Instit.  
W. 2. ca. 5. Lib.  
6. fo. 50. & 51.  
Lib. 5. 58, 59.  
Speccot.  
a Vid. Linwood  
ubi supra.

## C A P. LXXII.

## Of Monomachia, Single Combate, Duell, Affrays, and Challenges, and of Private Revenge.

**T**HIS single combat between any of the kings subjects, of their own heads, and for private malice, or displeasure is prohibited by the laws of this realm: for in a settled state governed by law, no man for any injury whatsoever, ought to use private revenge; for revenge belongeth to the magistrate, who is Gods lieutenant. And the law herein is grounded upon the law of God. *Vindicta est mihi, et ego retribuam, dicit Dominus.* Vengeance is mine, and I will repay it, saith the Lord. *Qui vindicari vult, inveniet vindictam à domino, et peccata illius servans servabit.* He that will revenge, shall finde vengeance from the lord, and he will surely keep his sins in remembrance.

Deut. 32. 35.  
Rom. 12. 19.  
Ecclesiasticus  
23. 1.  
Gen. 34. ver. 25.  
& 30. of Simeon  
and Levi.

It is also against the law of nature and of nations, for a man to be judge in his own proper cause, *judex in propria causa*, especially *in duello*, where fury, wrath, malice, and revenge are the rulers of the judgement. See more of private revenge, cap. Misprision, in [*crimen commissiois.*]

*Object.*

But it is objected, that this single combat may be undertaken for revenge, and preservation of the honour of the party grieved.

*Respons.*

1. The honour or estimation of the party may more justly and notoriously be revenged, and repaired by the magistrate in publique, then by the party in private. 2. There is nothing honourable, that is against the laws of his country, and the law of nature and nations. 3. Whatsoever is against the law of God is impious and dishonourable. 4. The eminent danger of the parties seeking private revenge. First, concerning the foules of both of them, as well of him that killeth (who is *vir sanguinis*) as of him that is slain, and dieth in his malice: and as to the world, he that slayeth is in worse case, then he that is slain. For the murderer loseth not only his lands and goods, but his life also and his honour, which he so much respected: for by his attainder his blood shall be corrupted, and if he were noble, or gentle before, he thereby becomes ignoble and base, and he that is slain by law loseth none of them: so as hereof it is truly said, *Infelix pugna, ubi majus periculum incumbit victori, quam viro.* 5. Not only the soul of man, but the body also, was originally made to the image of God, *Quicumque effuderit humanum sanguinem, fundetur sanguis illius, ad imaginem quippe Dei factus est homo.* Who so sheddeth mans blood, by man shall his blood be shed, for in the image of God made he man. *Solus Deus, qui vitam dat, vitæ est dominus; nec potest quisquam eam juste auferre nisi Deus, vel gerens auctoritatem Dei, ut judex.* And this was the reason, that amongst Christians it was not lawfull for the lord to kill his villain.

Gen. 9. 6.



In ancient time so much the law did respect honour, and order, as hear what Britton saith, *Si trespas soit fait en temps de peace a chivaliers, ou a auters gents honorables per ribaudes ou auters viles persons, si le ferue soit per felony, &c. sauns desert del chivalier, que le rival le perdra son poigne dount il trespassa.*

And many ordinances, laws, and acts of parliament, which doe prohibit the pardon of wilfull murder, are also grounded upon the law of God, to the end none should offend in hope of pardon. \* *Non accipies precium ab eo qui reus est sanguinis, statim enim et ipse morietur. Ne polluatis terram habitationis vestrae, quae cruore maculatur; nec aliter expiari potest, nisi per ejus sanguinem, qui alterius sanguinem effuderit.* Ye shall take no satisfaction for the life of a murtherer, which is guilty of death, but he shall be surely put to death: so ye shall not pollute the land wherein you are, for blood defileth the land, and the land cannot be cleansed of the blood that is shed therein, but by the blood of him, that shed it.

And this law is confirmed by Christ himself in the Gospel, and by the last book of holy scripture. *Omnes qui acceperint gladium gladio peribunt. Qui in gladio occiderit, oportet eum gladio occidi.*

But albeit upon the single combate no death ensue nor blood drawn, yet the very combate for revenge is an affray, and a great breach of the kings peace, an affright and terrour to the kings subjects, and is to be punished by fine and imprisonment, and to find sureties for their good behaviour; for it is *vi et armis, et contra pacem domini regis, &c.* and in respect of incroachment upon royall authority for revenge, it is *contra coronam et dignitatem.*

An affray is a publique offence to the terrour of the kings subjects, and is an English word, and so called, because it affrighteth and maketh men afraid, and is enquirable in a leet as a common nutans. See the statute of 2 E. 3. c. 3. where it is; (*en effraier de la pais,*) and the writ grounded upon that statute saith, *In quorundam de populo terrorem,* as it appeareth in F. N. B. fo. 249. f. and the Register agreeth with the originall, and therefore the printed book (*en affray de la peace*) must be amended.

And if any subject by word, writing, or message challenge another to fight with him, this is also an offence before any combate be performed, and punishable by law, and it is *contra pacem, coronam, et dignitatem.* For *quando aliquid prohibetur, prohibetur et omne, per quod devenitur ad illud.* Or such offenders may be punished in the Star-chamber, whereof there be many presidents. Now when an affray is made by single combat, any stander by, that is no officer, may endeavour to part them, and prevent further danger, and the law doth encourage them hereunto; for if they receive any harm by the affrayours, they shall have their remedy by law against them, and if the affrayours receive hurt by the endeavouring only to part them, the standers by may justifie the same, and the affrayours have no remedy by law. But if either of the parties be slain, or wounded, or so stricken, as he falleth down for dead, in that case the standers by ought to apprehend the party so slaying, wounding, or striking, or to endeavour the same by hue and cry, or else for his escape they shall be fined and imprisoned. But if the sherif, justice of peace, constable, or other conservatour of the peace doe not part the affrayers for the preservation of the kings peace, and apprehend them being within his view, or doe not his uttermost endeavour

Brit. c. 25. f. 49. b.

Glouc. 6 E. 1. c. 9. 2 E. 3. ca. 2. 4 E. 3. ca. 13. 14 E. 3. cap. 15. 13 R. 2. St. 2. c. 1. Read these stat.

[ 158 ]

\* Num. 35. 31. 33. See before in chapter of murder.

Mat. 26. 52. Apocal. 13. 10.

Affray. Trin. 10 E. 3. Coram rege, Rot. 87. Northt.

4 H. 6. fo. 10. 8 E. 4. fo. 5.

Regula.

8 E. 2. cor. 295. 22 Alf. pl. 56.

endeavour to part and apprehend them, they may be fined and imprisoned for their neglect thereof, for they may command others to assist them, and therefore the rule holdeth in them, *idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis: et qui non prohibet, cum prohibere possit, in culpa est.* And if any be commanded to assist them therein, and refuse or neglect the same, it is a contempt in them to be punished by fine and imprisonment.

There is a *duellum* allowed by law depending a suit for the trial of truth, whereof we have spoken in another place, and as here it appeareth, there is a *duellum* against law: of both these an ancient authour saith thus, and first of the lawfull: *Duellum est singularis pugna inter duos ad probandum veritatem litis, et qui vicem probasse intelligitur, et quamvis iudicium Dei expectetur ibidem, quicumque tamen monomachiam, i. e. singularem pugnam sponte susceperit, optulerit, homicida est, et contrahit mortale peccatum. Et eodem modo iudex qui auctoritate desert, vel prestat, omnesque accessores, et consules, fauores et auxiliantes, nec non et sacerdos qui dat benedictionem.*

In a writ of right, if the tenant wage battail by his champion, and if the champion after become blind by infirmity, and not by *stultitia*, he shall be discharged of the battail. And if a man be appealed of felony, and gage battaile, and after become blind *et supra*, he shall be discharged of the battail, because he become such so by the act of God. And if the appellant after battail waged become blinde upon any occasion, the appellee *in favorem vite* shall goe quit. When issue is joyned to be tried by battail, and the triall by battail is become impossible by the act of God, or by the default of the appellant, the appellee goeth free.

And this kind of battail, in case of appeals and writ of right, is by publique authority and course of law, whereunto all the people by an implied consent are parties; and (as some hold) had his warrant by the word of God by the single battail between David and Goliath, which was stricken by publique authority.

King E. 3. in the sixteenth year of his reign, having war with the French king for his right to the kingdome of France, out of the greatnesse of his minde, for love of his subjects, the saving of christian blood, and a speedy tryall of the right, offered the single combat with the French king, but he refused it.

Afterwards also, after long and chargeable wars between the crowns of England and France, for the right of the kingdome of France, it was an honourable offer which king R. 2. made to Charles the French king for saving of Christians guiltlesse blood, and to put an end to that bloody and lingring war, which we will rehearse in the very words of the record it self.

<sup>a</sup> *Rex dedit potem. Johanni duci Lancasi' avunculo suo de certis requisitis seu oblationibus Carolo regi Franc' faciend', viz. quod negotium bellicum inter predictos reges finiatur. 1. Per certamen personarum suarum. 2. Vel aliter inter personas suas cum tribus patris ipsorum ipsi utrinque adjunctis. 3. Aut alioquin quod dies congruus assignaretur et locus, quibus sub universali certamine potentiarum suarum finis bello imponi valeat.* The duke of Lanc' according to his commission made these offers from the king of England to king Charles of France, but he was *auditus, sed non exauditus*; for king Charles liked none of these offers.

And

3 H. 7. 10 b.  
Bedingfields  
case.

Fleta, li. 1. c.  
32. §. Duellum.  
2. pt. of the In-  
stit. W. 1. c. 40.  
Fleta ubi supra.

11 H. 3. tit.  
Droit. Fitz 57.

[ 159 ]

1 Regum, c. 17.  
2 cr. 4, 5, &c.

4 Rot. Francie  
7 R. 2. m. 24.  
The offer of R.  
2. to king  
Charles of  
France.

1. A single comb-  
bat between the  
two kings.

2. Or a combat  
between the two  
kings and three  
of their uncles  
on either side.

3. Or that a fit  
day and place  
might be assign-  
ed when under  
the universall  
conflict of both  
their armies, an  
end might be  
put to the war.



<sup>b</sup> And in *anno Domini* 1196. *anno regni Ricardi primi octavo*, Philip king of France sent this challenge to Richard the first, that king R. would choose five for his part and he the king of France would appoint five for his part, which might fight in lists for triall of all matters in controversie between them for the avoiding of shedding of more guiltlesse blood. King Richard accepted the offer, with condition that either king might be of the number, but this condition would not be granted.

<sup>b</sup> N. Tivet.

<sup>c</sup> These, and the like offers, as they proceeded from high courage and greatnes of mind, so had they been lawfull, if they had been warranted by publique authority.

<sup>c</sup> See the 2. part of the Institutes W. 1. ca. 20.

C A P. LXXIII.

Against going or riding armed.

[ 160 ]

Item, **I**T is enacted, that no man, great or small, of what condition soever he be, (except the kings servants in his presence and his ministers in executing *des mandements le roy*, or of their office, and such as be in their company assisting them, and also upon a cry made for armes to keep the peace, and the same in such places where such things happen) be so hardy to come before the kings justices, or other the kings ministers doing their office, with force and armes, nor bring force in affray of the people, nor to goe nor ride armed by night nor by day, &c. before the kings justices, or in any place whatsoever, upon paine to forfeit their armor to the king, and their bodies to prison at the kings pleasure, and to make fine, and ransome to the king, &c.

2 E. 3. cap. 3.  
Pasch. 18 E. 3.  
Coram rege. Rot.  
146. Midd.  
8 R. 2. cap. 13.  
the printed book  
is 7. but it ought  
to be 8. and 10  
recited in 20 R.  
2. ca. 1. Lib. 5.  
f. 72. St. Johns  
cate.

20 R. 2. cap. 1.

Upon this statute two things fall into consideration. First, what the common law was before the making of this statute. Secondly, the true sense and exposition of this act. For it appeareth by a record in 29 E. 1. *quod non liceat torneare, bordeare, justas facere, aventuras guerare, seu ad arma presumere, sine licentia regis*. See Britton, fo. 29 b. It was called *turneamentum decusius*, of turning and winding, in respect of the agility, as well of the horse, as of the man. For in those daies this deed of chivalry was at randon, whereupon great perill ensued. Therefore in the reigne of E. 3. for safety the tilt was devised. See the statute of 7 E. 2. *De defensione portandi arma*, and the statute of W. 1. cap. 9. & cap. 17. W. 2. cap. 39. and the expositions upon the same.

Pasch. 29 E. 1.  
coram rege.  
R. 7. 171. Essex.  
Pasch. 18 E. 1.  
coram rege.  
Rot. 32. Glouc.

Vet. Mag. Cart.  
2. part. fo. 40. b.  
Rot. Parl. 6 E.  
3. nu. 2. & 3.  
13 E. 3. nu. 2.  
14 E. 3. nu. 2.  
15 E. 3. nu. 2.  
17 E. 3. nu. 2.  
18 E. 3. nu. 2.  
25 E. 3. nu. 50.  
Parl. 1 & 25 E.  
3. Parl. 2. nu. 5.

It is *lex et consuetudo parliamenti*, that wheresoever the parliament is holden proclamation should be made forbidding wearing of armor, and exercise of playes and games of men, women, or children, in or about the city, or place where the parliament is holden, lest the proceedings in the high court of parliament *pro bono publico*, should thereby be hindred or disturbed.

If

<sup>a</sup> 11 H. 7. fo. 23. vide before cap. Homicide. Brook Coron 229. See 24 H. 8. cap. 13. Jufts, Turnies, Barriers, &c.  
<sup>b</sup> Pasch. 18 E. 3. Coram rege Rot. 146. Nota bene.

<sup>c</sup> 25 E. 3. cap. 2.

<sup>d</sup> See before cap. High treason. verb. *Ou si home levy guerre.* fo. 9.

<sup>a</sup> If any by mutuall assent, do use justs or turneaments, or to play at sword and buckler, or any other deeds of armes, and the one killeth the other, this is felony, for that it is not lawfull to use them without the kings licence; which agreeth with the record above said, of 29 E. 1.

<sup>b</sup> *Willus Jordan inventus fuit vagans armatus de platis, attatus, &c. compertum est per juratores, quod minatus fuit per quosdam reges, et quod pro salvacione vite sue, platas predictas opposuit sibi in processum, tamen invenit securitatem pro bono gestu suo.*

<sup>c</sup> The clause of the statute of 25 E. 3. concerning this matter, we have reserved to this place, viz.

<sup>d</sup> And if per case any man of this realm ride armed covertly or secretly, with men of arms, against any other to slay him, or rob him, or to take and keepe him, till he hath made ransom, or ransome; it shall not be adjudged treason, but it shall be judged felony or trespassse, according to the lawes of the realm of old time used, and according, as the case requires. And if in such case, or other like, before this time any justices have judged treason, and for this cause the lands and tenements have come into the kings hands as forfeit, the chiefe lords of the fee shall have the escheats of the tenements holden of them, whether that the same tenements be in the kings hands, or in others, by gift, or in other manner. Saving alwayes to our lord the king, the yeare, and the wast, and the forfeitures of chattels, which pertain to him in the cases above-named. And that writs of *scire facias* be granted in such case against the land tenants without other originall, and without allowing any protection in the said suit. And that of the lands which be in the kings hands, writs be granted to the sherifs of the counties, where the lands be, to deliver them out of the kings hands without delay.

[ 161 ]

Concerning the point of felony, it must be observed, that at the making of that statute, and by the lawes of the realme of old time used in such case, when any purposed to slay, and declare by such overt act, *voluntas reputabatur pro facto*, as hath been said before; and so is this branch concerning that point to be understood.

*And that writs of scire fac. be granted.]* Here it may appear what speedy remedy by *scire fac.* the makers of this law gave for restitution to be made, where any of the justices had in any of the cases mentioned in this branch judged it treason, which is declared by this law to be against law.

Now let us peruse the words of the said act of 2 E. 3.

*His ministers in executing.]* By the order of the common law and statutes of the realme, the sherif, or other minister of the king in execution of the kings writs, or proces of law, might after resistance take *posse comitatus*. For, *sequi debet potentia legem et non arcedere.*

*Des mandemens le roy.]* That is, of the kings writs, and proces of law, *secundum legem et consuetudinem Angliæ.* Though in this act

Vide cap. High treason, verb. *Fait compasse*, fo. 6.

Scire fac.

Note for restitution. See hereafter cap. Restitution.

W. 1. ca. 9. & 17. W. 2. cap. 39. 18 E. 2. execution, 251. 19 E. 2. ibid. 247. 3 H. 7. fo. 1 et 10. b. 14. H. 7. S. Lib. 5. fo. 91. Semaynes case.



there be three special exceptions, yet the law doth make another exception, and that is, to assemble force to defend his house, as hereafter shall be said.

To come before the kings justices, or other the kings ministers doing their office, with force and armes.] Bracton doth notably write of the diversity of forces, viz. that there is *vis expulsiua, perturbatiua, inquietiua, ablatiua, compulsiua, &c.* which you may read in him. And then (which is pertinent to our purpose) he saith: *Est etiam vis armata, (armis dejectum dico qualitercunque fuerit vis armata) non solum si quis uenerit cum telis, uerum etiam omnes illos dicimus armatos, qui habent cum quo nocere possunt. Telorum autem appellatione omnia, in quibus singuli homines nocere possunt, accipiuntur: sed si quis uenerit sine armis, et ipsa concertatione lingua iurasset, fustis, et latites, talis dicitur vis armata; si quis autem uenerit cum armis, armis tamen ad delectationem non usus fuerit, et delectat, vis armata dicitur esse facta; iustitiam tamen armorum ut uideatur armis deiecisse.* Agreeing with that of the poet,

*Jamque faces et saxa uolunt, furor arma ministrat.*

Britton saith, *Nous uolons, que tous gens plus usent judgement, que force.*

Not to bring force in affray of the (paire, i.) countie.] This act is notably expounded by the writ in the Register, and F. N. B. for by that writ it appeareth, that if any doth enter into, or detaine with force any houses, lands, or tenements, the party grieved may haue a writ upon this statute, directed to the sherrif, by force of which writ, if the sherrif find the force, then if any after proclamation made, (which proclamation is by reasonable construction to be made for avoiding of bloodshed) shall disobey, or if it be found by inquisition, the sherrif is to seize their armes and weapons, and to arrest and take the offenders and commit them to prison, &c. But note the sherrif cannot restore the party grieved upon the writ to his possession, <sup>a</sup> no more then he can upon the writ *de caluicia, reuocanda*, but restitution must be made by force of the statutes of 8 H. 6. and 21 Jac. <sup>b</sup> And yet in some case a man may not onely use force and armes, but assemble company also. As any may assemble his friends and neighbours, to keep his house against those that come to rob, or kill him, or to offer him violence in it, and is by construction excepted out of this act: and the sherrif, &c. ought not to deal with him upon this act; for a mans house is his castle, *et domus sua cuique est tutissimum refugium*; for where shall a man be safe, if it be not in his house? and in this case it is truly said,

*Armaque in armatis sumere jura sinunt.*

But he cannot assemble force, though he be extreamply threatned, to goe with him to church, or market, or any other place, but that is prohibited by this act.

Not to goe armed by night, or by day, &c. before the kings justices in any place whatsoeuer.] Sir Thomas Figett knight went armed under his garments, as well in the palace, as before the justice of the kings bench: for both which upon complaint made, he was arrested by sir William Shardshill chiefe justice of the kings bench, and being charged therewith, he said that there had been debate between him and sir John Trevet knight in the same week, at Pauls  
in

Bracton, lib. 4. fo. 162.

Virgil.

Britton, 116. a.

See the chapter next before. verb. *Affraye*. Registrum. F. N. B. 249. f. Nota. Vide lib. 5. fo. 9. Semaynes case F. N. B. 54.

<sup>a</sup> 8 H. 6. cap. 9. <sup>b</sup> 21 Jac. cap. 25. b3. F. 3. cor. 303-305. 26 Aff. p. 22. 21 H. 7. 39.

[ 162 ]

21 H. 7. 39. Lib. 5. fo. 91. b. Semaynes case.

24 E. 3. fo. 33

in London, who menaced him, &c. and therefore for doubt of danger, and safeguard of his life, he went so armed. Notwithstanding the court upon their view awarded, that the armes were forfeited, and thereupon the same were seised, and he commanded to ward in the Marshalsea during the kings pleasure. Sir Thomas prayed to find mainprise, which was denied, untill the pleasure of the king was known, because he was imprisoned during the kings pleasure, according to this statute.

24 E. 3. ubi. supra. Vide the 4. part of the Institutes, cap. 1. c. 2. R. 2. cap. 1. Vid. indorse. clau. 2 E. 2. 19. 22.

*Upon paine to forfeit their armor, &c.]* It appeareth before by the case of sir Thomas Figett, that the offender was to bee punished according to this act, but by forfeiture of the armor and imprisonment; but the statute of 20 R. 2. cap. 1. doth add fine, and imprisonment.

*And that the kings justices, in their presence, &c.]* So did sir William Shardisill, as is above said.

*And other ministers in their balivwicks, &c.]* That is to say, sheriffs, bailifs of liberties, &c.

*Lords of franchises.]* And their bailifs, maiors, and bailifs of cities, and borowes within the same cities and borowes; and borowholders, constables, and wardens of the peace within their wards shall have power to execute this act. And the justices assigned at their coming down shall inquire how such officers, and lords have exercised their offices in this case, and to punish them whom they find that have not done that which pertaineth to their office. See 12 R. 2. cap. 6.

Reg. Crum.  
F. N. B. 247. f.  
24 E. 3. fo. 33.

It is to be observed, that upon this statute by the resolution of the judges a writ was framed, and inserted into the Register, when any with force and armes enter any lands and tenements, or detain the same with force and armes, directed to the sheriff, reciting the force, and our act, (and saith) *No. statutum predictum in totali tibi observari, et idem infringentes juxta vim et effectum ejusdem statuti corrigere, nec volentes et punire, tibi precipimus, &c. publice proclamari facias, &c.* as in the writ. And here is a secret in law, that upon any statute made for the common peace, or good of the realm, a writ may be devised for the better execution of the same, according to the force and effect of the act.

Vid. 35 E. 3. ca.  
9. si. n. e.

Note. proclamations are of great force, which are grounded upon the laws of the realme.

[ 163 ]

## C A P. LXXIV.

### Of Perjury and Subornation of Perjury, and incidently of Oaths.

5 El. ca. 9.

**E**VERY person which shall unlawfully and corruptly procure any witnesse to commit any wilfull, and corrupt perjury in any matter or cause depending in suit, and variance, by any writ, action, bill, complaint, or information in any of the kings courts of chancery, star-chamber, or in any of the